AI AND AFRICA'S ENVIRONMENTAL HISTORY: HARNESSING PAST CLIMATE PATTERNS TOWARDS BUILDING A SUSTAINABLE FUTURE

Mbalisi, Chinedu N. PhD, cn.mbalisi@unizik.edu.ng,
Nwaiwu, Nnaedoziem S. samfrancesco4u@gmail.com,
Department of History and International Studies, Nnamdi Azikiwe University, Awka

Abstract
Africa's environmental history is marked by cycles of climatic shifts, resilience strategies, and indigenous ecological knowledge that have long shaped the continent's response to environmental challenges. However, the neocolonial legacy of extractive industries, policy dependency on global financial institutions, and the marginalization of indigenous environmental governance continue to undermine Africa's ability to craft sustainable, autonomous climate solutions. Using the historical method of narrative and analysis and adopting the qualitative methodology in its presentation of facts, this paper interrogates the intersection of Artificial Intelligence (AI) and Africa's environmental past. It considers the view that AI-driven analysis of historical climate patterns can serve as a powerful tool for sustainable environmental governance. It looks at the data on droughts, desertification, and ecological adaptation from the Sahelian droughts of the 20th century to the shifting agricultural frontiers of pre-colonial African societies to understand these patterns. It explains how AI could be used to decode historical environmental trends in understanding recent climate adaptation strategies. It draws from case studies such as the Great Green Wall Initiative, AI-powered climate modeling in the Nile Basin, and predictive analytics in West African agriculture. The study posits that the fusion of AI with historical climate data presents a probable solution for Africa to reclaim its environmental sovereignty, where sustainability would be rooted not in imposed global frameworks but in the continent's own historical and ecological wisdom.
Key Words: Environmental History, Artificial Intelligence, Climate Adaptation, Neocolonialism, Sustainable Governance

Introduction

Africa's environmental past is closely tied with the forces of nature and human adaptation, shaping landscapes, ecosystems, and socio-economic structures across millennia. From the hydro climatic shifts of the Sahel to the aridification cycles of the Sahara, historical climate patterns have significantly influenced Africa's biodiversity, agricultural practices, and human settlement patterns (Nash et al., 2016). These climatic oscillations, driven by orbital shifts, glacial cycles, and low-latitude atmospheric processes, have historically dictated the availability of water resources and vegetation, thereby shaping migration patterns and the resilience strategies of indigenous communities (Kaboth-Bahr et al., 2021). However, as Africa now faces unprecedented climate challenges exacerbated by industrial emissions and land-use changes, the application of artificial intelligence (AI) in climate science presents a revolutionary opportunity. By integrating historical climate data with AI-driven predictive modeling, the continent can leverage past environmental knowledge to inform sustainable solutions for the future. More than just a technological advancement, this convergence between AI and environmental history is an epistemological shift, one that redefines how Africa's past informs its climate resilience strategies.

Building on this historical foundation, it is crucial to recognize that climate variability in Africa is not a recent phenomenon but a deeply embedded process within the continent's environmental history. Over the last two millennia, Africa has experienced significant hydroclimatic fluctuations, marked by alternating periods of aridity and humidity that have reshaped entire ecosystems (Nash et al., 2016). For instance, the Sahel has undergone cycles of desertification and greening due to changes in monsoon intensity and land-atmosphere interactions (Nicholson, 2001). Furthermore, the Pleistocene and Holocene periods introduced additional climatic transitions, with shifts in vegetation and water bodies altering human dispersal and settlement patterns (Nicholson &Flohn, 1980). Even more significantly, orbital forcing and glacial cycles spanning the past 140,000 years have periodically expanded and contracted the Sahara, influencing migration patterns across the continent (Chase, 2021). Given these historical shifts, understanding Africa's climate past is not merely an academic exercise; rather, it serves as a critical foundation for refining predictive models and developing adaptive strategies for the ongoing climate crisis.

Equally important is the role of indigenous environmental knowledge, which has long been held as Africa's primary mechanism for climate adaptation. For centuries, African communities have relied on environmental indicators, such as the behaviour of animals, the flowering of specific plants, and astronomical observations, to predict weather patterns and guide agricultural decisions (Kom et al., 2023). In Zimbabwe and South Africa, for example, farmers have developed intricate forecasting methods based on celestial movements, while communities in the Sahel have sustained agroforestry practices to counteract soil degradation (Zvobgo et al., 2021). These indigenous strategies are not simply anecdotal; rather, they constitute an empirical, iterative knowledge system refined over centuries of environmental interaction. However, as climate variability intensifies, traditional knowledge alone may no longer be sufficient. Thus, the integration of indigenous forecasting methods with AI-driven climate modeling is imperative. By leveraging AI's capacity to process vast datasets and detect patterns beyond human capability, a hybrid knowledge system can emerge—one that is both technologically sophisticated and locally relevant (Mutambisi et al., 2021).

Yet, despite these promising possibilities, the emergence of AI as a tool for environmental governance presents both opportunities and challenges within Africa's sustainability discourse. On the one hand, AI offers unprecedented capabilities in environmental monitoring, data collection, and climate prediction, making it an invaluable asset in mitigating climate risks (Tiller, 2023; Chapman, 2022). Machine learning algorithms, for example, can predict drought cycles with remarkable accuracy, while AI-powered satellite systems can track deforestation in real time (Mbuvha et al., 2024). Moreover, AI-driven conservation efforts have already demonstrated effectiveness in monitoring illegal poaching activities, optimizing resource management, and improving energy efficiency (Raihan et al., 2024; Akter, 2024). However, alongside these advantages lies a pressing concern: the risk of digital neocolonialism. Given that most AI models are developed in the Global North, Africa's reliance on externally designed climate technologies threatens to create dependencies that undermine local autonomy (Scoville et al., 2021).

Expanding on this challenge, digital neocolonialism extends beyond technological dependency to broader issues of environmental governance and data sovereignty. Many AI-driven climate solutions are designed without adequate consideration of Africa's diverse ecological and socio-economic contexts. The assumption that universal AI models can sufficiently address Africa's climate challenges disregards localized knowledge systems and the continent's unique historical climate variability (Scoville et al., 2021). Moreover, the privatization of climate data by Western corporations creates barriers to equitable access, preventing African researchers and policymakers from fully harnessing AI's potential (Moghayedi et al., 2024). Without robust policies that promote open-source AI development and regional data autonomy, Africa risks becoming a passive recipient of externally dictated climate strategies rather than an active agent in its own environmental governance. To address this, a paradigm shift is necessary—one that prioritizes the co-creation of AI models with African stakeholders and the incorporation of historical climate data into machine learning systems tailored to regional realities.

With this in mind, integrating AI with historical climate data presents a transformative pathway for enhancing Africa's climate resilience. By feeding centuries of hydroclimatic records into AI systems, more precise climate forecasting can be achieved, allowing for proactive adaptation strategies (Rutenberg et al., 2021). For example, AI models trained on Africa's past climate shifts, such as the Sahel's drought cycles or the fluctuating boundaries of the Saharacan improve the accuracy of future environmental projections (Mbuvha et al., 2024). Furthermore, AI-enhanced historical analysis offers dynamic optimization of resource management, particularly in agriculture. By analyzing crop yields, soil moisture levels, and precipitation trends, machine learning algorithms can identify patterns that inform sustainable farming practices (Khallaf & Alqerafi, 2024). However, realizing this potential requires addressing key structural barriers, including data scarcity, infrastructure deficits, and policy constraints (Mbuvha et al., 2024).

To illustrate the feasibility of AI-driven environmental solutions, the Great Green Wall Initiative (GGW) serves as a compelling case study. Originally conceived as a reforestation project aimed at combating desertification in the Sahel, the GGW has evolved into a multifaceted land restoration program that integrates indigenous knowledge with modern ecological science (Kalilou, 2022). AI-powered satellite monitoring systems are now being used to track reforestation progress, optimize land restoration efforts, and assess soil health (Pédarros et al., 2024). Yet, while these technological advancements are promising, the initiative also underscores the necessity of blending high-tech solutions with locally rooted adaptation practices. This demonstrates that AI, while powerful, cannot replace the deep environmental wisdom embedded in African traditions (Cropper, 2023).

Therefore, this paper establishes a nuanced framework for integrating AI with Africa's environmental past, positioning historical climate data and indigenous knowledge as fundamental pillars of sustainable climate adaptation. By leveraging centuries of hydroclimatic records and indigenous forecasting methods, AI-driven predictive analytics can refine climate models, offering more precise and locally relevant adaptation strategies. However, the successful deployment of AI in Africa's climate governance extends beyond technological sophistication—it necessitates inclusivity, regional data sovereignty, and the co-creation of AI systems that reflect Africa's ecological and socio-economic complexities. Without such an approach, AI risks reinforcing external dependencies rather than fostering autonomous, community-led climate solutions. Ultimately, the success of AI-driven adaptation will depend on ensuring that technological innovation serves as a tool of empowerment—one that honors Africa's environmental history while equipping its people to navigate an increasingly unpredictable climate future.

Conceptual Clarification

Environmental History

Environmental history is an interdisciplinary field that examines the complex and evolving relationships between humans and their natural environment over time. Unlike traditional historiography, which often centers on political, economic, and social narratives from an anthropocentric lens, environmental history integrates ecological, geographical, and scientific perspectives to reconstruct how human societies have shaped and been shaped by natural systems (McNeill, 2003; Butzer, 2005). This intellectual pursuit emerged prominently in the 1960s and 1970s, inspired by the American conservation movement and the broader environmental awakening of the time (Williams, 1994; Williams & Worster, 1994). However, its scope has since expanded, incorporating global perspectives that challenge Western-centric narratives, positioning human history within the broader ecological framework, and revealing the dialectical nature of human-environment interactions (Sörlin & Warde, 2007). This expansion is crucial, as environmental history does not merely recount past environmental changes but also interrogates how human agency, technological advancements, economic imperatives, and cultural worldviews have continuously transformed ecological landscapes.

The defining characteristic of environmental history is its commitment to an interdisciplinary approach, bridging history, ecology, geography, political ecology, and social sciences (McNeill, 2003; Ruuskanen & Väyrynen, 2017). This methodological openness allows scholars to move beyond linear historical narratives and recognize the interdependence between humans and their environment. In doing so, environmental historians critique traditional economic and social histories that often disregard environmental constraints, instead highlighting the reciprocal influence between natural systems and human development (Parham, 2016). By rejecting purely anthropocentric views, the discipline acknowledges that the environment is not merely a passive backdrop to human action but an active historical agent in its own right (Tignor & Grove, 1996). This shift in perspective has also prompted historians to reconceptualizes causality, where climatic shifts, resource depletion, and ecological disruptions become central to understanding historical trajectories. Thus, environmental history enriches our comprehension of societal transformations by recognizing that environmental conditions, from aridification to deforestation, have played pivotal roles in shaping political economies, migration patterns, and cultural developments (Sörlin & Warde, 2007).

One of the fundamental concerns of environmental history is the study of human adaptations and vulnerabilities in response to environmental changes. Historical evidence demonstrates that societies have not only been shaped by their ecological contexts but have also developed sophisticated adaptive strategies to mitigate environmental challenges (Petraglia et al., 2020). For instance, ancient Arabian societies navigated climatic variability through innovations in water management, high mobility, and pastoral economies, exemplifying resilience in the face of environmental pressures. Similarly, pre-industrial Andean communities employed anticipatory responses to climate anomalies by refining agricultural techniques, ensuring their survival in fluctuating ecological conditions (Dillehay & Kolata, 2004). However, while some societies successfully adapted, others succumbed to environmental pressures due to unsustainable resource exploitation or rigid socio-political structures. Cases such as the deforestation-induced erosion in central Italy during the Medieval Warm Period and the demographic collapses triggered by environmental shocks in pre-industrial England underscore the vulnerability of human societies when environmental thresholds are breached (Mensing et al., 2015). This aspect of environmental history serves as a cautionary lens, revealing how the interplay between environmental factors and human agency has determined the fate of civilizations across time.

In emphasizing the role of environmental forces in historical developments, environmental historians have also expanded the notion of historical actors beyond human agency. Traditional historiography has predominantly centered on human figures (monarchs, revolutionaries, and intellectuals) as the primary drivers of historical change. However, environmental history challenges this assumption by attributing agency to ecological processes, climatic shifts, and even non-human organisms (Halsey, 2023). This reconceptualization not only broadens the scope of historical inquiry but also aligns with contemporary ecological discourses that recognize the intrinsic interconnectedness of all life forms. Moreover, environmental history underscores the role of the capitalist economy in accelerating environmental transformations, particularly in the context of industrialization, colonial expansion, and global trade (Williams, 1994). By examining how economic imperatives have historically dictated land use patterns, resource extraction, and environmental degradation, the discipline illuminates the structural dimensions of ecological crises, linking past trajectories to contemporary sustainability challenges (Firoux, 2025). The inclusion of diverse cultural and global perspectives has further enriched environmental history, moving it beyond Eurocentric frameworks and highlighting the significance of indigenous ecological knowledge systems.

Indigenous communities, with their deep-rooted environmental wisdom, have long maintained biocultural heritage practices that emphasize sustainability and ecosystem balance (Fernández-Llamazares et al., 2021). Their traditional land management techniques, such as controlled burns, rotational agriculture, and water conservation, demonstrate a sophisticated understanding of ecological resilience, which modern conservation efforts increasingly recognize as vital (Brownson et al., 2024). In regions such as Australia, collaborations between indigenous groups like the Martu people and ecological scientists have yielded valuable insights into species distribution and habitat preservation, underscoring the practical relevance of indigenous knowledge in contemporary environmental governance (Skroblin et al., 2020; Ban et al., 2018). However, these knowledge systems face existential threats from globalization, economic pressures, and land dispossession, necessitating urgent efforts to integrate them into policy frameworks and conservation strategies (Negi et al., 2021).

Despite the richness of environmental history, it is not without methodological challenges. The field often grapples with the difficulty of reconstructing historical environmental conditions, particularly in cases where archival records are scarce or where environmental change occurred gradually over centuries. As a response, environmental historians increasingly rely on interdisciplinary methodologies, incorporating paleoclimatic data, archaeological findings, and ecological modeling to triangulate historical narratives (Butzer, 2005). Additionally, while environmental history seeks to move beyond human-centered perspectives, the extent to which the environment can be considered an autonomous historical actor remains a debated question. Some scholars argue that attributing agency to the environment risks downplaying the role of human decision-making in environmental transformations, while others contend that recognizing environmental forces as historical drivers is essential for a more holistic understanding of the past (Ruuskanen &Väyrynen, 2017). This ongoing theoretical discourse reflects the dynamic and evolving nature of environmental history as a discipline.

From the foregoing, environmental history serves as a critical lens through which we can reexamine historical narratives and reassess our present ecological challenges. By demonstrating how environmental factors have shaped civilizations, economies, and cultures, it compels us to reconsider dominant historical paradigms that have traditionally marginalized ecological concerns. In an era of accelerating climate change, biodiversity loss, and environmental crises, the insights of environmental history are more relevant than ever, providing valuable lessons on resilience, sustainability, and the consequences of ecological neglect. As the field continues to evolve, it must further integrate non-Western perspectives, indigenous knowledge systems, and interdisciplinary methodologies to construct a truly global environmental historiography. In doing so, environmental history not only enhances our understanding of the past but also offers a crucial framework for navigating the ecological complexities of the future.

The Concept of Artificial Intelligence

Artificial Intelligence (AI) is a profound and transformative force that has redefined the conceptual boundaries of intelligence, cognition, and problem-solving. The discourse on AI's nature and scope has evolved significantly, bringing to fore its dynamic and multifaceted character. Scholars have attempted to define AI in various ways, often emphasizing its ability to interpret external data, learn from it, and apply these learnings to achieve specific goals through adaptive mechanisms (Haenlein & Kaplan, 2019). Fundamentally, AI is understood as the replication or simulation of human cognitive processes in non-human entities, primarily through computational models, algorithms, and autonomous decision-making systems (De Zúñiga et al., 2023). Yet, beyond the strictly technological framing, AI represents an epistemological challenge, one that interrogates the very essence of intelligence, whether it should be strictly anthropocentric or extended to systems that exhibit rational problem-solving independent of human cognition. In this light, AI is not merely an engineering feat but a philosophical and socio-technological construct that challenges human exclusivity in cognitive labor.

Historically, AI's conceptualization can be traced to the mid-20th century, with John McCarthy's introduction of the term in 1956, marking the formalization of AI as a research discipline (Chaudhary et al., 2024). The field has since expanded to include expert systems, robotics, and natural language processing, each mirroring a different dimension of AI's practical applications. The theoretical foundations of AI lie in cognitive science, mathematical logic, and computational modeling, with early visions of AI seeking to develop machines capable of reasoning and problem-solving akin to human intellect (Deng, 2018). However, the challenge of defining AI persists, as scholars debate whether AI should be measured against human intelligence or evaluated on its own terms. Kaplan (2016) argues that AI definitions often rely on human behavioral comparisons, leading to a paradox: AI is seen as 'artificial' because it is not human, yet it is only recognized as 'intelligent' when it mirrors human capabilities. This paradox shows a crucial epistemic tension in AI studies, should AI strive for human likeness, or should it be assessed by its capacity for novel and independent problem-solving?

AI's defining attributes encompass cognitive adaptability, autonomy, and pattern recognition. AI systems are structured to interpret data dynamically, recognizing patterns and making decisions based on learned experiences rather than fixed programming (Saxena et al., 2023). Morandín-Ahuerma (2022) highlights AI's autonomy, classifying AI systems along a spectrum from reactive machines to fully autonomous entities. This spectrum highlights AI's ability to function independently, making decisions without human intervention, thereby challenging conventional notions of agency and intelligence. Furthermore, AI extends beyond the mere simulation of intelligence to encompass interdisciplinary integration, drawing from fields such as neuroscience, linguistics, and data science to refine its mechanisms of cognition and adaptation (Tecuci, 2012). AI thus represents a convergence of multiple disciplines, merging algorithmic precision with human-like adaptability, albeit without the constraints of biological cognition. Yet, AI remains fundamentally distinct from human intelligence as it lacks consciousness, emotions, and subjective experience, which are particular to human cognition. Therefore, while AI can process information at unprecedented speeds, its 'intelligence' remains bounded by algorithmic determinism rather than the open-ended creativity characteristic of human thought.

The practical implications of AI are vast, spanning sectors such as medicine, education, business, and environmental science. In healthcare, AI is revolutionizing diagnostics, predictive modeling, and personalized treatment plans by leveraging large datasets to identify patterns beyond human analytical capacity (Amisha et al., 2019). In education, AI enhances learning experiences by personalizing content delivery, optimizing administrative processes, and facilitating intelligent tutoring systems (Chen et al., 2020). Businesses leverage AI for data-driven decision-making, optimizing supply chains, and enhancing customer interactions (Enholm et al., 2021). Beyond conventional applications, AI is playing a pivotal role in climate science, where it aids in weather forecasting, climate modeling, and sustainable resource management (Dewitte et al., 2021). However, these advancements raise ethical and socio-political concerns, particularly regarding the displacement of human labor, data privacy, and algorithmic bias (Kiptoch, 2024; Ruiz, 2024). While AI enhances efficiency, its widespread adoption necessitates careful consideration of ethical frameworks to mitigate potential harms, especially in vulnerable communities.

Despite its potential, AI is not without limitations. In regions like Africa, AI's application in climate science is hindered by data scarcity, infrastructure deficiencies, and the need for localized AI solutions (Mbuvha et al., 2024). AI models, often trained on datasets from high-income countries, may fail to account for the socio-environmental nuances of African contexts, leading to suboptimal outcomes (Rutenberg et al., 2021). Moreover, infrastructural gaps, including limited internet access and computational resources, restrict AI's deployment in resource-constrained settings (Moghayedi et al., 2024). These challenges underscore the need for collaborative efforts, such as open-source data repositories and knowledge-sharing initiatives, to bridge the digital divide (Srivastava &Maity, 2023). Additionally, AI's environmental footprint must be critically examined, as its computational processes contribute to significant energy consumption, raising questions about its sustainability (Adefemi et al., 2023). Thus, while AI holds immense promise, its development and deployment must be context-sensitive, ethically regulated, and environmentally conscious.

From a socio-political standpoint, AI is reshaping labour markets, with automation driving both innovation and displacement. Historically, automation has triggered concerns about job losses, particularly in labor-intensive sectors (Parschau & Hauge, 2020). However, the impact of AI on employment is not uniform, while some industries experience job displacement, others witness job creation due to increased productivity and efficiency (Hanson, 2020). This duality highlights AI's role as both a disruptor and an enabler, necessitating policy frameworks that ensure inclusive economic transitions (Cilliers, 2021). The gig economy, for instance, is being transformed by AI-driven platforms, yet these transformations often exacerbate socio-economic inequalities, particularly in developing nations (Frank et al., 2019). Thus, the rise of AI demands proactive policy interventions to balance technological advancement with social equity, ensuring that AI-driven progress does not deepen existing disparities.

Therefore, AI's conceptual clarification extends beyond technical definitions to encompass philosophical, ethical, and socio-political dimensions. It is not merely a tool for computational problem-solving but a disruptive force that challenges human exceptionalism and reconfigures societal structures. The epistemological dilemma of whether AI should be evaluated in comparison to human intelligence or on its own terms remains central to AI discourse. While AI's computational capabilities exceed human cognition in certain areas, its lack of consciousness and intrinsic reasoning differentiates it from human intelligence. AI is, therefore, an augmentation rather than a replacement of human cognitive abilities, serving as an extension of human intellect rather than an autonomous entity of equal standing. This nuanced understanding is crucial in shaping AI's development, ensuring that it remains a force for innovation while being guided by ethical, regulatory, and contextual considerations. AI, at its core, is both an intellectual challenge and a technological revolution, one that continues to redefine the boundaries of intelligence and human-machine interactions.

Historical Climate Patterns and Indigenous Adaptation Strategies

The historical climate patterns of Africa have been instrumental in molding the continent's ecological and socio-political landscape, with far-reaching implications for the development and sustainability of its civilizations. Africa's climate history is marked by recurring episodes of extreme variability spanning prolonged droughts, unpredictable rainfall patterns, severe flooding, and temperature extremes which have shaped the resilience and adaptability of its populations. Historical records and paleo-climatic reconstructions suggest that shifts in climate played a significant role in the rise and fall of African empires, agricultural transformations, and patterns of human migration (Hannaford & Nash, 2016). These climatic oscillations were not mere background phenomena but active forces that necessitated dynamic responses, compelling societies to develop dynamic and complex adaptation mechanisms that were embedded within their cultural, economic, and technological systems. Understanding these historical patterns is crucial, not just for contextualizing contemporary climate challenges but also for reclaiming indigenous knowledge systems that offer valuable insights into sustainable adaptation strategies.

Building upon this, the occurrence of major climate events such as extreme droughts, floods, and cyclones has long tested the resilience of African societies. The aridification of the Sahara, which began around 5,000 years ago, stands as a defining example of a climatic shift that drastically altered human settlements, compelling early civilizations to migrate southward towards more hospitable environments (Hannaford, 2020). More recently, recorded events such as the droughts of the 1970s and 1980s in the Sahel region, exacerbated by colonial-era land mismanagement, resulted in severe famine and socioeconomic disruptions. Climate variability in Africa is not merely a modern crisis but a historical continuum that indigenous communities have responded to through a combination of environmental knowledge, flexible socio-political institutions, and adaptive economic practices. This is exemplified by the ability of societies in Southern Africa to manage erratic rainfall through agricultural diversification, as seen in the historical cultivation of drought-resistant sorghum and millet varieties (Vincent et al., 2013; Coutros, 2019). These adaptations highlight the sophistication of indigenous resilience strategies, which were often overlooked or undermined by colonial and post-colonial environmental governance structures.

Furthermore, one of the most overt ways in which African societies historically adapted to climate variability was through their agricultural systems. The domestication and selective breeding of drought-resistant crops, the use of agro-forestry, and the implementation of terracing techniques in highland regions all served as critical adaptive measures. The Sahelian societies, for instance, developed complex soil enrichment techniques, including the use of household and organic waste to improve soil fertility, a practice that has been echoed in modern sustainability discourses (Oyama, 2018). Similarly, the extensive use of flood-recession agriculture in West Africa's inland deltas allowed communities to exploit seasonal flooding for crop production, demonstrating a nuanced understanding of hydrological cycles and ecosystem management. Such adaptive strategies challenge the prevailing notion that pre-colonial African societies were static or lacked scientific engagement with their environment. Rather, they showcase an empirical, observational, and experimental approach to environmental sustainability that contemporary climate adaptation policies could greatly benefit from.

Equally significant in Africa's historical adaptation strategies was water management, which played a central role in mitigating the effects of both water scarcity and excessive rainfall. Across the continent, indigenous communities devised sophisticated systems to harness and distribute water efficiently. For example, the ancient Ethiopian highlands were home to elaborate terracing and irrigation networks that minimized soil erosion while optimizing water retention for agriculture. Similarly, in the arid regions of North Africa, traditional irrigation system known as *Foggaras* (underground canal systems) facilitated water distribution across vast desert landscapes, enabling the cultivation of oasis agriculture that sustained entire populations for centuries (Filho et al., 2021). These historical precedents highlight the necessity of integrating indigenous water management techniques into contemporary climate resilience frameworks. However, colonial interventions frequently disrupted these indigenous systems, replacing them with Eurocentric irrigation and land-use models that often proved less sustainable in the African context. As a result, the legacy of these disruptions remains evident in post-colonial water governance, where top-down, technocratic approaches continue to sideline community-driven adaptation strategies.

In addition to agricultural and water management innovations, migration has long been a fundamental adaptation strategy employed by African communities in response to climate-induced stress. Unlike the modern perception of climate migration as a crisis, historical patterns reveal migration as a proactive and rational strategy that enabled societies to navigate environmental fluctuations. A prime example is the Bantu migrations, which unfolded over millennia and were influenced, in part, by shifts in climate that dictated the viability of agricultural lands and water availability. Even in contemporary East Africa, the increasing frequency of co-occurring climate extremes, including heat waves and river floods, mirrors the historical environmental stresses that prompted such migrations (Muheki et al., 2024). Yet, the imposition of colonial borders and modern-day geopolitical constraints has significantly curtailed the fluidity of migration as an adaptation mechanism, rendering many communities more vulnerable to climatic shocks. Consequently, examining historical climate-induced migration patterns offers crucial insights into crafting more flexible and humane policies that accommodate environmentally displaced populations today.

Beyond migration, indigenous knowledge systems have played a crucial role in climate adaptation, extending into deeply rooted cultural and spiritual dimensions that inform environmental stewardship. Many African societies historically relied on indigenous forecasting methods, using ecological indicators such as animal behavior, atmospheric conditions, and celestial movements to predict weather patterns (Chand et al., 2014). While often dismissed as unscientific, these traditional forecasting techniques have demonstrated remarkable accuracy in guiding agricultural decision-making and disaster preparedness. In light of this, the growing recognition of indigenous knowledge in environmental governance suggests that blending these time-tested methods with modern scientific approaches can enhance resilience and sustainability efforts (Ban et al., 2018; Skroblin et al., 2020). However, the persistent marginalization of indigenous epistemologies within contemporary climate science remains a critical barrier to their integration. If the goal is to foster truly inclusive and effective climate adaptation policies, there must be a deliberate effort to decolonize environmental governance and legitimize indigenous contributions as coequal to Western scientific paradigms.

Further complicating matters, colonialism and post-colonial governance structures have played a significant role in disrupting indigenous climate adaptation mechanisms. European colonial administrations imposed rigid land tenure systems that disregarded indigenous rotational farming practices, leading to soil degradation and reduced agricultural resilience. In Zimbabwe, for example, colonial-era land dispossession policies displaced local farmers from fertile lands to marginal territories, exacerbating their vulnerability to climate variability (Maganga & Suso, 2022). Likewise, the introduction of large-scale commercial monocropping dismantled indigenous agricultural diversity, increasing susceptibility to droughts and pest infestations. Even in post-colonial contexts, many African governments continue to adopt externally driven climate adaptation frameworks that fail to center indigenous perspectives, reinforcing neocolonial dependencies (Bordner et al., 2020). Therefore, reclaiming indigenous governance autonomy and integrating local knowledge into policy frameworks is essential for fostering more sustainable and context-specific adaptation strategies.

Modern sustainability efforts, while often technologically advanced, must grapple with the enduring relevance of indigenous climate adaptation strategies. The Great Green Wall Initiative, an ambitious project aimed at curbing desertification in the Sahel, has drawn criticism for its emphasis on afforestation without adequately considering indigenous land management practices (Ellison & Speranza, 2020). Similarly, efforts to achieve Land Degradation Neutrality (LDN) by 2030 must take lessons from pre-colonial land-use strategies that emphasized balance between human activity and ecosystem preservation (Nébié& West, 2024). Rather than viewing indigenous adaptation strategies as relics of the past, they should be recognized as dynamic, evolving systems capable of informing contemporary climate resilience initiatives. The integration of traditional and scientific knowledge offers a pathway toward a more holistic, historically grounded approach to climate adaptation, one that acknowledges the agency and expertise of African communities in shaping their environmental futures.

Ultimately, Africa's historical climate patterns have been instrumental in shaping the adaptive strategies of its indigenous societies, which have displayed remarkable resilience in the face of environmental challenges. While colonial disruptions and contemporary governance failures have often sidelined these indigenous mechanisms, their relevance remains undeniable in addressing modern climate crises. Reclaiming and reinvigorating these traditional knowledge systems within the frameworks of contemporary sustainability efforts is not just an academic exercise; it is a necessary step toward crafting more equitable, effective, and historically conscious climate adaptation strategies.

AI as a Tool for Decoding Africa's Environmental Past

The advent of Artificial Intelligence (AI) has transformed the way historical environmental data is analyzed and understood, bringing to the fore new dimensions for reconstructing Africa's ecological past. AI-driven models have demonstrated remarkable potential in processing vast and complex datasets, uncovering patterns in historical climate data, and bridging gaps in ecological knowledge that traditional methodologies struggled to address. Africa's environmental past is rich with narratives embedded in oral traditions, geological records, and fragmented climatological archives. However, the challenge has been the discontinuity in historical environmental records due to colonial disruptions, inconsistent data collection, and climate variability that predated modern meteorological tracking. In response, AI, through advanced machine learning (ML) algorithms, neural networks, and predictive analytics, provides a powerful means to decode these environmental legacies by extrapolating past trends from present observations and scattered historical records (Rutenberg et al., 2021; Kumar et al., 2024). Yet, while AI's computational strength is undeniable, its effectiveness in this domain remains contingent upon data quality, methodological robustness, and its capacity to integrate indigenous ecological knowledge.

Furthermore, AI's role in reconstructing ecological knowledge is particularly evident in its ability to integrate diverse datasets, including remote sensing archives, fossilized pollen analysis, and historical agricultural records, to model past environmental conditions. Traditional paleoclimatology and ecological studies have relied on sediment cores, isotopic analyses, and tree-ring data to infer historical climate patterns, but these approaches are often constrained by geographical coverage and temporal resolution. In contrast, AI overcomes these limitations by processing heterogeneous data sources to generate high-resolution reconstructions of past environmental conditions (Bélisle et al., 2024). Additionally, AI's strength in predictive modeling is crucial in deciphering long-term environmental changes. Models such as CNN+EasyUQ have outperformed traditional numerical weather prediction (NWP) models in assessing past precipitation trends, offering probabilistic insights into historical climate variability (Walz et al., 2024). However, the ability of AI to reconstruct past ecological conditions is only as robust as the datasets it processes; in regions where historical environmental data is sparse, AI is often left interpolating rather than accurately reconstructing (Meijer et al., 2024).

Beyond predictive modeling, one of the most compelling applications of AI in environmental reconstruction is its role in AI-powered climate modeling, particularly in regions like the Nile Basin, where understanding past climatic patterns is essential for contemporary resource management. AI-driven hydrological models, such as the Soil and Water Assessment Tool (SWAT), have been used to simulate historical water balance fluctuations, providing insights into how ancient civilizations in the region managed water resources under shifting climatic conditions (Worku et al., 2021; Getachew et al., 2021). These models have illuminated past temperature fluctuations, precipitation shifts, and the impacts of extreme weather events on hydrological systems, offering invaluable data for modern-day climate adaptation strategies. Moreover, by simulating historical evapotranspiration rates and streamflow variations, AI helps reconstruct how historical African societies navigated environmental stressors, thereby enhancing our understanding of past climate resilience mechanisms (Mengistu et al., 2020). Nevertheless, while these AI models offer improved precision, their reliance on incomplete historical datasets often leads to approximations rather than exact reconstructions. The methodological challenge, therefore, lies in ensuring that AI's inferential capacity does not distort historical realities.

In addition to its computational capabilities, AI offers a unique advantage in integrating local ecological knowledge with scientific data. Traditional environmental forecasting in Africa has long relied on indigenous knowledge systems, where communities have passed down meteorological wisdom through generational oral traditions. AI's capacity to digitize and analyze these qualitative datasets allows for the fusion of indigenous forecasting techniques with modern computational models, creating more contextually relevant reconstructions of past environmental conditions (Rykiel, 1989; Tamaddoni-Nezhad et al., 2021). In regions where meteorological archives are limited, oral traditions detailing historical droughts, flood cycles, and agricultural shifts provide crucial qualitative data points that AI can incorporate into environmental models. However, ensuring that these knowledge systems are accurately represented in AI-driven reconstructions remains a key challenge, as AI algorithms often prioritize quantitative datasets, marginalizing non-standardized indigenous knowledge (Bélisle et al., 2018). This limitation necessitates methodological innovations in AI applications that emphasize contextualized data integration rather than purely statistical extrapolation.

Moreover, a key strength of AI in reconstructing Africa's environmental past is its capacity for hypothesis testing within virtual ecological systems. Traditional environmental research has been constrained by the inability to test counterfactual scenarios such as how the Sahelian climate might have evolved without colonial agricultural policies or how pre-industrial African societies mitigated deforestation. AI-powered virtual simulations allow researchers to create synthetic environmental reconstructions, testing different climate and land-use scenarios to analyze historical ecological resilience (Barbe et al., 2020). This ability to simulate past ecological conditions is particularly important in the African context, where colonial-era deforestation, large-scale land modifications and shifting agricultural practices have drastically altered environmental landscapes. However, such models run the risk of over fitting, where AI-generated reconstructions may be skewed towards contemporary data biases rather than accurately reflecting past ecological dynamics (Han et al., 2023).

Despite its potential, AI faces several methodological and ethical limitations in environmental reconstruction. One major challenge lies in the complexity of modeling emergent ecological phenomena, where multiple interacting variables produce non-linear environmental changes. AI systems, particularly deep neural networks, often struggle with generalization across different ecological contexts, limiting their effectiveness in capturing the full range of historical environmental variability (Han et al., 2023). Additionally, AI's dependence on structured datasets poses a fundamental limitation—historical environmental data is often fragmented, unstandardized, and recorded in inconsistent formats. Unlike financial or medical AI applications, which benefit from well-structured datasets, ecological data requires extensive preprocessing and validation before it can be effectively integrated into AI models (Meijer et al., 2024). This constraint highlights the need for AI methodologies that prioritize adaptability and the incorporation of uncertainty measures when reconstructing historical environmental conditions.

Beyond data challenges, AI's role in environmental reconstruction raises critical epistemological and ethical questions. While AI excels at pattern recognition and statistical inference, it lacks the interpretative depth that human historical analysis provides. This limitation is particularly relevant in African environmental historiography, where colonial disruptions, socio-political upheavals, and indigenous ecological traditions play a significant role in shaping environmental narratives. If not carefully designed, AI-driven models run the risk of perpetuating reductionist interpretations of Africa's environmental past, where historical complexities are reduced to algorithmic outputs devoid of cultural context (Tamaddoni-Nezhad et al., 2021). Moreover, the integration of AI into Africa's climate governance presents ethical concerns regarding its environmental impact, data ownership, and potential biases. The energy-intensive nature of AI model training and deployment raises concerns about its carbon footprint, making it imperative to assess whether AI solutions intended to combat climate change inadvertently contribute to it (Nordgren, 2022; Okengwu et al., 2023).

Again, the ethical dimension of AI in environmental reconstruction extends to issues of fairness and inclusivity. If not carefully managed, AI systems risk perpetuating biases that fail to account for Africa's diverse cultural and socio-economic contexts, leading to skewed climate governance policies that do not reflect the realities of local communities (Okengwu et al., 2023). Additionally, concerns about job displacement must be considered, especially in sectors like agriculture, where AI-driven automation could disrupt livelihoods without adequate workforce transition policies (Okengwu et al., 2023). AI's effectiveness in environmental historiography and climate governance must therefore be balanced with efforts to ensure that its tools are not only technologically advanced but also culturally and contextually relevant. This requires collaboration with local stakeholders to align AI applications with African priorities, avoiding the imposition of external frameworks that fail to reflect indigenous knowledge systems and environmental management practices (Mbuvha et al., 2024).

In moving forward, a critical question remains: how can AI be harnessed to produce more nuanced, historically grounded reconstructions of Africa's environmental past? One potential solution lies in the development of hybrid AI models that integrate machine learning with historical ethnographic data, creating interdisciplinary frameworks that contextualize AI-generated environmental insights within broader socio-historical narratives. Additionally, efforts must be made to democratize AI-driven environmental research by ensuring that African scholars, historians, and ecologists actively participate in the design and deployment of AI applications in environmental historiography (Mbuvha et al., 2024).

Therefore, AI offers an unprecedented opportunity to reconstruct Africa's environmental past with greater accuracy and depth than ever before. From modeling historical climate shifts in the Nile Basin to integrating indigenous ecological knowledge into computational frameworks, AI has the potential to redefine environmental historiography. However, its effectiveness is contingent upon methodological rigor, data inclusivity, and epistemological reflexivity. As AI continues to evolve, its role in environmental reconstruction must be guided by a commitment to historical authenticity, ensuring that Africa's ecological past is not merely decoded but also recontextualized within its rich and complex environmental heritage.

The Politics of AI and Climate Governance in Africa

The intersection of artificial intelligence (AI) and climate governance in Africa presents a paradoxical terrain where technological innovation coexists with historical power asymmetries, corporate interests, and geopolitical influences. While AI holds immense promise for transforming climate governance, its implementation in Africa is neither politically neutral nor free from structural inequalities. The continent's AI-driven climate policies are shaped by a mix of global corporate influence, digital neocolonialism, and the struggle for environmental sovereignty. Furthermore, the deployment of AI in climate governance raises questions about who controls ecological knowledge, whose interests are prioritized, and how African states can balance technological innovation with socio-environmental justice.

Global technology corporations play a significant role in shaping Africa's AI-driven climate policies, often under the banner of initiatives such as AI for Social Good (AI4SG). While these initiatives purport to align with sustainable development goals, they frequently serve as vehicles for corporate governance that privileges private sector interests over state sovereignty (Iazzolino&Stremlau, 2024). This reflects a broader phenomenon of technosolutionism, where AI is presented as an apolitical fix to climate crises while, in reality, embedding corporate control over environmental policy spaces. The focus on supply chain optimization through AI, for instance, has increased efficiency in production but often prioritizes corporate profitability over ecological sustainability (Dauvergne, 2020). Consequently, African states risk becoming passive implementers of AI-driven policies rather than architects of climate solutions tailored to their unique socio-environmental contexts.

Moreover, AI-driven environmental policies are often embedded within broader global AI economies that dictate the pace and direction of technological transitions. International institutions, while ostensibly promoting sustainable development, often reinforce existing power imbalances. The integration of AI in environmental, social, and governance (ESG) frameworks has demonstrated improvements in corporate environmental performance, but primarily within high-energy-consuming industries and non-state-owned enterprises (Lin & Zhu, 2024). This raises concerns about the extent to which AI-enabled sustainability initiatives genuinely serve African environmental interests or merely accommodate corporate compliance with international market mechanisms (Ragulina et al., 2022). Furthermore, the ethical and environmental concerns surrounding AI adoption including its high energy consumption and potential suppression of grassroots environmental activism, necessitate a more nuanced discourse on AI governance that centers African agency (Nosirov et al., 2024; Dauvergne, 2021).

A pressing challenge in AI-driven climate governance is the risk of digital neocolonialism. The historical legacy of colonial resource extraction and economic dependency finds new expression in AI systems that are largely developed, owned, and controlled by external actors. Africa's limited participation in AI innovation and governance means that the continent remains a data supplier rather than a technological innovator, raising concerns about knowledge asymmetries and exclusion from decision-making processes (Cortez, 2023). As Chinweizu (1987) rightly observes, Africa seemingly is in a perpetual state of "catch-up" with the rest of the world, always adopting externally developed technologies rather than leading in their creation. This technological dependency, rather than positioning Africa as an equal player in the global AI economy, reinforces historical patterns of subordination, where innovation is dictated from outside while African states struggle to adapt rather than define their own trajectories. AI-based climate governance, therefore, risks entrenching new forms of inequality, where the benefits of technological advancements are disproportionately concentrated in global tech hubs while African nations remain on the periphery. This imbalance is evident in the formulation of climate policies that often reflect foreign priorities rather than the lived realities of African communities. AI-enabled decision-making tools may standardize environmental policies in ways that overlook local ecological knowledge, further marginalizing indigenous perspectives in climate governance.

In response to these concerns, African nations must proactively engage in balancing AI innovation with environmental sovereignty. This requires a deliberate effort to decolonize AI governance by prioritizing local data protection regulations, fostering homegrown AI research, and ensuring that technological advancements align with Africa's environmental and ethical imperatives (Ayana et al., 2024). The overreliance on imported AI models, often trained on datasets that do not reflect Africa's ecological and socioeconomic complexities, poses risks of technological misalignment. AI-driven climate solutions must therefore be developed with context-specific considerations, emphasizing participatory governance and knowledge co-creation rather than top-down policy imposition.

AI-driven agricultural planning in West Africa exemplifies both the promise and challenges of AI integration in climate governance. Precision farming technologies, AI-powered irrigation systems, and pest prediction algorithms have demonstrated significant potential in enhancing food security by improving resource management and boosting agricultural productivity (TuYizere et al., 2024; Akintuyi, 2024). However, the political economy of AI-driven agriculture reveals underlying tensions related to data ownership, accessibility, and local farmer participation. Many AI-based agricultural tools are developed by external entities with limited input from local communities, leading to concerns about digital dependency and the erosion of traditional agricultural knowledge (Gikunda, 2024; Okengwu et al., 2023). Furthermore, while AI-driven climate-smart agriculture policies have been integrated into national frameworks in Ghana and Côte d'Ivoire, the infrastructural and regulatory gaps in many West African states hinder the equitable distribution of AI benefits (Degila et al., 2023; Anser et al., 2021). Beyond agriculture, the reconstruction of ecological knowledge through AI presents a double-edged sword. On one hand, AI-driven environmental monitoring tools have enhanced Africa's ability to track climate change patterns, optimize conservation efforts, and develop predictive models for disaster risk reduction. On the other hand, AI's epistemic authority often undermines localized knowledge systems that have historically sustained ecological balance. The technocratic nature of AI-driven environmental policies can lead to a devaluation of indigenous climate adaptation strategies, reinforcing a perception that scientific knowledge, often derived from external AI models, is inherently superior to traditional ecological practices. This epistemic displacement, I argue, is one of AI's most profound limitations in African climate governance. AI-based knowledge systems must therefore be integrated with, rather than imposed upon, indigenous environmental wisdom to ensure that climate governance remains inclusive and contextually relevant.

A crucial limitation that warrants further scrutiny is AI's inherent bias in ecological modeling. The datasets used in AI-driven climate governance are often incomplete, skewed, or lacking in region-specific data, leading to predictions and policy recommendations that may not accurately reflect Africa's diverse environmental realities. Additionally, AI models rely on historical data, which may not always account for emerging climate change patterns or the socio-political dimensions of environmental decision-making. As a result, AI-driven climate policies risk becoming rigid, prescriptive, and disconnected from the fluid and dynamic nature of African ecological systems. To mitigate this, I propose an integrative approach where AI-driven environmental strategies are subjected to constant community validation and adaptive recalibration, ensuring that technological interventions remain responsive to evolving local contexts.

Thus, the politics of AI in African climate governance is a contestation of power, knowledge, and agency. While AI has the potential to revolutionize climate resilience, its implementation must be carefully navigated to prevent the reproduction of historical injustices and structural inequalities. African states must assert their sovereignty over AI governance by investing in indigenous AI research, fostering regional collaborations, and advocating for ethical AI frameworks that prioritize both environmental sustainability and social equity. In doing so, Africa can transition from being a passive consumer of AI-driven climate policies to an active shaper of technological futures that reflect its unique ecological and political imperatives.

Conclusion

The intersection of artificial intelligence and Africa's environmental history presents a bold and transformative paradigm for sustainable future solutions. This study has examined the intricate relationship between historical climate patterns and AI-driven environmental interventions, demonstrating that the past is not merely a repository of obsolete data but a crucial guide for predictive modeling, adaptive policy-making, and resilient ecosystem management. By integrating AI with historical climatology, Africa can leverage indigenous knowledge systems alongside computational analytics to mitigate climate vulnerabilities and reinforce sustainable development trajectories.

A critical insight from this study is that Africa's environmental past is a dynamic archive of climatic fluctuations, human adaptations, and socio-ecological resilience strategies. AI-driven analyses of historical droughts, deforestation patterns, and agrarian transformations provide a more nuanced understanding of how past societies navigated environmental crises. Such an approach is essential in an era where climate unpredictability threatens economic stability, food security, and biodiversity conservation. Thus, rather than being passive recipients of climate change effects, African nations can become active agents in shaping sustainable futures through AI-assisted environmental foresight.

However, the successful implementation of AI in environmental sustainability must be anchored in a decolonized and context-sensitive framework. Africa's historical environmental records often remain underutilized due to epistemic erasures and the predominance of Western scientific models that overlook indigenous climate knowledge. This study, therefore, calls for a recalibration of AI methodologies that integrate local ecological wisdom with advanced computational techniques. The democratization of AI access, data sovereignty, and policy-driven technological adaptation are imperative for ensuring that AI serves African developmental priorities rather than perpetuating technological dependency.

Furthermore, the ethical and infrastructural challenges of AI deployment in Africa must be addressed. Issues such as data scarcity, algorithmic biases, and energy-intensive computational demands pose significant hurdles to equitable AI application in environmental governance. Policymakers, researchers, and AI developers must engage in interdisciplinary collaborations to create AI models that are both technologically robust and socially inclusive. Investing in AI education, building indigenous AI capacities, and fostering public-private partnerships will be crucial in realizing the full potential of AI-driven environmental sustainability.

In conclusion, the historical trajectory of Africa's climate must be recognized as a strategic asset rather than a relic of the past. AI, when thoughtfully deployed, offers the possibility of translating historical climate insights into actionable solutions for contemporary environmental challenges. However, Africa cannot afford to remain in a perpetual state of catching up with externally driven technological models. Too often, innovations are adopted without recalibrating them to serve Africa's unique ecological and socio-economic realities. If AI is to truly advance environmental governance on the continent, it must not be a passive import but an actively shaped tool, one that prioritizes local agency, knowledge systems, and developmental imperatives over mere adaptation to global trends. By bridging the temporal divide between past climate patterns and future-oriented sustainability strategies, Africa can cultivate an AI-driven environmental paradigm that is not only innovative but also deeply rooted in historical wisdom, ecological justice, and sustainable resilience.

References

Adefemi, A., Ukpoju, E., Adekoya, O., Abatan, A., &Adegbite, A. (2023). Artificial intelligence in environmental health and public safety: A comprehensive review of USA strategies. *World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews.* https://doi.org/10.30574/wjarr.2023.20.3.2591

Akintuyi, O. (2024). AI in agriculture: A comparative review of developments in the USA and Africa. *Open Access Research Journal of Science and Technology.* https://doi.org/10.53022/oarjst.2024.10.2.0051

Akter, M. (2024). AI for Sustainability: Leveraging Technology to Address Global Environmental. *Journal of Artificial Intelligence General science (JAIGS)* ISSN:3006-4023. https://doi.org/10.60087/jaigs.v3i1.64

Amisha, Malik, P., Pathania, M., &Rathaur, V. K. (2019). Overview of artificial intelligence in medicine. *Journal of family medicine and primary care,* 8(7), 2328–2331. https://doi.org/10.4103/jfmpc.jfmpc_440_19

Anser, M., Godil, D., Aderounmu, B., Onabote, A., Osabohien, R., Ashraf, J., & Peng, M. (2021). Social Inclusion, Innovation and Food Security in West Africa. *Sustainability*. https://doi.org/10.3390/SU13052619

Ayana, G., Dese, K., Daba, H., Mellado, B., Badu, K., Yamba, E., Faye, S., Ondua, M., Nsagha, D., Nkweteyim, D., & Kong, J. (2024). Decolonizing global AI governance: assessment of the state of decolonized AI governance in Sub-Saharan Africa. *Royal Society Open Science*, 11. https://doi.org/10.1098/rsos.231994

Ban, N., Frid, A., Reid, M., Edgar, B., Shaw, D., &Siwallace, P. (2018). Incorporate Indigenous perspectives for impactful research and effective management. *Nature Ecology & Evolution*, 2, 1680 - 1683. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41559-018-0706-0

Barbe, L., Mony, C., & Abbott, B. (2020). Artificial Intelligence Accidentally Learned Ecology through Video Games.*Trends in ecology & evolution.* https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2020.04.006

Bélisle, A., Asselin, H., LeBlanc, P., & Gauthier, S. (2018). Local knowledge in ecological modeling. *Ecology and Society,* 23(2), 13-24. https://doi.org/10.5751/ES-09949-230214

Bordner, A., Ferguson, C., &Ortolano, L. (2020). Colonial dynamics limit climate adaptation in Oceania: Perspectives from the Marshall Islands. *Global Environmental Change.* https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2020.102054

Brownson, S., Chigbu, G., &Osazuwa, C. (2024). Cultural security and environmental conservation: Exploring the link between indigenous knowledge systems and sustainable resource management in Cross Rivers state. *The American Journal of Management and Economics Innovations*. https://doi.org/10.37547/tajmei/volume06issue08-03

Butzer, K. (2005). Environmental history in the Mediterranean world: cross-disciplinary investigation of cause-and-effect for degradation and soil erosion. *Journal of Archaeological Science*, 32, 1773-1800. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JAS.2005.06.001

Chand, S., Chambers, L., Waiwai, M., Malsale, P., & Thompson, E. (2014). Indigenous Knowledge for Environmental Prediction in the Pacific Island Countries. *Weather, Climate, and Society*, 6, 445-450. https://doi.org/10.1175/WCAS-D-13-00053.1

Chapman, M. (2022). Governing AI Applications To Monitoring and Managing Our Global Environmental Commons. *Proceedings of the 2022 AAAI/ACM Conference on AI, Ethics, and Society*. https://doi.org/10.1145/3514094.3539540

Chase, B. (2021). Orbital forcing in southern Africa: Towards a conceptual model for predicting deep time environmental change from an incomplete proxy record. *Quaternary Science Reviews.* https://doi.org/10.1016/j.quascirev.2021.107050

Chaudhary, J., Parmar, N., & Mehta, A. (2024). Artificial Intelligence and Expert Systems. *International Journal of Advanced Research in Science, Communication and Technology.* https://doi.org/10.48175/ijarsct-15988

Chen, L., Chen, P., & Lin, Z. (2020). Artificial Intelligence in Education: A Review. *IEEE Access,* 8, 75264-75278. https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2020.2988510

Chinweizu, I. (1987). *The West And The Rest Of Us: White Predators, Black Slavers, And The African Elite* (2nd ed., reprint). Pero Press. ISBN: 9782651001, 9789782651006

Cilliers, J. (2021). The Future of Work in Africa. *The Future of Africa.* https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-46590-2_9

Cortez, F. (2023). Artificial Intelligence, Climate Change and Innovative Democratic Governance. *European Journal of Risk Regulation*, 14, 484 - 503. https://doi.org/10.1017/err.2023.60

Coutros, P. (2019). A fluid past: Socio-hydrological systems of the West African Sahel across the long durée. *Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Water,* 6. https://doi.org/10.1002/wat2.1365

Cropper, J. (2023). 'Growing a World Wonder': The Great Green Wall and the History of Environmental Decline in the Sahel,*Environment and History*. 1450–2022. https://doi.org/10.3197/096734023x16702350656933

Dauvergne, P. (2020). Is artificial intelligence greening global supply chains? Exposing the political economy of environmental costs. *Review ofInternational Political Economy*, 29, 696 - 718. https://doi.org/10.1080/09692290.2020.1814381

De Zúñiga, H., Goyanes, M., &Durotoye, T. (2023). A Scholarly Definition of Artificial Intelligence (AI): Advancing AI as a Conceptual Framework in Communication Research. *Political Communication,* 41, 317 - 334. https://doi.org/10.1080/10584609.2023.2290497

Degila, J., Sodedji, F., Avakoudjo, H., Tahi, S., Houetohossou, S., Honfoga, A., Tognisse, I., &Assogbadjo, A. (2023). Digital Agriculture Policies and Strategies for Innovations in the Agri-Food Systems—Cases of Five West African Countries. *Sustainability*. https://doi.org/10.3390/su15129192

Deng, L. (2018). Artificial Intelligence in the Rising Wave of Deep Learning: The Historical Path and Future Outlook [Perspectives]. *IEEE Signal Processing Magazine*, 35, 180-177. https://doi.org/10.1109/MSP.2017.2762725

Dewitte, S., Cornelis, J., Müller, R., &Munteanu, A. (2021). Artificial Intelligence Revolutionises Weather Forecast, Climate Monitoring and Decadal Prediction. *Remote. Sens.,* 13, 3209. https://doi.org/10.3390/rs13163209

Dillehay, T., &Kolata, A. (2004). Long-term human response to uncertain environmental conditions in the Andes. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 101, 4325 - 4330. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0400538101

Ellison, D., &Speranza, C. (2020). From Blue To Green Water And Back Again: Promoting Tree, Shrub And Forest-Based Landscape Resilience In The Sahel..*The Science Of The Total Environment,* 739, 140002. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.140002

Enholm, I., Papagiannidis, E., Mikalef, P., &Krogstie, J. (2021). Artificial Intelligence and Business Value: a Literature Review. *Information Systems Frontiers,* 24, 1709-1734. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10796-021-10186-w

Fernández-Llamazares, Á., Lepofsky, D., Lertzman, K., Armstrong, C., Brondízio, E., Gavin, M., Lyver, P., Nicholas, G., Pascua, P., Reo, N., Reyes-García, V., Turner, N., Yletyinen, J., Anderson, E., Balée, W., Cariño, J., David-Chavez, D., Dunn, C., Garnett, S., Greening, S., Selapem), S., Kuhnlein, H., Molnár, Z., Odonne, G., Retter, G., Ripple, W., Sáfián, L., Bahraman, A., Torrents-Ticó, M., & Vaughan, M. (2021). Scientists' Warning to Humanity on Threats to Indigenous and Local Knowledge Systems. *Journal of Ethnobiology,* 41, 144 - 169. https://doi.org/10.2993/0278-0771-41.2.144

Filho, L., Totin, E., Franke, J., Andrew, S., Abubakar, I., Azadi, H., Nunn, P., Ouweneel, B., Williams, P., & Simpson, N. (2021). Understanding responses to climate-related water scarcity in Africa. *The Science Of The Total Environment,* 806 Pt 1, 150420. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.150420

Firoux, S. (2021). The environment, an object of history. *Encyclopédiedel'Environnement*, [enligne ISSN 2555-0950]. http://www.encyclopedie-environnement.org/?p=10330

Frank, M., Autor, D., Bessen, J., Brynjolfsson, E., Cebrián, M., Deming, D., Feldman, M., Groh, M., Lobo, J., Moro, E., Wang, D., Youn, H., &Rahwan, I. (2019). Toward understanding the impact of artificial intelligence on labor. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America,* 116, 6531 - 6539. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1900949116

Gikunda, K. (2024). Harnessing Artificial Intelligence for Sustainable Agricultural Development in Africa: Opportunities, Challenges, and Impact. *ArXiv*, abs/2401.06171. https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2401.06171

Haenlein, M., & Kaplan, A. (2019). A Brief History of Artificial Intelligence: On the Past, Present, and Future of Artificial Intelligence. *California Management Review*, 61, 14 - 5. https://doi.org/10.1177/0008125619864925

Halsey, S. (2023). Chinese Environmental History: A Manifesto. *Asian Review of World* Histories. https://doi.org/10.1163/22879811-bja10010

Han, B., Varshney, K., LaDeau, S., Subramaniam, A., Weathers, K., &Zwart, J. (2023). A synergistic future for AI and ecology. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 120. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2220283120

Hannaford, M. (2020). Climate Change and Society in Southern African History. *Oxford Research Encyclopedia of African History.* https://doi.org/10.1093/acrefore/9780190277734.013.294

Hannaford, M., & Nash, D. (2016). Climate, history, society over the last millennium in southeast Africa. *Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Climate Change,* 7. https://doi.org/10.1002/wcc.389

Hanson, K. (2020). Automation of Knowledge Work and Africa's Transformation Agenda: Threats, Opportunities, and Possibilities. In: Arthur, P., Hanson, K., Puplampu, K. (eds) Disruptive Technologies, Innovation and Development in Africa. *International Political Economy Series. Palgrave Macmillan, Cham.*, 273-292. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-40647-9_13

Iazzolino, G., &Stremlau, N. (2024). AI for social good and the corporate capture of global development. *Information Technology for Development.* https://doi.org/10.1080/02681102.2023.2299351

Kaboth-Bahr, S., Gosling, W., Vogelsang, R., Bahr, A., Scerri, E., Asrat, A., Cohen, A., Düsing, W., Foerster, V., Lamb, H., Maslin, M., Roberts, H., Schäbitz, F., &Trauth, M. (2021). Paleo-ENSO influence on African environments and early modern humans. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 118. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2018277118

Kalilou, O. (2022). Indigenous Alliances for Advancing the Pan-African Great Green Wall of the Sahel. *International Conference on Sustainabile Development*. 1-9.

Kaplan, J. (2016). Defining Artificial Intelligence. Artificial Intelligence: What Everyone Needs to Know. *Oxford University Press.* https://doi.org/10.1093/wentk/9780190602383.003.0001

Khallaf, A., &Alqerafi, N. (2024). Using Ai to Help Reduce the Effect of Global Warming. *Power System Technology.* https://doi.org/10.52783/pst.464

Kiptoch, W. (2024). Implications of AI in land management. *Journal of Computer Science and Technology (JCST).* https://doi.org/10.51317/jcst.v2i1.490

Kom, Z., Nethengwe, N., Mpandeli, S., &Chikoore, H. (2023). Indigenous knowledge indicators employed by farmers for adaptation to climate change in rural South Africa. *Journal of Environmental Planning and Management*, 66, 2778 - 2793. https://doi.org/10.1080/09640568.2022.2086854

Lin, B., & Zhu, Y. (2024). Does AI elevate corporate ESG performance? A supply chain perspective. *Business Strategy and the Environment.* https://doi.org/10.1002/bse.3999

Maganga, T., &Suso, C. (2022). The impact of colonial and contemporary land policies on climate change adaptation in Zimbabwe's communal areas. Jàmbá : *Journal of Disaster Risk Studies*, 14. https://doi.org/10.4102/jamba.v14i1.1311

Mbuvha, R., Yaakoubi, Y., Bagiliko, J., Potes, S., Nammouchi, A., &Amrouche, S. (2024). Leveraging AI for Climate Resilience in Africa: Challenges, Opportunities, and the Need for Collaboration. *ArXiv*, abs/2407.05210. https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.4815919

McNeill, J. (2003). Observations on the Nature and Culture of Environmental History. *History and Theory*, 42, 5-43. https://doi.org/10.1046/J.1468-2303.2003.00255.X

Meijer, D., Beniddir, M., Coley, C., Mejri, Y., Öztürk, M., Van Der Hooft, J., Medema, M., &Skiredj, A. (2024). Empowering natural product science with AI: leveraging multimodal data and knowledge graphs. *Natural product reports.* https://doi.org/10.1039/d4np00008k

Mengistu, D., Bewket, W., Dosio, A., &Panitz, H. (2020). Climate change impacts on water resources in the Upper Blue Nile (Abay) River Basin, Ethiopia. *Journal of Hydrology.* https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2020.125614

Mensing, S., Tunno, I., Sagnotti, L., Florindo, F., Noble, P., Archer, C., Zimmerman, S., Pavón-Carrasco, F., Cifani, G., Passigli, S., &Piovesan, G. (2015). 2700 years of Mediterranean environmental change in central Italy: a synthesis of sedimentary and cultural records to interpret past impacts of climate on society. *Quaternary Science Reviews*, 116, 72-94. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.QUASCIREV.2015.03.022

Moghayedi, A., Michell, K., &Awuzie, B. (2024). Analysis of the drivers and barriers influencing artificial intelligence for tackling climate change challenges. *Smart and Sustainable Built Environment.* https://doi.org/10.1108/sasbe-05-2024-0148

Morandín-Ahuerma, F. (2022). What is Artificial Intelligence?. *International Journal of Research Publication and Reviews*. https://doi.org/10.55248/gengpi.2022.31261

Muheki, D., Deijns, A., Bevacqua, E., Messori, G., Zscheischler, J., &Thiery, W. (2024). The perfect storm? Co-occurring climate extremes in East Africa. *Earth System Dynamics.* https://doi.org/10.5194/esd-15-429-2024

Mutambisi, T., Chanza, N., Matamanda, A., Ncube, R., &Chirisa, I. (2021). Climate Change Adaptation in Southern Africa: Universalistic Science or Indigenous Knowledge or Hybrid. *African Handbook of Climate Change Adaptation.* https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-45106-6_8

Nash, D., Cort, G., Chase, B., Verschuren, D., Nicholson, S., Shanahan, T., Asrat, A., Lézine, A., & Grab, S. (2016). African hydroclimatic variability during the last 2000 years. *Quaternary Science Reviews*, 154, 1-22. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.QUASCIREV.2016.10.012

Nébié, E., & West, C. (2024). Participatory Mapping of Ethnoecological Perspectives on Land Degradation Neutrality in Southern Burkina Faso. *Sustainability*. https://doi.org/10.3390/su16198524

Negi, V., Pathak, R., Thakur, S., Joshi, R., Bhatt, I., &Rawal, R. (2021). Scoping the Need of Mainstreaming Indigenous Knowledge for Sustainable Use of Bioresources in the Indian Himalayan Region. *Environmental Management*, 72, 135 - 146. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00267-021-01510-w

Nicholson, S. (2001). Climatic and environmental change in Africa during the last two centuries. *Climate Research*, 17, 123-144. https://doi.org/10.3354/CR017123

Nicholson, S., &Flohn, H. (1980). African environmental and climatic changes and the general atmospheric circulation in late pleistocene and holocene. *Climatic Change*, 2, 313-348. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00137203

Nordgren, A. (2022). Artificial intelligence and climate change: ethical issues. *J. Inf. Commun. Ethics Soc.*, 21, 1-15. https://doi.org/10.1108/jices-11-2021-0106

Nosirov, I., Yormatov, I., Yuldasheva, N., &Avulchayeva, F. (2024). AI and Corporate Sustainability: Exploring the Environmental and Social Impacts of AI Integration. 2024 *International Conference on Knowledge Engineering and Communication Systems (ICKECS)*, 1, 1-7. https://doi.org/10.1109/ICKECS61492.2024.10617421

Okengwu, U., Onyejegbu, L., Oghenekaro, L., Musa, M., &Ugbari, A. (2023). Environmental and ethical negative implications of AI in agriculture and proposed mitigation measures. *Scientia Africana*. https://doi.org/10.4314/sa.v22i1.13

Oyama, S. (2018). Reverse Thinking and "African Potentials" to Combat Desertification in the West African Sahel: Applying Local Greening Techniques Born from Drought and Famine in the 1970s. *African Study Monographs.* Supplementary Issue, 57, 95-120. https://doi.org/10.14989/233010

Parham, J. (2016). Review of the book Fossil capital: The rise of steam power and the roots of global warming, by A. Malm. *Green Letters*, 20(2), 215–218. https://doi.org/10.1080/14688417.2016.1171495

Parschau, C., &Hauge, J. (2020). Is automation stealing manufacturing jobs? Evidence from South Africa’s apparel industry. *Geoforum*, 115, 120-131. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoforum.2020.07.002
Pédarros, É., Allouche, J., Oma, M., Duboz, P., Diallo, A., Kassa, H., Laloi, C., Müller-Mahn, D.,

Soumahoro, K., Bi, S., & Yao, C. (2024). The Great Green Wall as a Social-Technical Imaginary. *IDS Working Paper 602*, Brighton: Institute of Development Studies. https://doi.org/10.19088/ids.2024.017

Petraglia, M., Groucutt, H., Guagnin, M., Breeze, P., &Boivin, N. (2020). Human responses to climate and ecosystem change in ancient Arabia. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 117, 8263 - 8270. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1920211117

Ragulina, Y., Dubova, Y., Litvinova, T., &Balashova, N. (2022). The Environmental AI Economy and its Contribution to Decarbonization and Waste Reduction. *Frontiers in Environmental Science*, 10. https://doi.org/10.3389/fenvs.2022.914003

Raihan, A., Paul, A., Rahman, M., Islam, S., Paul, P., &Karmakar, S. (2024). Artificial Intelligence (AI) for Environmental Sustainability: A Concise Review of Technology Innovations in Energy, Transportation, Biodiversity, and Water Management. *Journal of Technology Innovations and Energy*. https://doi.org/10.56556/jtie.v3i2.953

Rutenberg, I., Gwagwa, A., &Omino, M. (2021). Use and Impact of Artificial Intelligence on Climate Change Adaptation in Africa. *African Handbook of Climate Change Adaptation.* https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-45106-6_80

Ruuskanen, E., &Väyrynen, K. (2017). Theory and prospects of environmental history. *Rethinking History*, 21, 456 - 473. https://doi.org/10.1080/13642529.2017.1333289

Rykiel, E. (1989). Artificial intelligence and expert systems in ecology and natural resource management. *Ecological Modelling*, 46, 3-8. https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-3800(89)90066-5

Saxena, P., Saxena, V., Pandey, A., Flato, U., & Shukla, K. (2023). *Multiple Aspects of Artificial Intelligence.* Book Saga Publications. https://doi.org/10.60148/muasartificialintelligence

Scoville, C., Chapman, M., Amironesei, R., & Boettiger, C. (2021). Algorithmic conservation in a changing climate. *Current Opinion in Environmental Sustainability*, 51, 30-35. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.COSUST.2021.01.009

Skroblin, A., Carboon, T., Bidu, G., Chapman, N., Miller, M., Taylor, K., Taylor, W., Game, E., &Wintle, B. (2020). Including indigenous knowledge in species distribution modeling for increased ecological insights. *Conservation Biology*, 35. https://doi.org/10.1111/cobi.13373

Sörlin, S., &Warde, P. (2007). The Problem of the Problem of Environmental History: A Re-Reading of the Field. *Environmental History*, 12, 107 - 130. https://doi.org/10.1093/envhis/12.1.107

Srivastava, A., &Maity, R. (2023). Assessing the Potential of AI–ML in Urban Climate Change Adaptation and Sustainable Development. *Sustainability*. https://doi.org/10.3390/su152316461

Tamaddoni-Nezhad, A., Bohan, D., Milani, G., Raybould, A., &Muggleton, S. (2021). Human-Machine Scientific Discovery. In *Human-Like Machine Intelligence.* 297-315. https://doi.org/10.1093/oso/9780198862536.003.0015

Tecuci, G. (2012). Artificial intelligence. *Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Computational Statistics*, 4. https://doi.org/10.1002/wics.200

Tignor, R., & Grove, R. (1996). Green Imperialism: Colonial Expansion, Tropical Island Edens and the Origins of Environmentalism. *Journal of Interdisciplinary History*, 27, 291. https://doi.org/10.2307/205168

Tiller, R. (2023). AI in the Wild: Sustainability in the Age of Artificial Intelligence by Peter Dauvergne. *Global Environmental Politics,* 23, 130-131. https://doi.org/10.1162/glep_r_00732

TuYizere, D., Uwase, V., Niyonkuru, M., Ndanyunzwe, G., Kabutware, M., Singadi, P., Uwera, R., &Okeyo, G. (2024). AI-Driven Precision Farming: A Holistic Approach to Enhance Food and Nutrition Security in Africa. *2024 IEEE International Conference on Omni-layer Intelligent Systems (COINS),* 1-6. https://doi.org/10.1109/COINS61597.2024.10622109

Vincent, K., Cull, T., Chanika, D., Hamazakaza, P., Joubert, A., Macome, E., &Mutonhodza-Davies, C. (2013). Farmers' responses to climate variability and change in southern Africa – is it coping or adaptation? *Climate and Development,* 5, 194 - 205. https://doi.org/10.1080/17565529.2013.821052

Walz, E., Knippertz, P., Fink, A., Kohler, G., &Gneiting, T. (2024). Physics-based vs. data-driven 24-hour probabilistic forecasts of precipitation for northern tropical Africa. *Monthly Weather Review*. https://doi.org/10.1175/mwr-d-24-0005.1

Williams, D., &Worster, D. (1994). The Wealth of Nature: Environmental History and the Ecological Imagination. *Journal of Wildlife Management,* 58, 386. https://doi.org/10.2307/3809409

Williams, M. (1994). The relations of environmental history and historical geography. *Journal of Historical Geography,* 20, 3-21. https://doi.org/10.1006/JHGE.1994.1002

Worku, G., Teferi, E., Bantider, A., &Dile, Y. (2021). Modelling hydrological processes under climate change scenarios in the Jemma sub-basin of upper Blue Nile Basin, Ethiopia. *Climate Risk Management,* 31, 100272. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.CRM.2021.100272

Zvobgo, L., Johnston, P., Williams, P., Trison, C., & Simpson, N. (2021). The role of indigenous knowledge and local knowledge in water sector adaptation to climate change in Africa: A structured assessment. *Sustain Sci.* https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-774241/v1