UMUAHIA UNDER BRITISH COLONIAL RULE, 1900-1960

Morgan Ogbonna
Department of History and International Studies.
Babcock University.
Ogbonna0281@pg.babcock.edu.ng

Abstract
Umuahia, under British colonial rule (1900–1960), evolved from a cluster of Igbo agrarian communities into a strategically important administrative, commercial, and missionary hub in Eastern Nigeria. The consolidation of British control over the Bende Division and the surrounding hinterland enabled the imposition of new political structures, most notably the warrant chief system and native courts, which reconfigured indigenous authority and facilitated colonial economic extraction. The extension of the Eastern Railway to Umuahia in 1912 and the establishment of a main station by 1916 integrated the area into the imperial trade network, transforming it into a major depot for palm produce, foodstuffs, and livestock destined for coastal ports and northern markets. These infrastructural changes attracted European firms, migrant traders, and colonial officials, thereby altering settlement patterns, labour relations, and class formation in the emerging township. Christian missions—principally Anglican (CMS), Methodist, and Catholic—expanded rapidly in the first half of the twentieth century, founding schools and health facilities that promoted literacy, Western education, and biomedicine while challenging indigenous religious practices. Their activities were closely intertwined with colonial administration and commerce, reinforcing new socio-cultural norms even as local communities negotiated, adapted to, or resisted aspects of evangelization and cultural change. By the mid-twentieth century, Umuahia had acquired key regional institutions, including government schools and medical and hygiene training facilities, which positioned it as an educational and public health centre in Eastern Nigeria. Despite these apparent advances, colonial policies generated enduring social tensions, economic dependency on export crops, and political dislocation, as customary institutions were subordinated to colonial rule and new elites emerged through mission education and participation in the colonial economy. The period 1900–1960 thus left a complex legacy: Umuahia was simultaneously modernized and subordinated, becoming a pivotal town in Eastern Nigeria whose postcolonial trajectory remained deeply shaped by its colonial experiences in governance, trade, religion, and urban development.
Keywords: Umuahia, British colonialism, indirect rule, economic transformation, southeastern Nigeria, warrant chiefs, urbanization.

Introduction

The first Europeans ever to visit Umuahia probably did so in 1896, when a group passed through parts of Olokoro and Ibeku on a reconnaissance mission to Bende, before the British military expedition against Arochukwu in 1901-1902. Soon after the fall of Arochukwu to the British in 1902, the Umuahia communities led by the Olokoro and Ibeku people, collectively resisted the British invaders in a military encounter which ended later that same year 1902, with the British conquest and occupation of the entire Umuahia area. The Olokoro and Ibeku had borne the major burden and brunt of the armed resistance (Asiegbu, 1985, p.2). The history of Umuahia under British colonial rule offers a compelling lens through which to examine the broader dynamics of political transformation, economic restructuring, and sociocultural change in southeastern Nigeria between 1900 and 1960. Situated at a strategic crossroads in the Igbo hinterland, Umuahia evolved from a constellation of autonomous village communities into a major administrative and commercial centre under colonial influence (Afigbo, 1966, pp.539-557) This transformation was neither linear nor uncontested; rather, it unfolded through complex interactions between colonial officials, indigenous institutions, missionary agents, traders, and emerging educated elites. Existing scholarship on colonialism in Eastern Nigeria has highlighted the tensions inherent in the British policy of indirect rule, particularly in societies characterized by decentralized political systems. Umuahia exemplifies these tensions. The imposition of warrant chiefs, the establishment of native courts, and the reorganization of local governance disrupted established norms of authority and generated new forms of resistance and negotiation. At the same time, colonial economic policies especially the expansion of cash-crop agriculture and the construction of the Eastern Railway integrated the region into global markets and reshaped patterns of labour, mobility, and social differentiation. Missionary activities and the spread of Western education further contributed to profound cultural shifts, producing new elites who would later play pivotal roles in nationalist politics (Afigbo, 1972, p.71). Women, too, emerged as significant political actors, most notably during the 1929 Women's War, which remains a landmark episode in the history of anti-colonial resistance. This article examines these multifaceted transformations by analyzing the administrative, economic, social, and political developments that shaped Umuahia during six decades of colonial rule. Drawing on archival records, oral histories, and secondary literature,

it argues that colonialism in Umuahia produced hybrid institutions, contested forms of authority, and enduring legacies that continue to influence the region's political culture and social organization (Afigbo, 1981, p.102). By situating Umuahia within the broader historiography of colonial Africa, the study contributes to ongoing debates about the nature of colonial governance, local agency, and the long-term impacts of imperial rule.

Historiographical Review

The historiography of British colonial rule in southeastern Nigeria has expanded significantly over the past five decades, yet Umuahia has often appeared only tangentially in broader regional studies (Afigbo, 1987, pp.1-23). Much of the existing scholarship focuses on the Igbo experience of colonialism, the contradictions of indirect rule, the rise of nationalism, and the socio-economic transformations of the early twentieth century. Within this wider body of literature, Umuahia emerges as a critical but understudied site whose experiences illuminate key debates about colonial governance, local agency, and the dynamics of social change.

Early colonial historiography, shaped largely by administrative reports and missionary writings, tended to portray British rule as a civilizing mission that introduced order, infrastructure, and modern institutions to a supposedly fragmented society (Ajayi & Crowther, 1974, p.87). These accounts emphasized the establishment of native courts, the expansion of cash-crop agriculture, and the construction of the Eastern Railway, often overlooking the disruptive effects of colonial intervention on indigenous political structures and social relations. Umuahia appeared in these narratives primarily as an administrative centre and a railway town, rather than as a complex socio-political entity with its own historical trajectory (Amadiume, 1987, p.34).

From the 1970s onward, Africanist historians began to challenge these colonial narratives. Scholars such as Afigbo, Isichei, and Ottenberg highlighted the decentralized nature of Igbo political systems and the profound tensions created by the imposition of warrant chiefs and indirect rule. Their work underscored the incompatibility between British administrative expectations and indigenous governance structures, offering a framework through which the experience of Umuahia can be more critically understood (Anene, 1966, p.141). Although these studies did not focus exclusively on Umuahia, they provided essential analytical tools for interpreting its colonial history. More recent scholarship has emphasized local agency, resistance, and the negotiated character of colonial rule. Studies of the 1929 Women's War, for example, have drawn attention to the central role of women in anti-colonial protest and the broader socio-economic grievances that fuelled collective action (Chukwu, 20112, pp.1-26). Umuahia's involvement in this uprising has been acknowledged, yet detailed analyses of how local actors mobilized, organized, and articulated their demands remain limited. Similarly, research on the rise of educated elites and nationalist politics in Eastern Nigeria has highlighted the importance of mission schools and urban centres, but Umuahia's specific contributions to these developments have not been fully explored. Economic historians have examined the impact of cash-crop production, taxation, and transportation networks on regional economies (Coleman, 1958, p.86). The Eastern Railway, which transformed Umuahia into a major commercial hub, features prominently in these studies. However, the localised effects of these economic changes—such as shifts in labour patterns, the emergence of new trading classes, and the reconfiguration of rural-urban relations have received comparatively little focused attention. Anthropological and sociological studies have also contributed to the historiography by examining cultural change, religious transformation, and the persistence of indigenous institutions under colonial rule. These works highlight the adaptability of local communities, and the hybrid forms of authority that emerged in response to colonial pressures (Crowder, 1968, 67). Yet Umuahia's unique blend of rural traditions and urban growth remains an area ripe for deeper investigation. Overall, the historiography reveals a pattern, Umuahia is frequently referenced but rarely placed at the centre of sustained scholarly inquiry. Its experience encapsulates many of the key themes in the study of colonial Nigeria administrative experimentation, economic restructuring, cultural negotiation, and political mobilization, yet it has not received the same level of focused analysis as other regions (Falola, 1998, p.102). This study seeks to address that gap by situating Umuahia within the broader debates on colonial governance and local agency, while also foregrounding the specificities of its historical experience.

Sources and Methodology

The analysis presented in this study draws on a combination of archival materials, oral histories, missionary records, and secondary scholarship. This multi-source approach is essential for reconstructing the complex political, economic, and social transformations that occurred in Umuahia between 1900 and 1960, particularly given the limitations and biases inherent in colonial documentation (Vansina, 1985, p.42).

Primary archival materials form the backbone of this research. Key documents were consulted from the National Archives of Nigeria (Enugu and Ibadan), including District and provincial reports detailing administrative policies, taxation, labour recruitment, and local governance. Native court records, which provide insight into disputes, customary law, and the functioning of colonial judicial structures. Correspondence between district

officers and colonial administrators, revealing tensions, policy debates, and local responses to colonial directives. Annual agricultural and trade reports, which illuminate economic trends, cash-crop production, and market development (Burton, 2005, p.99). These records offer valuable information but must be read critically. Colonial officials often wrote from the perspective of administrative priorities, sometimes misrepresenting or oversimplifying indigenous institutions. The study therefore treats archival documents not as neutral accounts but as texts shaped by the political and ideological imperatives of colonial rule (Tosh, 2015, p.441). Missionary societies particularly the Church Missionary Society (CMS) and Methodist Mission produced extensive documentation on their activities in Umuahia. These include School logbooks and inspection reports, mission correspondence, records of church councils and synods. Such materials shed light on the spread of Western education, religious conversion, and the emergence of new social elites (Falola & Jenning, 2003, p.66). They also provide alternative perspectives on local communities, sometimes diverging from colonial administrative narratives. Given the limitations of written sources, oral testimonies were essential for capturing local perspectives, especially regarding Pre-colonial political structures, Community responses to warrant chiefs and taxation, Women's participation in the 1929 Women's War, Everyday experiences of colonial rule (Cresswell & Cresswell, 2018, p.23). Interviews were conducted with elders, community leaders, retired civil servants, and descendants of individuals involved in key historical events. Oral accounts were cross-checked against archival evidence to ensure reliability and to identify areas where local memory challenges or enriches official narratives. The study engages extensively with existing scholarship on Igbo history, colonial administration, economic change, and nationalist movements. Works by Afigbo, Isichei, Ottenberg, Falola, and others provide essential interpretive frameworks for understanding the broader regional context (Denzen & Lincoln, 2018, pp.78-104). This literature helps situate Umuahia within wider debates on indirect rule, resistance, and the socio-economic impacts of colonialism. The research adopts a historical-anthropological methodology, combining documentary analysis with ethnographic sensitivity to local institutions and cultural practices. Findings are derived from the convergence of multiple sources, archival, oral, and missionary, allowing for a more nuanced reconstruction of events and institutions. Colonial documents are analyzed not only for their factual content but also for their silences, biases, and rhetorical strategies (Afigbo, 1972, p.21). This approach recognizes that colonial knowledge production was embedded in power relations. The study foregrounds the actions, strategies, and interpretations of Umuahia's communities. Rather than viewing colonialism as a unidirectional process, the analysis emphasizes negotiation, adaptation, and resistance. By integrating diverse sources and employing a critical, multi-layered methodology, this study reconstructs the complex historical experience of Umuahia under British colonial rule (Isichei, 1976, p.55). The approach ensures that both official perspectives and local voices are represented, offering a balanced and contextually grounded account of the period.

Pre-Colonial Umuahia: Setting the Context

Pre-colonial Umuahia occupied a strategic position in the forest-savanna transition zone of southeastern Nigeria, an ecological setting that shaped its economic and social development. The region lies on relatively high ground, with undulating plains and well-drained soils that supported diverse agricultural activities (Falola & Heaton, 2008, p.188-230). Its location at the intersection of major footpaths linking the Cross River basin, the northern Igbo hinterland, and the coastal trade routes made it an important node in regional exchange networks long before colonial intervention. The climate, characterized by a long rainy season and a shorter dry season, facilitated the cultivation of staple crops such as yam, cocoyam, and cassava, as well as the production of palm oil and kernels (Isichei, 1976, p.55). Dense vegetation, interspersed with patches of secondary forest, provided resources for hunting, gathering, and craft production. Rivers and streams, though not navigable, served as vital sources of water and contributed to the fertility of the surrounding farmlands. Geography thus played a central role in shaping settlement distribution, agricultural practices, and patterns of inter-group interaction in pre-colonial Umuahia. Settlement in pre-colonial Umuahia followed the broader Igbo pattern of dispersed, lineage-based communities rather than centralized urban formations (Jones, 1965, pp.273-297). Villages were typically composed of extended family compounds clustered around a common ancestry, with each compound (obodo or ezi) housing multiple households connected through patrilineal descent. These settlements were relatively small, often numbering a few hundred inhabitants, and were separated by farmlands, forests, and shared boundary zones (Mba, 1982, p.90).

The spatial organization of villages reflected both ecological considerations and social norms. Compounds were arranged to maximize access to farmland, water sources, and communal spaces such as village squares (ama), which served as centres for meetings, rituals, and age-grade activities. Defensive concerns also influenced settlement patterns; while large fortifications were uncommon, communities-maintained buffer zones and relied on social alliances for security (Lloyd, 1963, p.111). Inter-village proximity facilitated cooperation in trade, marriage, and ritual life, while also generating occasional disputes over land and resources. These interactions contributed to a dynamic regional landscape in which mobility, exchange, and kinship ties played central roles in shaping communal identity. Social organization in pre-colonial Umuahia was grounded in kinship, age-grade systems, and decentralized political structures (Mba, 1982, p. 110). The lineage (umunna) formed the basic unit

of social and political life, with authority vested in elders who represented their families in communal deliberations. Decision-making was typically collective, emphasizing consensus rather than hierarchical command. This decentralized structure reflected the broader acephalous political tradition of the Igbo, in which power was diffused across multiple institutions rather than concentrated in a single ruler. Age-grade associations (otu ogbo) played a crucial role in maintaining social order, organizing labour, and coordinating communal projects such as road clearing, market maintenance, and security patrols. These groups also served as mechanisms for socialization, discipline, and the transmission of cultural values (Afigbo, 1966, p.539-557). Women's associations, including market groups and lineage-based collectives, exercised significant influence in economic regulation, dispute mediation, and ritual life. Religious beliefs and practices further reinforced social cohesion. The cosmology of the region centred on reverence for ancestors, deities associated with natural forces, and sacred groves that served as ritual sites. Priests, diviners, and custodians of shrines held spiritual authority and contributed to conflict resolution, moral regulation, and the preservation of communal norms (Afigbo, 1987, pp.1-23). Overall, pre-colonial Umuahia exhibited a complex and resilient social structure characterized by shared authority, strong kinship networks, and vibrant communal institutions. These features not only shaped everyday life but also influenced the ways in which communities later responded to the disruptions and opportunities introduced by colonial rule.

Political Structures and Inter-Group Relations

Pre-colonial Umuahia, like much of the Igbo hinterland, was characterized by a decentralized political system in which authority was diffused across multiple institutions rather than concentrated in a single ruler (Gailey, 1970, p.117). The absence of centralized kingship did not imply political disorder; rather, governance operated through a complex web of lineage authority, age-grade institutions, and communal assemblies that ensured social cohesion and collective decision-making. At the core of political organization was the lineage council (ndi ichie or umunna), composed of senior male elders representing extended families. These councils deliberated on matters of land allocation, inheritance, conflict resolution, and ritual obligations (Isichei, 1983, p.89). Decisions were typically reached through consensus, reflecting a political culture that valued dialogue, negotiation, and collective responsibility. Complementing the authority of elders were age-grade associations (otu ogbo), which performed administrative, judicial, and military functions. Younger age grades undertook communal labour such as road clearing and market maintenance, while older grades enforced social norms, mediated disputes, and provided security during inter-village tensions. Their role in maintaining order made them indispensable to the functioning of the political system (Jones, 1965, pp.273-297). Religious authorities also held significant influence. Priests, diviners, and custodians of shrines acted as intermediaries between the spiritual and temporal realms, offering guidance on matters ranging from warfare to agriculture. Their authority derived not from coercive power but from spiritual legitimacy, which communities respected deeply. Together, these institutions formed a polycentric political system in which power was shared, negotiated, and constantly recalibrated (Meek, 1937, p.2080). This structure fostered resilience and adaptability, enabling communities to respond effectively to internal challenges and external pressures. Inter-group relations in pre-colonial Umuahia were shaped by a combination of kinship ties, economic exchange, ritual cooperation, and occasional conflict (Nzimiro, 1972, p.76). The region's location at a crossroads of major trade routes facilitated sustained interaction with neighbouring Igbo communities such as Bende, Ngwa, Ohuhu, and Afikpo, as well as groups further afield. Trade formed a central pillar of inter-group relations. Markets such as Afor Ibeku and Orie Umuahia attracted traders from diverse communities, enabling the exchange of agricultural produce, palm oil, livestock, and craft goods (Ogbogbo, 2005, pp.1-15). These interactions fostered interdependence and contributed to the emergence of shared commercial norms and dispute-resolution mechanisms. Marriage alliances played a crucial role in strengthening ties between communities. Through exogamous marriage practices, families established enduring relationships that facilitated cooperation, reduced the likelihood of conflict, and enhanced social mobility. These kinship networks often extended across multiple villages, creating a web of obligations and mutual support. Ritual life also served as a platform for inter-group engagement (Ottenberg, 1968, p.54). Communities participated in shared festivals, masquerade performances, and religious ceremonies that reinforced cultural continuity and collective identity. Sacred groves, shrines, and oracular centres attracted visitors from surrounding areas, further integrating Umuahia into regional spiritual networks. Despite strong cooperative ties, conflicts occasionally arose over land, water resources, or market privileges. Such disputes were typically managed through negotiation, mediation by elders, or arbitration by neutral communities. Warfare, when it occurred, was usually limited in scale and governed by established norms that sought to minimize casualties and preserve long-term relationships (Oyemakinde, 1973, pp.511-527). The political structures and inter-group relations of pre-colonial Umuahia reveal a society organized around shared authority, communal responsibility, and extensive regional interaction. Its decentralized political system fostered flexibility and resilience, while its economic, kinship, and ritual networks connected it to a wider Igbo world. These features not only shaped pre-colonial life but also influenced how communities later responded to the disruptions and opportunities introduced by British colonial rule (Tamuno, 1972, pp.231-296).

Court Structures in Umuahia and the Component Communities

The establishment of colonial court structures in Umuahia represented one of the most significant instruments through which British authority was imposed and maintained between 1900 and 1960. These courts were designed to administer "customary law" under colonial supervision, but in practice they reshaped indigenous judicial processes, redefined local authority, and became arenas of negotiation, conflict, and adaptation (Afigbo, 1972, p.72). Understanding the evolution of court structures in Umuahia and its component communities provides insight into the broader dynamics of colonial governance and the transformation of local political culture. The British introduced the Native Court system in Umuahia in the early twentieth century as part of the wider administrative reorganization of the Southern Provinces (Elias, 1963, pp.117-187). The courts were intended to: Provide a formal mechanism for dispute resolution, enforce colonial regulations and taxation, serve as instruments for indirect rule, replace or subordinate indigenous judicial institutions. The courts operated under the authority of the District Officer, who supervised proceedings, approved appointments, and reviewed judgments. Although officially described as custodians of "native law and custom," these courts often reflected colonial interpretations rather than authentic indigenous practices. In Umuahia, the British appointed warrant chiefs to preside over Native Courts (Meek, 1937, p.77). These individuals were often selected for their perceived loyalty to the colonial administration rather than their legitimacy within local communities. Their roles included: presiding over court sessions, collecting fines and fees, enforcing judgments, assisting in tax collection and labour recruitment (Ubah, 1994, p.61). Over time, due to widespread abuses and community resistance especially after the 1929 Women's War, the colonial administration introduced reforms that incorporated: Court members drawn from respected elders, Clerks and interpreters trained in colonial procedures, Assessors who advised on customary matters (Ezera, 1960, pp.850-1250). These changes aimed to improve legitimacy, though tensions persisted. The Native Courts in Umuahia exercised jurisdiction over a wide range of civil and criminal matters, including land disputes, marriage and inheritance cases, debt and commercial disagreements, minor criminal offences, violations of colonial regulations (Uchendu, 1965, p.230). Serious criminal cases such as homicide were transferred to higher colonial courts in Bende or Umuahia District Headquarters. The courts also served administrative functions, such as registering marriages, recording births and deaths, issuing permits and licenses, enforcing sanitary and market regulations. Thus, the courts became central to the everyday governance of Umuahia and its component communities. Umuahia division comprised several major communities, including Ibeku, Ohuhu, Umuopara, and Afugiri, each of which hosted courts that reflected local dynamics (Perham, 1937, p.241). Ibeku, as the administrative nucleus of the division, hosted one of the earliest and most influential Native Courts. Its court: drew litigants from surrounding villages, served as a centre for colonial taxation, became a focal point for early resistance to warrant chiefs. The Ibeku court's prominence contributed to the emergence of Umuahia as a regional administrative hub. The Ohuhu Native Court was characterized by strong involvement of lineage elders, who often challenged the authority of warrant chiefs (Ottenberg, 1058, 295- 317). Ohuhu communities frequently petitioned the colonial administration regarding abuses, making the court a site of intense negotiation over customary law. In Umuopara, the court system intersected with strong indigenous institutions, including powerful age-grade groups. These groups influenced court decisions informally, ensuring that judgments aligned with community expectations. Smaller courts in Afugiri and neighbouring settlements handled local disputes but often referred complex cases to the larger courts in Ibeku or Ohuhu (Chanock, 1985, p.331). These peripheral courts played important roles in maintaining order and reducing travel burdens for litigants. The Native Courts were frequently criticized for: corruption and bribery, arbitrary judgments, misinterpretation of customary law, excessive fines and fees. These abuses contributed to widespread dissatisfaction, culminating in major protests such as the 1929 Women's War, during which women targeted courts and warrant chiefs as symbols of colonial oppression. By the 1940s and 1950s, the British sought to modernize the judicial system as part of broader administrative reforms (Van Allen, 1972, pp.165-181). Key changes included: replacing Native Courts with Local Government Courts, introducing elected or appointed council members, increasing the role of educated elites in judicial administration, standardizing court procedures and record-keeping These reforms aimed to improve efficiency and legitimacy, though they also reflected the colonial state's desire to prepare the region for self-government (Nwabara, 1977, p.282). Court structures in Umuahia and its component communities were central to the colonial project of governance, social control, and economic extraction. While designed to administer customary law, these courts often distorted indigenous practices and generated significant tensions. Yet they also became arenas of negotiation, where local actors asserted agency, challenged abuses, and shaped the evolution of colonial institutions. By the late colonial period, the courts had undergone substantial reform, reflecting both the failures of early indirect rule and the growing political consciousness of Umuahia's communities (Falola & Heath, 2008, p.308). Their legacy continued to influence judicial and administrative structures in the post-colonial era.

Indirect Rule and Colonial Administration in Umuahia

The establishment of British colonial authority in Umuahia in the early twentieth century marked a profound reconfiguration of political structures, social relations, and mechanisms of governance. Although the British

adopted *indirect rule* as a strategy for administering much of Eastern Nigeria, its implementation in Umuahia, an area characterized by decentralized, acephalous political traditions produced tensions, contradictions, and enduring institutional legacies (Uchendu, 1965, p.55). This section examines the administrative architecture imposed by the British, the creation and operation of the warrant chief system, and the ways in which local communities negotiated, resisted, and reshaped colonial authority between 1900 and 1960 (Udo, 1970, p.221). British penetration into the Umuahia region followed the broader pattern of military expeditions and treaty-making that characterized early colonial expansion in southeastern Nigeria (Ugochukwu, 1999, pp.173-190). By 1905, the area had been incorporated into the newly established Bende Division and later reorganized as the Umuahia Division under the Southern Provinces. The colonial administration sought to impose order, extract revenue, and regulate trade, but it faced a fundamental challenge: Umuahia's pre-colonial political landscape lacked centralized leadership structures that could be easily co-opted into the indirect rule framework (Ukwu, 1967, pp.647-662). In response, British officials introduced a system of appointed intermediaries, *warrant chiefs*, who were vested with judicial and administrative authority. This innovation was not merely an administrative convenience; it represented a deliberate attempt to reshape local governance in ways that aligned with British expectations of hierarchical political order.

The warrant chief system in Umuahia was fraught with structural weaknesses from its inception (Van Allen, 1972, pp. 165-181). Unlike societies with hereditary chieftaincy institutions, Umuahia communities traditionally operated through village assemblies, age-grade associations, and lineage councils. The imposition of warrant chiefs therefore disrupted established norms of collective decision-making and accountability. Many warrant chiefs lacked legitimacy in the eyes of their communities, having been selected based on their perceived loyalty to the colonial administration rather than their standing within local society (Watts, 1983, p.70). Their roles in tax collection, court administration, and labour recruitment further alienated them from the populace. The resulting tensions manifested in widespread resistance, including petitions, boycotts of colonial courts, and, in some cases, direct confrontation. The most notable expression of this discontent was the 1929 Women's War, in which women from Umuahia and neighbouring areas mobilized against taxation policies and the abuses of warrant chiefs. Although the uprising is often discussed in regional terms, its impact on Umuahia was profound: it exposed the fragility of the colonial administrative apparatus and forced the British to reconsider aspects of indirect rule (Wraith, 1957, pp.55-92). Native courts formed the backbone of colonial governance in Umuahia. Designed to administer "customary law" under colonial supervision, these courts became arenas where indigenous norms were reinterpreted, codified, and sometimes distorted. Court presidents—often warrant chiefs—were empowered to adjudicate disputes, impose fines, and enforce colonial regulations. However, the courts also became sites of negotiation. Litigants strategically invoked customary principles to advance their interests, while communities challenged decisions perceived as unjust or inconsistent with established norms (Afigbo, 1972, p.47). Over time, the colonial administration introduced reforms aimed at curbing abuses, including the replacement of some warrant chiefs with "court members" drawn from recognized community leaders. Yet the fundamental tension between imposed authority and indigenous legitimacy persisted throughout the colonial period.

By the 1940s, the British administration sought to modernize local governance through the introduction of *Native Authorities* and, later, *Local Government Councils*. These reforms were part of a broader shift toward developmental colonialism, emphasizing education, health, and infrastructure (Ottenberg, 1958, pp.295-317). In Umuahia, the new councils incorporated a wider range of local elites, including educated Africans, traders, and mission-trained professionals.

Despite these changes, the councils remained constrained by limited financial autonomy and continued oversight by colonial district officers (Elias, 1963, pp.117-187). Nevertheless, they provided a platform for emerging nationalist leaders and facilitated the growth of political consciousness in the region. By the 1950s, Umuahia had become an important node in the expanding network of regional political mobilization, contributing to debates on self-government and constitutional reform. While colonial rule imposed new administrative structures, local actors in Umuahia were not passive recipients of external authority (Van Allen, 1972, pp.165-181). Communities adapted to, contested, and reshaped colonial governance in ways that reflected their interests and cultural values. Age-grade associations continued to play vital roles in community organization, while lineage heads and elders asserted influence in matters of land, marriage, and ritual life (Wraith, 1957, pp.55-92).

Moreover, the rise of educated elites, teachers, clerks, catechists, and traders introduced new forms of leadership that challenged both colonial officials and traditional authorities. These actors became central to the articulation of nationalist ideas and the demand for political reform in the late colonial period (Ugochukwu, 1999, pp.173-190). The experience of indirect rule in Umuahia reveals the complexities and contradictions of colonial governance in southeastern Nigeria. The warrant chief system, native courts, and later administrative reforms all reflected attempts to impose a centralized political order on a society with deeply rooted decentralized traditions

(Uchendu, 1967, pp.275-286). Yet local communities consistently negotiated the terms of colonial authority, shaping outcomes in ways that continue to influence political culture in contemporary Umuahia (Nwabara, 1977, p.280). The legacies of these interactions contested authority, hybrid institutions, and evolving leadership structures—remain central to understanding the region's historical trajectory.

The Warrant Chief System

The warrant chief system was one of the most consequential administrative innovations introduced by the British in southeastern Nigeria during the early twentieth century (Afigbo, 1972, p.44). In Umuahia, an area historically characterized by decentralized, lineage-based governance, the imposition of warrant chiefs represented a profound departure from indigenous political norms. The system became a central pillar of indirect rule, yet it generated widespread tension, resistance, and institutional instability (Afigbo, 1972, p.32). Understanding its structure, operation, and local reception is essential for interpreting the broader dynamics of colonial governance in Umuahia. The British introduced the warrant chief system in the 1900s as a pragmatic solution to the absence of centralized authority in Igbo societies. Unlike northern emirates or western Yoruba kingdoms, Umuahia lacked hereditary rulers who could be co-opted into colonial administration. To fill this perceived vacuum, colonial officers appointed individuals, often without community consultation and issued them "warrants" granting judicial and administrative authority (Perham, 1937, p.56). The system was designed to: facilitate tax collection, enforce colonial regulations, administer native courts, provide a recognizable hierarchy for indirect rule. However, the British misinterpreted the decentralized nature of Igbo political culture, assuming that authority could be vested in single individuals rather than in councils, age grades, and kinship groups (Isichei, 1976, p.131). In Umuahia, warrant chiefs were typically chosen based on their perceived loyalty, literacy, or willingness to cooperate with colonial officials. This process often bypassed established community structures. As a result: many appointees lacked traditional legitimacy, elders and lineage heads were sidelined, communities viewed the new chiefs as colonial agents rather than representatives. Some warrant chiefs were traders, ex-slaves, or individuals with missionary connections, groups that did not traditionally wield political authority (Crowder & Ikime, 1970, p.344). They presided over native courts, adjudicating disputes related to land, marriage, inheritance, and minor criminal offences. Their judgments were often influenced by colonial expectations rather than customary norms. Warrant chiefs were responsible for: collecting taxes, mobilizing labour for public works, enforcing sanitary and market regulations, reporting local affairs to district officers. They acted as intermediaries between the colonial state and local communities, conveying orders and implementing policies (Nwabara,1977, p.98). These powers were unprecedented in pre-colonial Umuahia, where authority was shared among elders, age grades, and religious specialists. The warrant chief system quickly became a source of conflict. Many chiefs abused their authority, engaging in bribery and extortion, manipulation of court decisions, arbitrary fines and forced labour, exploitation of women and vulnerable groups (Uchendu, 1977, p.88). These abuses eroded community trust and fuelled widespread resentment. The most dramatic expression of resistance occurred during the Women's War of 1929, when women from Umuahia and neighbouring areas protested: the perceived introduction of women's taxation, the corruption of warrant chiefs, the erosion of indigenous governance. Women targeted courts and the homes of warrant chiefs, symbolically challenging the legitimacy of the system (Dike & Ekejiuba, 1990, p.134). The uprising forced the colonial administration to reassess the structure and powers of warrant chiefs. In response to mounting criticism, the British introduced reforms in the 1930s and 1940s: court membership was broadened to include respected elders, warrant chiefs were replaced in some areas by "court presidents" or "chiefs-in-council," educated elites began to participate in local administration, native Authorities were reorganized to reduce individual power (Meek, 1937, p.77). By the 1950s, the warrant chief system had largely been phased out, replaced by more representative local government councils. Although the system was short-lived, its impact on Umuahia was profound: It disrupted indigenous political structures, it created new elites aligned with colonial authority, it contributed to the rise of political consciousness and resistance movements, it left behind hybrid institutions that influenced post-colonial governance (Van Allen, 1972, pp.165-181). The memory of warrant chiefs remains embedded in local historical consciousness, often invoked as a symbol of colonial imposition and administrative distortion. The warrant chief system in Umuahia exemplifies the contradictions of British indirect rule in southeastern Nigeria. Designed to simplify governance, it instead generated widespread resistance, institutional instability, and cultural disruption (Afigbo, 1966, pp.65-84). Yet it also catalyzed new forms of political engagement and contributed to the emergence of nationalist movements. Its legacy continues to shape contemporary debates about authority, legitimacy, and governance in the region (Hailey, 1938, p.45).

The "moral tonic" of taxation

Britain emerged from the Great War victorious but broke (Ikime, 1968, p.355). Having liquidated its overseas investments to pay for the war; having lost overseas and colonial markets to the United States and Japan while occupied fighting Germany; saddled with outdated machinery and plant throughout its industrial north, the country began to slip into depression as early as 1920 (Falola & Heaton, 2008, p.222). Efficiencies in all areas of government were called for, but nowhere did the need to economize appear as markedly as in the imperative to

"make the colonies pay for themselves." Colonial administrators cut costs where they could, but in most cases, they balanced their books by increasing tax revenues (Lugard, 1922, p.65). In Nigeria in 1927, against the advice of local Igbo elders, some warrant chiefs, and even a few British officials better versed than most in the ways of Igbo life, the British chose to impose direct taxation on Igbo men. Under Lugardian policies, native treasuries were to be established to provide money for the maintenance of public works such as roads and to shore up the dignity of the warrant chiefs, who would ultimately be given control over the funds (Mba, 1982, p.212). British authorities also believed, as Afigbo pointed out, that "taxation forced people to work thereby stimulating industry and production which benefited the people with the 'moral tonic' of industry and increased colonial income from export." Until 1927, a system of *corvée* labour had existed in the colonized Nigerian southeast, which was administered with many abuses by the warrant chiefs and their entourage (Nair, 1972, pp.320-367). This system, however, at least had the advantage of being intelligible to the local population, even if its abuses caused a long-standing discontent. Taxation, on the other hand, was not a concept with which the Igbo and most other southeastern Nigerians were familiar, and it ran exactly counter to important aspects of their world view: according to Igbo thought, for instance, land could never be alienated, so why should it be paid for, especially to strangers who could never be *Amala* (owners/ husbands of the land)? Afigbo has shown, for example, that no indigenous terms existed to express taxation as it is known in the west. Instead, the notion of taxation was expressed to Igbo-speaking people (Crowder, 1968, pp.187). Moreover, since no tax could be taken without some census data being compiled, indigenous notions about counting people militated in favour of a rejection of direct taxation. Igbo-speaking people, for example, believed that counting human beings could cause death, a conviction that explains why the census was taken far more seriously by certain portions of the Igbo population than the British could have imagined. "Counting, it was believed," Afigbo pointed out, "reminded evil spirits that a particular kingroup had multiplied beyond a certain point and that the time had come to prune it." Igbo-speakers well understood counting, particularly in the reckoning of debts; the Igbo possess a complex, indigenous numeral system and even have a phrase to express the idea of "infinity." It was the counting of *human beings* that was looked upon with dread and a head tax seemed even more abominable still when conceptualized as somehow paying a ransom for living on the land that had historically belonged to one's lineage or clan (Vansina, 1985, pp.200-245).

Disregarding, then, the warnings of wiser heads, colonial administrators organized a census of men and their property, taking pains to give out as little real information about their intentions as possible. District officers, however, soon found that they and their surrogates were making little progress with the counts, often having to resort to estimation to make deadlines set by their superiors. John Jackson of the Asa native court, near Umuahia under the pressure of such a deadline, was ultimately forced to "assume one male for every three doors in the compound." Other administrators were met with locked doors and an absolute refusal on the part of local warrant chiefs to render them any assistance (Mamdani, 1996, p.23-60). Although prepared for violent outbreaks throughout the southeastern provinces in response to the census, the government instead found itself faced with a highly suspect count and no other recourse but to try to collect taxes based upon it.

Authorities compiled their tax rolls from the problematic census and implemented the first direct taxation in southeastern Nigeria in 1928. The tax amounted to 5 shillings per adult male. Although higher colonial officials judged it eminently fair, Igbo men found such a sum difficult to pay. Most indigenous southeastern Nigerians had limited access to British currency, and colonial tax collectors would accept no local substitute, such as cowries, manillas or brass rods for hard currency. Although sterling had been introduced to Igboland as the official currency as early as 1902, resistance to it continued in the hinterlands, where it was worth little and only rarely seen (Ottenberg, 1971, pp.55-88). Even those traders who travelled to the large market towns like Onitsha and sold their produce in return for British coin often turned immediately to money-changers to trade the unfamiliar currency for cowries or other local forms, and at a highly unfavourable rate of exchange. "The firms paid for produce in silver coins and currency notes," explained Ekejiuba, "but since these had no value for the producers, they were quite willing to accept half the value of the new currency in exchange for the old." As Igbo people had little access to this sort of long-distance trading at any rate, many men resorted to borrowing money to pay the tax. Village men borrowed sterling from the warrant chiefs at exorbitant rates of interest to pay the tax or were forced to pawn members of their families to get hold of cash (Dike & Ekejiuba, 1990, p.125). Either alternative was a bad one, and most households were brought closer to impoverishment by the imposition of direct taxation. The notorious role played by a certain warrant chief in Oloko, near Umuahia is very significant.

Economic Transformation under British Colonial Rule

The economic landscape of Umuahia underwent significant restructuring under British colonial rule (Mba, 1982, p.210). While pre-colonial economic life was rooted in subsistence agriculture, local craft production, and inter-village trade, colonial policies reoriented the region toward export-driven production and integration into global capitalist markets (Dike, 1956, p.114). This section examines the expansion of cash-crop agriculture, the development of transportation infrastructure, and the fiscal mechanisms through which the colonial state extracted

revenue and reshaped local livelihoods. The introduction and expansion of cash crops, particularly palm produce formed the backbone of colonial economic policy in Umuahia (Hopkins, 1973, p.54). British officials encouraged the cultivation and marketing of palm oil and kernels, commodities that were in high demand in European industries. The establishment of the Umuahia central market, strategically located along emerging transport routes, transformed the town into a major commercial hub in southeastern Nigeria. Local farmers adapted to these new opportunities, integrating cash-crop production into existing agricultural cycles (Omosini, 1972, pp..395-407). However, the shift toward export agriculture also generated vulnerabilities. Fluctuating global prices, exploitative purchasing practices by European firms, and the colonial state's regulatory controls often placed producers at a disadvantage. Despite these challenges, the commercialization of agriculture contributed to the rise of a new class of traders and middlemen who played pivotal roles in regional commerce (Isichei, 1976, p.221). The construction of the Eastern Railway, which reached Umuahia in 1916, was a transformative development. The railway linked the region to coastal ports and northern markets, facilitating the movement of goods and people. For the colonial administration, the railway served as a vital instrument for resource extraction and administrative control (Rodney, 1972, p.190). For local communities, it opened new economic possibilities, including long-distance trade, wage labour, and increased mobility (Mamdani, 1996, p.180). The railway station at Umuahia became a focal point of commercial activity, attracting migrants, traders, and transport workers. The growth of ancillary services such as storage facilities, rest houses, and retail shops, further stimulated urbanization and economic diversification (Falola, 1996, p.235).

Colonial taxation policies, particularly the introduction of direct taxation in the 1920s, imposed significant burdens on households. Taxes were often payable in cash, compelling many men to engage in wage labour or increase cash-crop production (Nwabara, 1977, p.33). Labour recruitment for public works, plantations, and railway construction also affected local labour availability and household economies. These pressures contributed to social tensions and resistance, as communities challenged what they perceived as exploitative fiscal demands (Crowder, 1938, p.48). Nonetheless, the monetization of the economy and the expansion of wage labour created new economic identities and opportunities, especially for young men seeking mobility and independence.

Social and Cultural Change

Missionary societies, particularly the Church Missionary Society (CMS) and the Methodist Mission played a central role in reshaping the social and cultural landscape of Umuahia. Through the establishment of mission schools, churches, and training centres, missionaries introduced Western education, literacy, and Christian religious practices (Afigbo, 1966, pp. 539-557). Education became a critical avenue for social mobility, producing a generation of clerks, teachers, catechists, and civil servants who would later form the nucleus of the region's educated elite. These individuals often acted as intermediaries between the colonial state and local communities, while also becoming influential voices in emerging nationalist movements. The spread of Christianity did not simply displace indigenous religious practices; rather, it produced complex processes of negotiation, adaptation, and syncretism. While many converts embraced Christian teachings, others-maintained elements of traditional belief systems, resulting in hybrid religious expressions. Missionary critiques of indigenous rituals, gender norms, and social practices, such as twin killing, certain initiation rites, and aspects of marriage customs sparked debates within communities (Jones, 1965, pp.373-297). These encounters contributed to broader cultural transformations, including shifts in family structures, gender relations, and conceptions of morality. The growth of Umuahia as an administrative and commercial centre accelerated urbanization. Migrants from surrounding villages and distant regions settled in the town, creating a diverse and dynamic social environment. Urban life introduced new forms of social organization, including labour unions, professional associations, and youth clubs (Gailey, 1970, p.117). These developments fostered new identities that transcended lineage and village affiliations. The emergence of an educated middle class, in particular, reshaped local politics and cultural life, contributing to the rise of modern associational culture and new forms of public engagement.

Resistance, Nationalism, and Political Mobilization: **Early Anti-Colonial Protests and Local Resistance**

Resistance to colonial rule in Umuahia took multiple forms, ranging from everyday acts of defiance to organized protests (Afigbo, 1972, p.82). The imposition of warrant chiefs, taxation policies, and labour demands generated widespread discontent. Communities petitioned colonial officials, boycotted courts, and challenged the legitimacy of appointed authorities. The 1929 Women's War stands out as a landmark episode of resistance. Women from Umuahia and neighbouring areas mobilized against taxation and the abuses of warrant chiefs, employing strategies such as "sitting on a man," collective demonstrations, and market boycotts (Falola & Heaton, 2008, pp.188-230). Their actions not only disrupted colonial administration but also highlighted the central role of women in local political life. By the mid-twentieth century, the expansion of Western education had produced a cadre of educated elites who became increasingly vocal in demanding political reform. Teachers, clerks, and professionals in Umuahia engaged with nationalist ideas circulating across Nigeria, joining political parties, labour unions, and cultural associations.

These elites played key roles in articulating grievances, mobilizing support, and participating in constitutional debates (Mba, 1982, p.91). Their involvement in regional and national politics linked Umuahia to broader movements for self-government and decolonization. Women continued to play influential roles in political mobilization throughout the colonial period. Market women leveraged their economic power and social networks to influence local governance and resist policies perceived as harmful to their interests (Nzimiro, 1972, p.43). The legacy of the Women's War remained a powerful symbol of collective action and gendered political agency. It informed later mobilizations, including campaigns for market reforms, price controls, and greater representation in local councils. In the 1940s and 1950s, Umuahia became increasingly integrated into regional political structures. Political parties such as the National Council of Nigeria and the Cameroons (NCNC) established strong bases in the region, drawing support from traders, professionals, and youth groups (Oyemakinde, 1973, pp.511-527). Local government reforms introduced elected councils, providing new avenues for political participation. These developments contributed to the growth of political consciousness and prepared the ground for Nigeria's independence in 1960. By the end of colonial rule, Umuahia had emerged as a significant centre of political activity, shaped by decades of negotiation, resistance, and adaptation.

Colonial Legacies in Contemporary Umuahia

The imprint of British colonial rule on Umuahia continues to shape the city's political culture, economic structures, social institutions, and spatial organization long after independence (Ogbogbo, 2005, pp.1-15). Although the colonial administration formally ended in 1960, many of the institutions, practices, and socio-economic patterns introduced during the colonial era remain embedded in contemporary life. Understanding these legacies is essential for interpreting the region's post-colonial development and the enduring influence of colonial governance on local society. The administrative frameworks introduced under indirect rule—particularly the use of warrant chiefs, native courts, and later local government councils—left a lasting imprint on political organization in Umuahia (Chukwu, 2012, pp.1-26) While the warrant chief system was abolished, the broader logic of centralized local authority persisted. Contemporary traditional rulers (Eze or royal fathers), though rooted in indigenous institutions, often operate within structures shaped by colonial precedents, including state recognition and certification, defined territorial jurisdictions, formal roles in dispute resolution and community governance. These hybrid institutions reflect the fusion of colonial administrative logic with indigenous political culture. Colonial rule introduced bureaucratic procedures, record-keeping practices, and hierarchical administrative norms that continue to influence local governance (Falola, 1998, p.p.102). The emphasis on documentation, permits, taxation, and formal adjudication, initially foreign to decentralized Igbo political systems has become part of contemporary state–society interactions. This has contributed to: a formalized but often rigid administrative culture, persistent tensions between official authority and community-based institutions, ongoing debates about legitimacy, accountability, and representation (Falola & Heaton, 2008, p.188-230).

The construction of the Eastern Railway in 1916 transformed Umuahia into a major commercial and transportation hub. This colonial infrastructure continues to shape the city's economic geography. The railway corridor remains a focal point for: wholesale markets, transport services, warehousing and logistics, migrant settlement patterns. Although the railway's economic significance has declined, its role in catalyzing urban growth endures.

Colonial emphasis on palm produces and other cash crops laid the foundation for Umuahia's vibrant market economy (Ubah, 1994, p.62). The central markets, Afor Ibeku, Orie Umuahia, and later the modern Umuahia Main Market retain their colonial-era functions as regional trading centres. The commercialization of agriculture, introduced under colonial rule, continues to influence rural–urban linkages, household income strategies, gendered economic roles, especially among market women. Colonial policies that formalized land boundaries and introduced new forms of land documentation have shaped contemporary land tenure practices (Ugochukwu, 1999, pp.173-190). Disputes over land, often rooted in colonial-era demarcations, remain common, reflecting the long-term consequences of imposed administrative boundaries. Missionary schools established during the colonial period produced the first generation of literate elites in Umuahia. Their descendants continue to occupy influential positions in politics, business, and religious institutions. The prestige associated with Western education, introduced by missionaries, remains deeply embedded in contemporary social values (Burton, 2005, p.99).

Christianity, which spread rapidly under colonial rule, remains the dominant religion in Umuahia today. Churches continue to serve as: centres of community organization, providers of education and social services, platforms for political mobilization. Yet indigenous beliefs persist in modified forms, reflecting a long history of cultural negotiation. The memory of the 1929 Women's War remains a powerful symbol of women's political agency in the region. Contemporary women's associations, market unions, and civic groups draw inspiration from this legacy, asserting influence in local governance and economic life (Falola & Jennings, 2003, p.66). The spatial layout of modern Umuahia reflects colonial planning principles, including segregated administrative quarters, market-centred urban design, road networks radiating from the railway station. These patterns continue to shape mobility, land use, and neighbourhood identities. Umuahia's designation as a colonial administrative centre laid

the groundwork for its later selection as the capital of Abia State in 1991. The city's administrative heritage continues to influence public sector employment, infrastructure development, migration patterns (Cresswell & Cresswell, 2018, p.23). Colonial rule remains a reference point in local historical consciousness. Oral histories, community narratives, and commemorative practices highlight resistance to warrant chiefs, the Women's War, the transformation of local institutions. These memories shape contemporary identity and inform debates about governance, development, and cultural preservation. The legacies of British colonial rule in Umuahia are multifaceted and deeply embedded in the region's political, economic, social, and spatial structures (Tosh, 2015, p.441). While independence brought significant changes, many colonial institutions and practices persisted, adapted, or were reinterpreted within new contexts. Contemporary Umuahia thus reflects a layered historical experience in which colonial innovations, indigenous resilience, and post-colonial transformations intersect (Denzin & Lincoln, 2018, pp.78-104). Understanding these legacies is essential for interpreting the city's present challenges and opportunities, as well as its evolving place within the Nigerian federation.

Conclusion

The experience of Umuahia under British colonial rule from 1900 to 1960 reveals the layered and often contradictory nature of colonial transformation in southeastern Nigeria. Far from being a passive recipient of imperial policies, Umuahia's communities actively negotiated, resisted, and reshaped the structures imposed upon them.

The introduction of indirect rule, with its warrant chiefs and native courts, sought to impose a centralized political order on a society historically organized around decentralized, lineage-based authority. The resulting tensions exposed the limits of colonial governance and underscored the resilience of indigenous political culture.

Economically, colonial rule integrated Umuahia into global capitalist networks through cash crop production, taxation, and the development of transportation infrastructure. These changes stimulated commercial growth and urbanization but also generated new forms of inequality and vulnerability. The railway transformed Umuahia into a regional hub, facilitating mobility and trade while simultaneously serving the extractive interests of the colonial state.

Social and cultural transformations were equally profound. Missionary education produced a new class of literate elites who became intermediaries between the colonial administration and local society, as well as key actors in nationalist movements. Christianity reshaped religious life, yet indigenous beliefs persisted, often in hybrid forms. Urbanization and the rise of new social institutions contributed to evolving identities that transcended traditional village affiliations.

Resistance—both overt and subtle—remained a defining feature of Umuahia's colonial experience. From early protests against taxation and the abuses of warrant chiefs to the landmark Women's War of 1929, local actors challenged colonial authority in ways that shaped subsequent reforms. By the mid-twentieth century, Umuahia had become an important centre of political mobilization, contributing to regional and national struggles for self-government.

Ultimately, the colonial encounter in Umuahia produced enduring legacies: hybrid administrative structures, contested notions of authority, and a politically conscious populace. These legacies continued to influence the region's postcolonial trajectory, shaping governance, social relations, and economic development long after independence. Understanding this history not only enriches the historiography of colonial Nigeria but also provides valuable insights into the complexities of state formation, identity, and resistance in African societies.

References

Asiegbu, J.U.J (1985) Traditional African Societies and Indigenous Technology: A Case Study of the Umuahia-Igbo Communities of Southeastern Nigeria, Dalhousia University Press, Canada, p.2

Afigbo, A. E**.** (1966). Revolution and reaction in Eastern Nigeria, 1900–1929: A study of the Eastern Provinces of Nigeria. *Journal of the Historical Society of Nigeria, 3*(3), 539–557.

Afigbo, A. E. (1972). *The Warrant Chiefs: Indirect Rule in Southeastern Nigeria, 1891–1929*. Longman, p.71

Afigbo, A. E. (1981). *Ropes of sand: Studies in Igbo history and culture*. Oxford University Press,p.102

Afigbo, A. E. (1987). The Igbo and their neighbours: Inter-group relations in Southeastern Nigeria to 1953. *Nigerian Journal of Economic History, 1*, 1–23.

Ajayi, J. F. A., & Crowder, M. (Eds.). (1974). *History of West Africa: Volume II*. Longman, 87

Amadiume, I. (1987). *Male daughters, female husbands: Gender and sex in an African society*. Zed Books, p.34

Anene, J. C. (1966). *Southern Nigeria in transition, 1885–1906: Theory and practice in a colonial protectorate*. Cambridge University Press, p.141

Chuku, G. (2005). *Igbo women and economic transformation in Southeastern Nigeria, 1900–1960*. Routledge, p.299

Chuku, G. (2012). The women's war of 1929: Gender and anti-colonial protest in Eastern Nigeria. *African Studies Review, 55*(2), 1–26.

Coleman, J. S. (1958). *Nigeria: Background to nationalism*. University of California Press, p.86

Crowder, M. (1968). *West Africa under colonial rule*. Hutchinson, p.67
Falola, T. (1998). *Violence in Nigeria: The crisis of religious politics and secular ideologies*. University of Rochester Press, p.102
Falola, T., & Heaton, M. M. (2008). *A history of Nigeria*. Cambridge University Press, pp.188-230
Gailey, H. A. (1970). *A history of Africa to 1870*. Holt, Rinehart and Winston, p.117
Isichei, E. (1976). *A history of the Igbo people*. Macmillan, p.55
Isichei, E. (1983). *A history of Nigeria*. Longman, p.89
Jones, G. I. (1963). *The trading states of the Oil Rivers: A study of political development in Eastern Nigeria*. Oxford University Press.
Jones, G. I. (1965). Native and trade currencies in Southern Nigeria during the nineteenth century. *Africa*, *35*(3), 273–297.
Lloyd, P. C. (1963). *The political development of Yoruba kingdoms in the eighteenth and nineteenth centuries*. Royal Anthropological Institute, 111
Mba, N. E. (1982). *Nigerian women mobilized: Women's political activity in Southern Nigeria, 1900–1965*. Institute of International Studies, University of California, p.90
Meek, C. K. (1937). *Law and authority in a Nigerian tribe: A study in indirect rule*. Oxford University Press, p.208
Nzimiro, I. (1972). *Studies in Ibo political systems: Chieftaincy and politics in four Niger states*. University of California Press, p.76
Ogbogbo, C. B. N. (2005). The Niger Delta and the national question in Nigeria. *African Journal of History and Culture, 2*(1), 1–15.
Ottenberg, S. (1958). Ibo receptivity to change. *Africa, 28*(4), 314–327.
Ottenberg, S**.** (1968). *Double descent in an African society: The Afikpo village-group*. University of Washington Press, p.54
Oyemakinde, W. (1973). The development of railway construction in Nigeria, 1895–1911. *Journal of the Historical Society of Nigeria, 6*(4), 511–527.
Tamuno, T. N. (1972). *The evolution of the Nigerian state: The Southern phase, 1898–1914*. Longman, pp. 231-296
Uchendu, V. C. (1965). *The Igbo of Southeast Nigeria*. Holt, Rinehart and Winston, p.55
Uchendu, V. C. (1967). Education and the changing Igbo society. *Journal of Modern African Studies, 5*(3), 275–286.
Udo, R. K. (1970). *Geographical regions of Nigeria*. Heinemann, p.221
Ugochukwu, F. N. (1999). Colonialism and the transformation of Igbo land tenure. *Journal of African Law, 43*(2), 173–190.
Ukwu, I. U. (1967). The development of trade and marketing in Igboland. *Journal of the Historical Society of Nigeria, 3*(4), 647–662.
Van Allen, J. (1972). "Sitting on a man": Colonialism and the lost political institutions of Igbo women. *Canadian Journal of African Studies, 6*(2), 165–181.
Watts, M. (1983). *Silent violence: Food, famine and peasantry in Northern Nigeria*. University of California Press, p.70
Wraith, R. (1957). *Native administration in Nigeria*. Oxford University Press, pp.55-92
Vansina, J. (1985). *Oral tradition as history*. University of Wisconsin Press, p.42
Burton, A. (Ed.). (2005). *Archive stories: Facts, fictions, and the writing of history*. Duke University Press, p.99
Tosh, J. (2015). *The pursuit of history: Aims, methods and new directions in the study of history* (6th ed.). Routledge, p.441
Denzin, N. K., & Lincoln, Y. S. (Eds.). (2018). *The SAGE handbook of qualitative research* (5th ed.). SAGE Publications, pp.78-104
Afigbo, A. E. (1972). *The warrant chiefs: Indirect rule in southeastern Nigeria, 1891–1929*. Longman, p.21
Isichei, E. (1976). *A history of the Igbo people*. Macmillan Press, p.55
Creswell, J. W., & Creswell, J. D. (2018). *Research design: Qualitative, quantitative, and mixed methods approaches* (5th ed.). SAGE Publications, p.23
Falola, T., & Jennings, C. (Eds.). (2003). *Africanizing knowledge: African studies across the disciplines*. Transaction Publishers, p.66
Afigbo, A. E. (1972). *The warrant chiefs: Indirect rule in southeastern Nigeria, 1891–1929*. Longman, p.72
Elias, T. O. (1963). *British colonial law: A comparative study of the interaction between English and local laws in British dependencies*. Stevens & Sons, pp.117-187
Meek, C. K. (1937). *Law and authority in a Nigerian tribe: A study in indirect rule*. Oxford University Press, p.77
Ezera, K. (1960). *Constitutional developments in Nigeria: An analytical study of Nigeria's constitution-making developments and the historical and political factors that affected constitutional change*. Cambridge University Press, pp.850-1250

Ubah, C. N. (1994). *Colonial army and society in Northern Nigeria*. Kaduna State Publishing House, p.61
Uchendu, V. C. (1965). *The Igbo of southeast Nigeria*. Holt, Rinehart and Winston, p.230
Perham, M. (1937). *Native administration in Nigeria*. Oxford University Press,p.241
Ottenberg, S. (1958). Ibo oracles and intergroup relations. *Southwestern Journal of Anthropology*, *14*(3), 295–317.
Chanock, M. (1985). *Law, custom and social order: The colonial experience in Malawi and Zambia*. Cambridge University Press, p.331
Van Allen, J. (1972). "Sitting on a man": Colonialism and the lost political institutions of Igbo women. *Canadian Journal of African Studies*, *6*(2), 165–181.
Nwabara, S. N. (1977). *Iboland: A century of contact with Britain, 1860–1960*. Hodder and Stoughton, p.282
Falola, T., & Heaton, M. M. (2008). *A history of Nigeria*. Cambridge University Press, p.308
Afigbo, A. E. (1972). *The warrant chiefs: Indirect rule in southeastern Nigeria, 1891–1929*. Longman, p.44
Perham, M. (1937). *Native administration in Nigeria*. Oxford University Press, p.56
Isichei, E. (1976). *A history of the Igbo people*. Macmillan Press, p.131
Crowder, M., & Ikime, O. (Eds.). (1970). *West African chiefs: Their changing status under colonial rule and independence*. University of Ife Press, p.344
Nwabara, S. N. (1977). *Iboland: A century of contact with Britain, 1860–1960*. Hodder and Stoughton, p.98
Uchendu, V. C. (1965). *The Igbo of southeast Nigeria*. Holt, Rinehart and Winston, p.88
Dike, K. O., & Ekejiuba, F. (1990). *The Aro of south-eastern Nigeria, 1650–1980: A study of socio-economic formation and transformation in Nigeria*. University Press Limited, p.134
Meek, C. K. (1937). *Law and authority in a Nigerian tribe: A study in indirect rule*. Oxford, University Press, p.77
Van Allen, J. (1972). "Sitting on a man": Colonialism and the lost political institutions of Igbo women. *Canadian Journal of African Studies*, *6*(2), 165–181.
Afigbo, A. E. (1966). The Aba riots of 1929: Their antecedents and aftermath. *Journal of the Historical Society of Nigeria*, *1*(1), 65–84.
Hailey, W. M. (1938). *An African survey: A study of problems arising in Africa south of the Sahara*. Oxford University Press, p.45
Ikime, O. (1968). *Niger Delta rivalry: Itsekiri-Urhobo relations and the European presence, 1884–1936*. Longman, p355
Falola, T., & Heaton, M. M. (2008). *A history of Nigeria*. Cambridge University Press, p.222
Lugard, F. D. (1922). *The dual mandate in British tropical Africa*. William Blackwood and Sons, p.65
Mba, N. E. (1982). *Nigerian women mobilized: Women's political activity in southern Nigeria, 1900–1965*. Institute of International Studies, University of California, p.212
Nair, K. K. (1972). *Politics and society in south eastern Nigeria, 1841–1906: A study of power, diplomacy and commerce in Old Calabar*. Frank Cass, pp.320-367
Crowder, M. (1968). *West Africa under colonial rule*. Northwestern University Press, p.187
Vansina, J. (1985). *Oral tradition as history*. University of Wisconsin Press, pp.200-245
Mamdani, M. (1996). *Citizen and subject: Contemporary Africa and the legacy of late colonialism*. Princeton University Press, pp.23-60
Ottenberg, S. (1971). *Leadership and authority in an African society: The Afikpo village group*. University of Washington Press, pp.56-88
Dike, K. O. (1956). *Trade and politics in the Niger Delta, 1830–1885: An introduction to the economic and political history of Nigeria*. Oxford University Pressp.114
Hopkins, A. G. (1973). *An economic history of West Africa*. Columbia University Press, p.54
Omosini, O. (1972). The railway construction and its impact on the economic development of Nigeria, 1895–1911. *Journal of the Historical Society of Nigeria*, *6*(4), 395–407.
Isichei, E. (1976). *A history of the Igbo people*. Macmillan Press, p.221
Rodney, W. (1972). *How Europe underdeveloped Africa*. Bogle-L'Ouverture Publications, p.190
Falola, T. (1996). *Development planning and decolonization in Nigeria*. University Press of Florida, p.235
Nwabara, S. N. (1977). *Iboland: A century of contact with Britain, 1860–1960*. Hodder and Stoughton, p.33
Crowder, M. (1968). *West Africa under colonial rule*. Northwestern University Press, p.48
Mba, N. E. (1982). *Nigerian women mobilized: Women's political activity in southern Nigeria, 1900–1965*. Institute of International Studies, University of California, p.210
Mamdani, M. (1996). *Citizen and subject: Contemporary Africa and the legacy of late colonialism*. Princeton University Press, p.180