Philosophy of the Arts: Aesthetic Foundations of Creativity and Performance

Dr. Francis Okechukwu Ndubuisi
Department of Philosophy
Nnamdi Azikiwe University, Awka
fo.ndubuisi@unizik.edu.ng

Dr. PhilipMary Ayika
Department of Religion and Human Relations
Nnamdi Azikiwe University, Awka
rp.ayika@unizik.edu.ng

Abstract

This research explores the philosophical foundations that underlie artistic creativity and performance. It examines how aesthetic theory, as a branch of philosophy, provides a framework for understanding art as a mode of knowing, expressing, and creating meaning. Through an analysis of creativity as both an ontological and phenomenological process, the chapter situates performance within the continuum of aesthetic experience, ethics, and social meaning. Drawing from traditional Igbo Worldview and on Western thinkers such as Aristotle, Kant, Hegel, Dewey, and contemporary theorists of embodiment and process philosophy, it argues that art is not merely a product of imagination but a living dialogue between the artist, the audience, and the world.

Keywords: Philosophy, Arts, Aesthetic, Creativity, Phenemenology

1. Introduction

In the traditional Igbo philosophy of life, a man is distinguished from others by his *chi*, which is a dynamic principle that transforms the human persona daily. *Chi* is not shared but is the uniqueness of an individual that is born by *Anị* and *Anyanwụ*, the Supreme Mother Goddess and Supreme Father God**.**

The treatment of *Chi* by Agbasiere (2000) "as a subtle incarnation of both *Chukwu* and *Ala*" (p. 54) speaks volumes about a dualist conception of the Ultimate Principle and also the import of Art. Every morning, one is greeted "Ị bọọla chi?" which literally means: *Have you succeeded in breaking through the darkness to light?* This seems to ask if *Amadịọha*, God of the Sky or Sun has opened one up to a new day on the land, *Ala*. Thus the movement of the sun as a

sign of *Amadịọha*, the receptivity of the earth as a sign of the Goddess, *Ala*, and the interfacing activity of an individual gives him/her a unique value, *Chi*. Therefore, *Chi* is at the same time an insignia engraved upon the productions (artefacts) of an individual.

Western Philosophy and art have long shared a dynamic relationship in humanity's quest for meaning. Where philosophy seeks to understand existence through reason, art seeks to experience it through creation. The *philosophy of the arts*—or aesthetics—asks fundamental questions: What is beauty? What makes an object or performance artistic? How does creativity emerge, and what is its relation to truth?

The term *aesthetics* originates from the Greek *aisthesis*, meaning perception. Aesthetics therefore concerns not only the judgment of beauty but also the sensory and affective dimensions of human experience. Creativity and performance are aesthetic acts through which being, perception, and emotion converge to make an existential meaning. Understanding their philosophical foundations allows us to see the arts as central—not peripheral—to knowledge and culture.

2. The Ontology of Art: Being, Representation, and Expression

Art exists simultaneously as object and experience. Philosophically, this dual nature has been debated from antiquity. Plato viewed art as *mimesis*—an imitation of nature—and therefore twice removed from truth (Plato, *Republic*, Book X). Aristotle, by contrast, saw *mimesis* as a natural human impulse, a means of learning and catharsis (*Poetics*, 1448b). This Aristotelian insight positions creativity not as deception but as revelation of being.

In modern philosophy, Kant (2000) reframed aesthetics as a judgment of taste grounded in disinterested pleasure. For Kant, beauty revealed a harmony between imagination and understanding—a symbolic expression of the moral order. Hegel (1975) later argued that art is "the sensuous manifestation of the Idea," an expression of Geist (Spirit) in material form. Through art, human consciousness externalizes itself.

Martin Heidegger's philosophy of art fundamentally challenges the classical notion that art is an imitation (mimesis) of reality. In *The Origin of the Work of Art*, Heidegger argues that art does not merely copy or represent the world; rather, it opens up reality by revealing truth. A painting of a tree, for example, is not meaningful because it resembles a tree but because it discloses the essence of

"tree-being"—the deeper truth of what a tree *is* in our experience and understanding.

Heidegger emphasizes that the artwork has self-sufficiency, a quality he describes as *insichstehen*, meaning "standing-forth in itself." This expresses the idea that a genuine work of art possesses an ontological independence. Its meaning is not dependent on the artist's intentions, the viewer's interpretation, or what it represents. Instead, the artwork contains and reveals its own significance. It persists through time with a presence that remains even when its original cultural context fades. For instance, a Greek temple continues to stand as a temple, even when the gods it was built for are no longer worshipped.

A central part of Heidegger's theory is his concept of the artwork existing within a productive tension between world and earth. *World* refers to meaning, cultural practices, and historical context, while *earth* refers to materiality, mystery, and the aspect of being that resists full disclosure. This tension makes art dynamic rather than static. The artwork simultaneously reveals truth and conceals aspects of being, giving it a living quality that actively shapes how humans encounter the world.

Ultimately, Heidegger concludes that the artwork is a site where truth (aletheia) happens. Through its independent existence, the work of art opens a world and reveals a way of being that is not reducible to imitation or representation. Because of this world-disclosing power, the artwork has an ontological independence—its own mode of being—distinct from both reality and the artist who created it.

From an ontological standpoint, then, art mediates between being and becoming. The artwork is not merely a static object but a process that brings forth meaning. Contemporary philosophers such as Dewey (1934/2005) and Merleau-Ponty (1962) emphasize this processual dimension, treating art as lived experience—*an event of meaning* arising from embodied interaction with the world.

3. Creativity as Philosophical Process

Creativity has often been regarded as a mysterious gift. Yet, from a philosophical viewpoint, it can be understood as a mode of reasoning—an imaginative synthesis that transcends existing forms. Bergson (1911) describes creativity as an *élan vital*, the vital force propelling life toward novelty. Similarly, process philosophers like Whitehead (1929) see creativity as the ultimate category of existence—the principle by which the universe advances.

In human artistic practice, creativity operates through three interwoven stages:

(1) perception and inspiration,
(2) transformation and experimentation, and
(3) realization and performance.
Each stage engages aesthetic judgment and ethical responsibility. The artist perceives the world not as static matter but as potential form; through imaginative synthesis, new realities emerge. Thus, creativity is not arbitrary freedom—it is a disciplined openness to possibility.

Contemporary neuroaesthetic research supports this philosophical view, suggesting that creativity engages both associative and evaluative neural networks (Zeki, 1999). The philosophical and scientific converge here: creativity is a relational activity of mind, body, and environment.

4. The Aesthetic Dimension of Performance

Performance is where art comes alive. It transforms abstract creativity into lived presence. Philosophically, performance is the intersection of *aesthesis* (sensation) and *poiesis* (creation). It is both an act of self-expression and an event of encounter. The performer does not simply reproduce a work; they re-create it, embodying the idea in time.

Phenomenologists such as Merleau-Ponty (1962) emphasize that the body is the medium of perception. In performance, the artist's body becomes both subject and object—feeling and felt, seeing and seen. This self-reflexivity makes performance a site of existential revelation. The actor, dancer, or musician discloses the structures of human being through movement and sound.

Moreover, performance is inherently communal. As Gadamer (1975/1989) observes, the work of art truly "exists" only in its enactment and reception. The audience participates in the aesthetic event, completing its meaning. Thus, performance bridges the private act of creation and the shared space of culture. It demonstrates that aesthetic experience is not solipsistic but dialogical.

5. Ethics and the Aesthetics of Responsibility

Art's creative power also entails moral responsibility. The aesthetic, ethical, and political are interrelated. Every artistic act reshapes perception and therefore carries social implications. According to Dewey (1934/2005), art's value lies in its capacity to enrich experience and foster communication. Similarly, Sartre (1948) insists that the artist is "responsible for what he makes of the world." Creativity thus becomes an ethical engagement with existence.

In performance, ethical responsibility emerges through authenticity, empathy, and respect for context. The performer must balance freedom with fidelity—to truth, to community, and to the integrity of the work. The aesthetic foundation of performance is therefore not mere beauty but meaningful presence: a harmony of expression, intention, and relation.

6. Contemporary Reflections: Technology, Interactivity, and Postmodern Aesthetics

In the 21st century, digital technologies and multimedia practices challenge traditional aesthetic boundaries. The rise of virtual performance, AI-generated art, and participatory installations demands new philosophical reflection. Is creativity still human when algorithms compose symphonies? Does performance require a body, or can it exist in virtual presence?

Postmodern thinkers such as Lyotard (1984) and Baudrillard (1994) highlight the fragmentation and simulation that characterize late-modern aesthetics. Yet, even within this multiplicity, Lawson's (2019) realist ontology reminds us that art remains a relational human practice embedded in social realities. Digital media do not abolish creativity—they transform its conditions.

7. Conclusion: Toward an Integrated Philosophy of Art

The philosophy of the arts reveals that creativity and performance are not ancillary to human existence but central to it. The aesthetic foundations of art—perception, imagination, embodiment, and meaning—constitute the core through which humanity interprets reality. Art is a dialogue between being and becoming, form and freedom, self and other.

To study aesthetics, therefore, is to examine the very conditions of human understanding. Artistic creation is the process through which thought becomes felt, and feeling takes form. Performance, in turn, is the living manifestation of this unity—the space where idea and life converge.

In rethinking the aesthetic foundations of creativity and performance, we reaffirm art's enduring philosophical purpose: to disclose the world anew and to render human experience more deeply intelligible.

References

Agbasiere, J. (2000). *Women in Igbo Life and Thought.* New York: Routledge

Aniakor, C. (1973) "Structuralism in Ikenga: An Ethnoaesthetic Approach". *Ikenga, Vol 2, No 1*

Aristotle. (1996). *Poetics* (M. Heath, Trans.). Penguin Classics. (Original work c. 335 BCE)

Ayika, P.E., 2019, *Daughters of the Goddess: Socio-Religious Investigation into the African Traditional Concept of Dignity for Women (Igbo Society as a case study),* Germany: Lap Lambert

Baudrillard, J. (1994). *Simulacra and simulation* (S. F. Glaser, Trans.). University of Michigan Press.

Bergson, H. (1911). *Creative evolution* (A. Mitchell, Trans.). Macmillan.

Dewey, J. (2005). *Art as experience.* Penguin. (Original work published 1934)

Gadamer, H.-G. (1989). *Truth and method* (J. Weinsheimer & D. G. Marshall, Trans.). Continuum. (Original work published 1975)

Hegel, G. W. F. (1975). *Aesthetics: Lectures on fine art* (T. M. Knox, Trans.). Oxford University Press. (Original work published 1835)

Heidegger, M. (1971). *The origin of the work of art* (A. Hofstadter, Trans.). In *Poetry, language, thought* (pp. 17–87). Harper & Row. (Original work published 1935)

Kant, I. (2000). *Critique of the power of judgment* (P. Guyer, Ed. & Trans.). Cambridge University Press. (Original work published 1790)

Lawson, T. (2019). *The nature of social reality: Issues in social ontology.* Routledge.

Lyotard, J.-F. (1984). *The postmodern condition: A report on knowledge* (G. Bennington & B. Massumi, Trans.). University of Minnesota Press.

Merleau-Ponty, M. (1962). *Phenomenology of perception* (C. Smith, Trans.). Routledge & Kegan Paul.

Plato. (1997). *The Republic* (G. M. A. Grube, Trans., rev. C. D. C. Reeve). Hackett Publishing.

Sartre, J.-P. (1948). *What is literature?* (B. Frechtman, Trans.). Methuen.

Schalow, F., & Denker, A. (Eds.). (2010). *Historical dictionary of Heidegger's philosophy* (2nd ed.). Scarecrow Press.

Sharr, A. (2007). *Heidegger for architects*. Routledge.

Whitehead, A. N. (1929). *Process and reality.* Macmillan.

Young, J. (2001). *Heidegger's philosophy of art*. Cambridge University Press.

Zeki, S. (1999). *Inner vision: An exploration of art and the brain.* Oxford University Press.