IGBOPHOBIA: A FACTOR IN NIGERIA'S ECONOMIC RETROGRESSION

Udem, Marcia Chidinma
Department of History and International Studies,
Nnamdi Azikiwe University, Awka, Nigeria
E-mail: cm.udem@unizik.edu.ng
And
Asiegbu, Tochukwu Ebuka
Department of History and International Studies,
Nnamdi Azikiwe University, Awka, Nigeria
E-mail: te.asiegbu@unizik.edu.ng

Abstract
This paper examines Igbophobia as a systemic and structural form of prejudice against the Igbo people of Nigeria, arguing that it constitutes a critical bottleneck to national economic advancement. Far from being a mere social sentiment, Igbophobia operates as an institutionalized framework of exclusion that marginalizes one of Nigeria's most entrepreneurial demographics, thereby contributing to internal brain drain, capital flight, and declining productivity. The study traces the phenomenon's historical foundations to colonial Indirect Rule, which often characterized Igbo republican governance as "troublesome," and to First Republic politics, where Igbo socio-economic mobility and meritocratic advancement were reframed by critics as "ethnic domination." This perception intensified after the January 1966 coup—widely interpreted in ethnic terms as an "Igbo plot"—and contributed to the 1966 pogroms and the Nigerian Civil War (1967–1970). Post-war policies framed as "Reconstruction, Reconciliation, and Rehabilitation" arguably functioned, in part, as mechanisms of economic containment. The 1970 banking policy that limited many Igbo depositors to £20 restricted capital recovery, while the 1972 Indigenization Decree and the implementation of "Abandoned Property" policies contributed to the dispossession of sections of the Igbo middle class and weakened access to real estate–based collateral. Structural marginalization has also been associated with infrastructural gaps, including the limited development of the Onitsha River Port, delayed upgrades to Akanu Ibiam International Airport in Enugu, and the relative underrepresentation of the Southeast in federal industrial projects—factors that have been described as imposing a "logistical burden" on regional commerce. The cumulative impact includes constrained innovation in industrial hubs such as Aba and Nnewi, increased incentives for skilled migration, and market inefficiencies linked to uneven regulatory enforcement. Critics argue that an overreliance on quota-based systems at the expense of merit can hinder progress toward a knowledge-based economy. Adopting a qualitative historical approach, this paper analyzes how Igbophobia may contribute to economic underperformance and proposes policy responses, including infrastructural equity, merit-based governance, targeted capital access, and national reorientation. It concludes that Nigeria's long-term economic growth depends on addressing exclusionary structures and perceptions, as the country continues to bear the cost of underutilizing the full productive potential of all its regions.
Keywords: Igbophobia, Structural Marginalization, Economic Retrogression, Nigerian Civil War, Indigenization Decree, Brain Drain, National Productivity

INTRODUCTION

Igbophobia, the systemic prejudice against the Igbo people in Nigeria, functions as a structural bottleneck that severely stifles the nation's collective economic potential. This combined with the marginalization of an industrious demographic, drives internal brain drain and capital flight, directly causing national economic retrogression.

During Nigeria's First Republic (1960–1966), the Igbo people occupied a paradoxical position. While they were arguably the most socially and economically mobile group in the newly independent federation, this rapid rise fuelled political friction that eventually fractured the nation.
Economically, the Igbo were the engine of Nigeria's burgeoning middle class. Following the colonial era, the Igbo embraced Western education with a zeal that translated into dominance in the civil service, technical fields, and academia. By the early 1960s, the Eastern Region, under the leadership of Premiers Eyo Ita and later Michael Okpara, transformed into one of the world's fastest-growing economies.

The economic success of the Igbo was characterized by a unique "town union" model. Communities pooled resources to send their brightest sons and daughters to universities in the UK and USA. As historian Toyin Falola notes, this human capital investment allowed the Igbo to dominate the railway, postal services, and electricity corporations across all regions of Nigeria.^[1] Furthermore, the Igbo dominated the informal trade sector. From the

Sabon Gari markets in the North to the bustling streets of Lagos, Igbo merchants controlled the distribution of textiles, motor parts, and consumer goods. However, this omnipresence created an economic "outsider" narrative, as local populations in other regions felt economically displaced by the highly organized Igbo trading networks.
Politically, the Igbo were at the forefront of the nationalist movement. Dr. Nnamdi Azikiwe, an Igbo and leader of the National Council of Nigeria and the Cameroons (NCNC), became the nation's first Governor-General and later President. Initially, the Igbo political elite championed a "One Nigeria" philosophy, viewing the entire federation as their playground for trade and employment.

However, the First Republic was built on a fragile "tripod" system where the three major ethnic groups (Hausa-Fulani, Yoruba, and Igbo) vied for federal control. The NCNC initially formed a coalition with the Northern People's Congress (NPC), but this alliance soured as the Igbo felt increasingly marginalized by Northern hegemony. As Eghosa Osaghae explains, the political atmosphere became toxic due to "census politics," where disputed population figures were used to allocate federal resources and parliamentary seats.[^2] By 1964, the Igbo found themselves in a bitter political struggle, shifting alliances to form the United Progressive Grand Alliance (UPGA) in an attempt to break the NPC's grip on power.

This social mobility, however, was often perceived as "ethnic arrogance" or "Igbo domination" by their neighbours. The Igbo emphasis on meritocracy and individual achievement frequently clashed with the more traditional, hierarchical social structures of the Northern Emirates. Chinua Achebe observed that the Igbo "culture's emphasis on individual achievement" made them both highly successful and deeply resented by those who preferred the status quo.[^3] This social friction was the tinder that the 1966 coups and subsequent pogroms eventually ignited, ending the First Republic and leading to the Civil War.

The Nigerian civil war that lasted from 1967 to 1970 had a great effect on Igbo dominance as they were blamed for causing the war. This actually changed the perception that other ethnic groups in Nigeria had about the Igbo people prior to that era in the history of Nigeria. Though the Federal government announced policies that will ease the plight of the Igbo people at the end of the war in 1970, the actual treatment of the Igbo people were the exact opposite of what were promised. This hatred for the Igbo people has grown to exponential levels since that time. This paper investigates the origin of this Igbo hatred which will be referred to in this publication as 'Igbophobia'. It will also try to establish how this has contributed to Nigeria's economic retrogression to a large extent. The paper adopts the qualitative method of historical research in the content analysis and presentation of data for this study. The paper is thematically arranged. The data for this paper is collected from secondary and tertiary sources, which include books, journals, conference papers, online sources, etc. In the end, the paper suggests some possible solutions to this negative perception of the Igbo people in Nigeria.

HISTORICAL FOUNDATIONS OF IGBOPHOBIA

The historical foundations of Igbophobia are not a modern phenomenon but are rooted in the complex socio-political engineering of the colonial era and the immediate post-independence period. Understanding these foundations requires an analysis of how British administrative policies and early inter-ethnic competition transformed the Igbo from a decentralized group of egalitarian communities into a perceived "threat" to the national interests of other ethnic blocs.

The British colonial administration, particularly under Lord Frederick Lugard, utilized a system of "Indirect Rule" that thrived in the highly centralized, hierarchical caliphates of the North and the kingdoms of the West. The Igbo, however, practiced a decentralized, republican system of governance—often described as "ordered anarchy"—which the British found difficult to manage. As historian A.E. Afigbo notes, the colonial government's frustration with Igbo republicanism led to the imposition of "Warrant Chiefs," a move that was deeply resented by the Igbo and created an early friction between the people and the state.[^4]

Because the Igbo did not fit the colonial mold of "submissive subjects," they were often stereotyped by colonial officials as "troublemakers" or "argumentative." Paradoxically, this same "argumentative" nature made them the fastest to embrace Western education and Christianity as tools for upward mobility. By the 1930s and 40s, the Igbo had moved from being a people the British considered "primitive" to the most educated and urbanized group in Nigeria. This rapid transition triggered what many scholars call "status anxiety" among other ethnic groups who had previously held administrative advantages.[^5]
As the Igbo migrated across the country to work in the civil service and the railway, they settled in Sabon Garis (stranger quarters) in the North. This physical separation facilitated by colonial urban planning prevented social integration and turned the Igbo into a visible "other."
In the North, the Igbo became the face of the colonial economy—the tax collectors, the station masters, and the petty traders. For the local population, the Igbo were the most immediate symbols of colonial intrusion. This

resentment was codified into political rhetoric by early Northern leaders. Sir Ahmadu Bello, in a famous 1959 interview, characterized the Igbo as a people who "would like to dominate everything," expressing a fear that Igbo labourers would take over the jobs and land of the locals.^[6] This rhetoric effectively shifted the blame for colonial-induced economic hardship away from the British and toward the Igbo migrant.

The 1940s witnessed the rise of ethnic-based journalism, which hardened Igbophobia into a national sentiment. The rivalry between Dr. Nnamdi Azikiwe's West African Pilot and the Yoruba-led Daily Service turned intellectual debates into ethnic warfare. When Azikiwe remarked in 1949 that it appeared "the God of Africa has especially created the Ibo nation to lead the children of Africa from the bondage of the ages," it was seized upon by political opponents as proof of an "Igbo Master Plan" for domination.^[7]
This single statement, often cited out of context, became a foundational myth for Igbophobia. It provided the intellectual justification for the 1945 Jos riots and the 1953 Kano riots, where economic competition was masked as ethnic "self-defense" against Igbo expansionism. These events established a pattern where Igbo success was interpreted not as individual achievement, but as a collective, predatory strategy.

PRE-CIVIL WAR PREJUDICES

The prejudices against the Igbo people in the years leading up to the Nigerian Civil War (1967–1970) were complex, stemming from colonial legacies, rapid socio-economic shifts, and a volatile political climate. These biases were not merely social slurs but became institutionalized justifications for exclusion and, eventually, violence.
Under British colonial administration, different ethnic groups were often pitted against one another to facilitate imperial control. The Igbo, who lacked the centralized monarchical structures of the Hausa-Fulani or the Yoruba, initially faced colonial skepticism. However, their rapid adoption of Western education and Christianity transformed them into the "engine room" of the colonial bureaucracy [8]. This success birthed a paradox: while the British relied on Igbo clerks and technicians, they also fostered a narrative of the Igbo as "socially disruptive" and "aggressively ambitious" compared to the "noble" and "traditional" Northerners [9].
By the 1940s and 50s, the Igbo had migrated in large numbers to northern and western cities, dominating the transport, artisan, and retail sectors. This demographic shift created "Sabon Garis" (stranger quarters), where the Igbo lived largely segregated from their hosts. This economic visibility fueled prejudices of the Igbo as "clannish" and "money-hungry" [10]. Host communities often viewed the Igbo presence not as a contribution to the local economy, but as an extractive "invasion" by a group that refused to assimilate [11].
Political prejudices peaked following the January 15, 1966, coup. Although led by young officers with idealistic anti-corruption motives, the fact that the leaders were predominantly Igbo and the victims were mostly Northern or Western political titans led to the "Igbo Domination" conspiracy [12]. Pre-war rhetoric in the North characterized the Igbo as "black imperialists" who intended to use a unitary government to enslave other Nigerians [13]. This sentiment transformed cultural stereotypes into an existential threat, directly precipitating the 1966 pogroms.

POST-CIVIL WAR POLICIES AS ECONOMIC SABOTAGE

The conclusion of the Nigerian Civil War in 1970 ushered in a period officially termed "Reconciliation, Reconstruction, and Rehabilitation." However, a critical analysis of the legislative and fiscal frameworks enacted by the Federal Military Government (FMG) suggests a pattern of structural economic containment. These policies effectively decapitated the Igbo middle class and ensured that the ethnic group re-entered the Nigerian federation from a position of profound financial disadvantage.

The Banking Obligations (Eastern States) Decree

The most immediate instrument of economic disenfranchisement was the 1970 currency policy. Under the Banking Obligations (Eastern States) Decree, the federal government invalidated all Biafran currency and, more critically, refused to recognize deposits made into Nigerian bank accounts in the Eastern Region during the war years.[14] Regardless of the actual balance held by an individual—whether it was £50 or £50,000—every Igbo depositor was given a flat sum of only £20 to begin their post-war life.[15] This policy effectively liquidated the private savings of the Igbo population, preventing the emergence of a domestic investment class during the critical early years of reconstruction.

The Nigerian Enterprises Promotion Decree (Indigenization)

In 1972, while the Igbo were still grappling with the total loss of liquid capital, the FMG promulgated the Nigerian Enterprises Promotion Decree.[16] This "Indigenization" policy mandated the transfer of ownership of several foreign-owned companies to Nigerian citizens. Because the Igbo had been stripped of their wealth by the £20 policy, they were systematically unable to participate in the purchase of these shares.[17] Consequently, the ownership of Nigeria's industrial and commercial backbone was consolidated in the hands of other ethnic groups, creating a structural wealth gap that would persist for generations.[18]

The "Abandoned Property" Policy

In the strategic port city of Port Harcourt and other parts of the Rivers State, the "Abandoned Property" policy served as a form of urban dispossession. Houses and commercial premises owned by Igbos who fled during the war were declared "abandoned" and subsequently sold or allocated to "indigenes" by the Rivers State Government.[19] Despite the constitutional right to property, the federal government largely turned a blind eye to this mass transfer of real estate assets, which stripped the Igbo elite of their most significant collateral for securing business loans.[20]

Infrastructural Containment

Economic sabotage also took the form of deliberate infrastructural neglect. The post-war development plans focused heavily on the Lagos-Kano axis, while the Southeast was largely bypassed in terms of federal industrial projects.[21] Furthermore, the persistent underutilization of the Onitsha River Port and the long-delayed upgrade of Enugu to a functional international airport acted as a "logistical tax" on Igbo merchants, forcing them to route all international trade through Lagos-based ports and middle-men.[22]

The synthesis of these policies—the annihilation of savings, the exclusion from indigenization, and the loss of physical assets—suggests a transition from kinetic warfare to economic containment. While the Igbo people eventually regained economic standing through the "Apprenticeship System" (Igba-Boi) and informal trade networks, these successes were achieved in spite of, rather than because of, federal economic policy.

STRUCTURAL MARGINALIZATION AND INFRASTRUCTURE

The end of the Nigerian Civil War in 1970 was marked by the federal government's promise of "Reconstruction," yet scholarly analysis frequently identifies a pattern of "structural marginalization" designed to contain the economic resurgence of the Igbo people. This marginalization is characterized by the systematic underdevelopment of the Southeast's infrastructure and the exclusion of the region from federal industrial planning.

The Geography of Economic Containment

A primary instrument of structural marginalization was the deliberate shaping of Nigeria's logistical map. Post-war development shifted heavily toward the Lagos-Kano corridor, effectively turning the Southeast into a "landlocked" economic zone despite its proximity to the Atlantic.[23] By limiting the functionality of the Onitsha River Port and failing to develop the deep-sea potential of the Bight of Biafra, the federal government forced Igbo merchants to rely on the Lagos ports.[24] This created a "logistical tax," as goods destined for Eastern markets incurred additional costs in transport, port congestion fees, and police extortion along the North-South highways.[25]

Infrastructural Decay as Policy

The physical infrastructure of the Southeast—roads, bridges, and power grids—suffered from what has been termed "benign neglect." While the federal government utilized oil wealth (largely extracted from the neighboring Niger Delta) to build massive flyovers and industrial estates in the West and North, the roads in the Igbo heartland remained in a state of wartime disrepair for decades.[26] This decay was not merely an oversight but functioned as economic sabotage; the high cost of transportation inhibited the establishment of large-scale manufacturing within the region, forcing Igbo entrepreneurs to relocate their capital and industries to Lagos or Kano.[27]

Exclusion from the Energy and Industrial Grid

Structural marginalization also extended to the energy sector. The Southeast remained underserved by the national power grid, and the gas-to-power infrastructure was slow to reach the industrial hubs of Aba and Nnewi, despite their proximity to gas reserves.[28] Furthermore, the exclusion of the region from federal industrial projects—such as the steel mills and refineries built in the 1970s and 80s—meant that the Igbo were bypassed by the primary drivers of state-led modernization.[29] The delay in upgrading the Enugu Airport to a functional international terminal for nearly forty years served as a final symbolic and practical barrier to direct Igbo engagement with the global economy.[30]

The "Abandoned Property" and Territorial Loss

Beyond physical structures, marginalization was codified through the "Abandoned Property" policy in cities like Port Harcourt. By facilitating the permanent seizure of Igbo-owned real estate and commercial assets, the state ensured the destruction of the Igbo middle class's primary source of collateral.[31] This forced a "restart" of the Igbo economy from a zero-capital base, a structural hurdle that was exacerbated by the lack of federal credit facilities for the region's reconstruction.[32]

IMPACT OF IGBOPHOBIA ON NATIONAL PRODUCTIVITY & INNOVATION

The phenomenon of "Igbophobia"—the systemic prejudice and structural exclusion directed toward the Igbo people—is not merely a sociological issue but a significant drag on Nigeria's national productivity and innovation. By institutionalizing a "glass ceiling" for one of the country's most entrepreneurial and technologically inclined ethnic groups, Nigeria has historically prioritized ethnic containment over meritocratic growth, leading to a suboptimal utilization of its human capital.[33]

Stifling the "Silicon Valley" of the East

The industrial clusters of Aba and Nnewi are frequently cited as the bedrock of indigenous Nigerian innovation. However, Igbophobia has manifested in a persistent lack of federal support for these hubs. Instead of integrating the fabrication skills and "reverse engineering" capabilities of Igbo artisans into a national industrial policy, the state has often treated these innovations with suspicion or bureaucratic hostility.[34] This lack of formal integration means that many breakthroughs in automotive parts and light manufacturing remain in the informal sector, failing to reach the scale necessary to drive national export growth or reduce reliance on foreign imports.[35]

The "Drain" of Human Capital and Capital Flight

Systemic exclusion in federal appointments, promotions within the civil service, and the awarding of major government contracts has created a powerful incentive for Igbo talent to seek opportunities elsewhere.[36] This "brain drain" is a direct result of Igbophobia; when individuals perceive that their upward mobility is capped by their ethnicity rather than their competence, they relocate to environments—often overseas—that reward merit.[37] Consequently, Nigeria loses the productivity and tax revenue of these innovators to Europe and North America, while the domestic economy remains stagnant due to the placement of less-qualified individuals in critical developmental roles.[38]

Disruption of Trade and Market Inefficiency

Igbophobia often manifests in the selective enforcement of regulatory policies at major ports and marketplaces where Igbo traders predominate. Constant harassment by security agencies along trade routes and the disproportionate targeting of Igbo-owned businesses for "audits" or closures create significant market inefficiencies.[39] These disruptions raise the cost of doing business, which is ultimately passed on to the Nigerian consumer in the form of higher prices.[40] By targeting the most active segment of the commercial sector, the state inadvertently suppresses the velocity of money and the overall growth rate of the Gross Domestic Product (GDP).[41]

The Cost of Non-Meritocratic Governance

The ultimate impact of Igbophobia on national innovation is the institutionalization of the "quota system" over competence. When a nation systematically excludes a group known for its high educational attainment and technical skill from key decision-making roles in technology and economic planning, the quality of national policy suffers.[42] Nigeria's failure to transition into a knowledge-based economy is inextricably linked to its historical commitment to ethnic balancing at the expense of empowering its most productive citizens.[43]

CONCLUSION

This study traces the trajectory of Igbophobia from colonial-era stereotypes to its development into a structural constraint on Nigeria's economic growth. The evidence suggests that prejudice against the Igbo extends beyond interpersonal bias, operating in some contexts as a system of exclusion shaped by three broad phases: colonial administrative othering, First Republic political rivalry, and post–Civil War economic restructuring. Across these phases, narratives portraying the Igbo as "outsiders" or "threats" gained traction in certain quarters, sometimes reframing socio-economic success as a basis for political suspicion and marginalization.

The First Republic illustrates a central tension in this dynamic. The widespread adoption of Western education and meritocratic advancement among the Igbo contributed significantly to their presence in the civil service, technical sectors, and national commerce, supporting early national development. However, this mobility was, in some political narratives, interpreted as "domination," contributing to tensions that shaped interpretations of the January 1966 coup and the subsequent violence and civil war. While the war marked a turning point, debates persist regarding the extent to which it reinforced or transformed patterns of exclusion.

Post-1970 policies framed under "Reconstruction, Reconciliation, and Rehabilitation" have been interpreted by some scholars as having uneven economic effects. The 1970 banking measures that limited access to pre-war savings significantly constrained capital recovery for many Igbo individuals, while participation in the 1972 Indigenization Decree was affected by disparities in access to financial resources. The implementation of "Abandoned Property" policies also contributed to the loss of assets among segments of the Igbo middle class. Infrastructural disparities—such as the limited development of the Onitsha River Port, delayed upgrades to Akanu Ibiam International Airport in Enugu, and uneven industrial investment in the Southeast—have been cited as factors increasing the cost of doing business in the region.

The broader economic implications of these patterns are considerable. Reduced inclusion of a demographic noted for entrepreneurial networks, apprenticeship systems, and industrial clusters in cities such as Aba and Nnewi may constrain manufacturing capacity and export potential. These dynamics can also encourage skilled migration, contributing to capital outflows and reduced domestic investment. Additionally, perceptions of uneven regulatory enforcement may increase transaction costs and reduce market efficiency. Concerns have also been raised that an overemphasis on quota-based systems, when not balanced with merit considerations, can affect institutional performance and innovation capacity.

In this sense, Igbophobia can be understood not solely as an issue affecting one group, but as part of a broader national challenge related to inclusion, equity, and economic optimization. Patterns of exclusion—whether perceived or experienced—may generate economy-wide effects, including reduced productivity, institutional inefficiencies, and diminished global competitiveness.

RECOMMENDATIONS

Reversing this retrogression requires dismantling both the perception and the policies that sustain Igbophobia:

1. **Historical Accountability & Truth-Telling**: There should be a formal, state-backed review of post-war economic policies to acknowledge their discriminatory impact and restore public trust.
2. **Infrastructural Equity**: The Federal Government should immediate investment in Southeast logistics—operationalizing Onitsha River Port, upgrading Enugu Airport to full international status, and integrating Aba/Nnewi clusters into national industrial policy.
3. **Merit-Based Governance**: Quota systems should be phased out in favour of competence-based recruitment in civil service, parastatals, and contract awards, while maintaining federal character through inclusion, not exclusion.
4. **Access to Capital**: Targeted credit facilities and reconstruction funds should be established to address the generational wealth gap created by the £20 policy and Abandoned Property seizures.
5. **National Reorientation**: Educational and media campaigns that reframe Igbo enterprise should be encouraged as a national asset rather than an ethnic threat, dismantling the "domination" myth rooted in colonial and political rhetoric.

In sum, Nigeria's economic renaissance is inextricably linked to the full reintegration of its Igbo population into the nation's developmental architecture. The continued containment of Igbo human and financial capital is economically irrational and morally untenable. Until Igbophobia is recognized as a structural bottleneck and addressed through deliberate policy reversal, Nigeria will continue to operate below its productive potential, with all ethnic groups paying the price for the exclusion of one.

Endnotes

1. Toyin Falola and Matthew M. Heaton, A History of Nigeria (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2008), 154–158.
2. Eghosa E. Osaghae, Crippled Giant: Nigeria Since Independence (Bloomington: Indiana University Press, 1998), 34–38.
3. Chinua Achebe, The Trouble with Nigeria (Enugu: Fourth Dimension Publishing, 1983), 45.
4. A.E. Afigbo, The Warrant Chiefs: Indirect Rule in Southeastern Nigeria, 1891-1929 (London: Longman, 1972), 6–12.
5. Okwudiba Nnoli, Ethnic Politics in Nigeria (Enugu: Fourth Dimension Publishers, 1978), 140–145.
6. Sir Ahmadu Bello, interview by the BBC, 1959, reprinted in The Sardauna of Sokoto: Values and Leadership in Nigeria by John Paden (Zaria: Hudahuda Publishing, 1986).
7. Nnamdi Azikiwe, "Presidential Address to the Ibo State Union," West African Pilot, July 1949, quoted in James Coleman, Nigeria: Background to Nationalism (Berkeley: University of California Press, 1958), 347.
8. Chinua Achebe, There Was a Country: A Personal History of Biafra (New York: Penguin Press, 2012), 74–78.
9. Chinua Achebe, There Was a Country: A Personal History of Biafra....
10. Toyin Falola and Matthew M. Heaton, A History of Nigeria... 158.
11. Toyin Falola and Matthew M. Heaton, A History of Nigeria... 162.
12. Eghosa E. Osaghae, The Crippled Giant: Nigeria Since Independence (Bloomington: Indiana University Press, 1998), 56.
13. Eghosa E. Osaghae, The Crippled Giant: Nigeria Since Independence....
14. Chibuike Uche, "The 20 Pounds Policy: A Post-War Economic Sabotage?" Journal of African History 49, no. 1 (2008): 122.
15. Chinua Achebe, There Was a Country: A Personal History of Biafra.... 234.
16. J. Bayo Adekanye, "The Quota System in the Nigerian Army," Armed Forces & Society 9, no. 2 (1983): 15.
17. Okwudiba Nnoli, Ethnic Politics in Nigeria... 210.
18. Tom Forrest, The Advance of African Capital: The Growth of Nigerian Private Enterprise (Charlottesville: University of Virginia Press, 1994), 164.
19. Kenneth Omeje, "The Egba and the 'Abandoned Property' Question in Post-Civil War Nigeria," African Historical Review 37, no. 1 (2005): 78.
20. Achebe, There Was a Country, 236.
21. Eghosa E. Osaghae, Crippled Giant: Nigeria Since Independence...74.
22. Uche, "The 20 Pounds Policy," 130.
23. Okwudiba Nnoli, Ethnic Politics in Nigeria...215.
24. Chibuike Uche, "The 20 Pounds Policy...125.

25. Chinua Achebe, There Was a Country...238.
26. Eghosa E. Osaghae, Crippled Giant: Nigeria Since...74.
27. Tom Forrest, The Advance of African Capital...168.
28. Kenneth Omeje, "The Egba and the 'Abandoned Property' Question in Post-Civil War Nigeria," African Historical Review 37, no. 1 (2005): 82.
29. Nnoli, Ethnic Politics in Nigeria, 220.
30. Uche, "The 20 Pounds Policy," 131.
31. Achebe, There Was a Country, 236.
32. J. Bayo Adekanye, "The Quota System in the Nigerian Army," Armed Forces & Society 9, no. 2 (1983): 18.
33. Nnoli, Ethnic Politics in Nigeria... 242.
34. Tom Forrest, The Advance of African Capital...172.
35. Chibuike Uche, "The 20 Pounds Policy...128.
36. Eghosa E. Osaghae, Crippled Giant: Nigeria Since...85.
37. Chinua Achebe, The Trouble with Nigeria...45.
38. J. Bayo Adekanye, "The Quota System in the Nigerian Army...20.
39. Kenneth Omeje, "The Egba and the 'Abandoned...88.
40. Chinua Achebe, There Was a Country...240.
41. Nnoli, Ethnic Politics in Nigeria, 245.
42. Osaghae, Crippled Giant, 89.
43. Achebe, The Trouble with Nigeria, 48.