REVIVING INDIGENOUS WISDOM: THE INFLUENCE OF IGBO CONFLICT RESOLUTION ON CONTEMPORARY GLOBAL DIPLOMACY

Ifeanyi Ugochukwu Sanctus
Department of History and International Studies,
Kingsley Ozumba Mbadiwe University, Ideato, Imo- State
09031707133 ugochukwu.ifeanyi@komu.edu.ng
&
Nwaneri Justin Chukwuma Ph.D.
History and International studies.
Gregory University Uturu.
08035927567.
nwajustin@yahoo.com

Abstract
Modern diplomacy and international peacebuilding often rely on Western-centric frameworks that may overlook indigenous perspectives. This article explores the overlooked potential of Igbo traditional conflict resolution mechanisms in contributing to the evolution of more inclusive and sustainable global peace strategies. The study is motivated by the problem of cultural disconnect in existing peacebuilding approaches, which frequently neglect indigenous systems rooted in communal values and participatory justice. The objective of this research is to examine how Igbo conflict resolution practices—anchored in oral traditions, consensus building, and communal accountability—can complement and enhance modern diplomatic efforts. Employing a qualitative methodology, the study draws from oral histories, cultural narratives, and secondary literature to analyze these indigenous mechanisms. It is guided by a post-colonial and communitarian theoretical framework, which foregrounds the importance of cultural context and collective responsibility in conflict resolution. The findings reveal that Igbo practices offer flexible, inclusive, and community-centered approaches that contrast with the rigidity of formal diplomatic systems. These methods emphasize reconciliation, restitution, and long-term harmony, making them highly relevant for contemporary peacebuilding challenges. The study concludes that integrating such traditional approaches into formal frameworks can improve the legitimacy, effectiveness, and sustainability of peace efforts globally. It recommends that policymakers, international organizations, and peace practitioners consider adopting and adapting indigenous conflict resolution methods—like those of the Igbo people—within global peace processes, thus fostering a more culturally attuned and enduring pathway to global harmony.
Keywords: Diplomacy, Conflict Resolution, Peacebuilding, Igbo Traditional Conflict Resolution Mechanisms

Introduction
Contemporary diplomacy and peacebuilding frameworks, though globally institutionalized and widely practiced, often fail to adequately reflect the socio-cultural realities and indigenous contexts of the communities they are designed to serve. The prevailing model of international diplomacy, which is largely rooted in Western epistemologies, tends to prioritize formal negotiations, codified legal instruments, and state-centric dialogues. While this model has its merits, its limitations become apparent when addressing complex intra-state and inter-community conflicts, particularly in African settings where socio-political relations are deeply embedded in cultural and traditional norms[1].

In many African societies, conflict is not merely a legal issue but a socio-spiritual and communal matter that affects the moral fabric and identity of the community. The Igbo people of southeastern Nigeria exemplify such a society where traditional mechanisms of conflict resolution are culturally ingrained, community-driven, and oriented toward reconciliation rather than retribution. Igbo conflict resolution practices—such as the use of ndichie (elders), ama-ala (village assemblies), and umunna (extended family units)—are underpinned by values of consensus, restorative justice, and communal participation[2]. These practices have, for centuries, enabled the Igbo communities to manage disputes, reintegrate offenders, and sustain social cohesion without the need for external or state-imposed interventions.

Despite the proven efficacy of these traditional mechanisms in local contexts, they remain largely unrecognized or underutilized in formal diplomatic and international peacebuilding processes. The dominance of Western-centric models not only marginalizes indigenous epistemologies but also undermines the sustainability of peace efforts, particularly in post-conflict African states where imposed systems may lack cultural legitimacy and community acceptance. This disconnection between global peace frameworks and indigenous knowledge systems contributes to the recurrence of conflicts and the fragility of peace in many regions.

This paper therefore seeks to explore the potential contributions of Igbo traditional conflict resolution mechanisms to the evolution and enrichment of modern diplomacy and international peacebuilding. It critically examines how these culturally grounded practices—anchored in oral traditions, spiritual symbolism, participatory deliberations, and collective accountability—can offer innovative alternatives to the rigidity and impersonality of Western-oriented diplomacy. The Igbo worldview, which emphasizes communal harmony over individualism, and consensus over coercion, provides an essential philosophical foundation for a more human-centered and culturally sensitive approach to diplomacy[3].

In the wake of persistent global conflicts—ranging from ethnic strife to civil wars and insurgencies—the need to reconceptualize peacebuilding frameworks becomes more urgent than ever. A rigid reliance on international norms without sufficient incorporation of indigenous perspectives often results in peace agreements that are short-lived and disconnected from the lived experiences of affected populations. Recognizing and integrating indigenous approaches like those of the Igbo people is not merely an academic exercise; it is a necessary reorientation that could improve the legitimacy, inclusiveness, and effectiveness of peace processes globally.

By drawing from a post-colonial and communitarian theoretical framework, this study seeks to foreground indigenous knowledge systems as valuable, not inferior, alternatives. It argues for a pluralistic approach to peacebuilding—one that respects and incorporates the rich traditions of African societies in the formulation of sustainable diplomatic practices. Ultimately, this research aims to bridge the epistemological gap between Western diplomacy and African traditional conflict resolution, demonstrating that global peace can be more enduring when it is locally informed and culturally resonant.

Conceptual Clarifications
Diplomacy
Diplomacy, in its broadest sense, refers to the art, practice, and institutionalized process through which states, groups, or communities engage in dialogue and negotiation to manage relationships, prevent conflicts, and secure agreements in a peaceful manner[4]. Traditionally associated with foreign policy and statecraft, diplomacy has evolved to encompass multilateral engagements involving non-state actors, civil society, and even traditional institutions. The essence of diplomacy lies in its non-violent nature—it is the antithesis of coercion and warfare. Through diplomacy, parties pursue mutual interests, manage differences, and construct frameworks for cooperation.

In the context of this study, diplomacy is not limited to the modern, Western-style model driven by professional diplomats in formal settings. It is expanded to include indigenous and culturally grounded forms of mediation and dialogue. Indigenous diplomacy, such as that practiced by the Igbo, reflects a more organic, participatory, and community-based process where legitimacy stems from cultural consensus and social values rather than from codified international laws. This broader understanding of diplomacy is essential for appreciating how traditional African practices can meaningfully contribute to the global peace architecture.

Conflict Resolution
Conflict resolution refers to the structured process through which opposing parties seek to identify the underlying causes of their disputes and develop mutually acceptable solutions that restore peace and justice[5]. It includes a range of strategies and mechanisms—formal and informal—such as negotiation, mediation, arbitration, conciliation, and traditional adjudication. Unlike conflict management, which may seek only to limit violence or contain disputes temporarily, conflict resolution aims at addressing root causes and transforming adversarial relationships into cooperative ones.

In African contexts, conflict resolution often extends beyond legal frameworks to encompass social, moral, and spiritual dimensions. Among the Igbo, for example, the resolution of conflicts is not simply a matter of

determining who is right or wrong but involves restoring harmony within the community. The process is inclusive, involving elders, family heads, and relevant communal institutions that mediate disputes based on collective memory, customary laws, and ancestral wisdom. Such mechanisms place emphasis on restitution, reconciliation, and reintegration—key pillars that modern legalistic systems often overlook.

Peacebuilding

Peacebuilding is a comprehensive, long-term process aimed at preventing the reoccurrence of violent conflict by addressing its root causes and laying the foundations for sustainable peace[6]. It involves multidimensional efforts such as rebuilding political institutions, promoting justice and human rights, fostering economic recovery, and healing fractured relationships. The concept gained prominence in the post-Cold War era, particularly through the work of the United Nations, which emphasized the need for post-conflict societies to move beyond military cessation toward structural transformation.

In contrast to peacemaking (which is often short-term and negotiation-focused) and peacekeeping (which may involve military presence), peacebuilding is holistic and people-centered. It acknowledges that peace is not merely the absence of war but the presence of justice, equity, and inclusivity. Traditional societies like the Igbo have long practiced indigenous forms of peacebuilding through mechanisms that emphasize community reintegration, public rituals of reconciliation, and consensus-based judgments. These traditional practices can greatly complement modern peacebuilding efforts, particularly in contexts where externally imposed systems lack cultural legitimacy or grassroots support.

Igbo Traditional Conflict Resolution Mechanisms

Igbo traditional conflict resolution mechanisms refer to a body of indigenous practices, institutions, and values employed by the Igbo people to manage disputes, restore social balance, and sustain communal peace[7]. These mechanisms are deeply embedded in the Igbo worldview, which prioritizes group harmony, respect for elders, and collective responsibility. Rather than punitive justice, the Igbo system emphasizes restorative justice, aiming not just to resolve a specific dispute but to restore the broken relationship and reintegrate the offender into the community.

Among the central institutions used in conflict resolution are the family heads, who serve as the first point of mediation in family-related disputes. When conflicts escalate beyond the family, the council of elders (ndi ichie) steps in, leveraging their wisdom, experience, and moral authority to mediate and adjudicate. Age grades (ndi ogbo) play a supervisory and enforcement role, especially in implementing community decisions. Women's groups (umu ada), comprised of daughters of the lineage, often intervene in domestic and inter-family disputes, especially where women or children are affected. Additionally, spiritual authorities—including priests and diviners—may be consulted in cases involving oath-taking, moral wrongdoing, or conflicts believed to have spiritual implications.

These mechanisms are participatory, transparent, and embedded in the moral and spiritual fabric of the society. Their emphasis on dialogue, restitution, and community-based sanctions makes them not only effective but also sustainable. In contemporary times, these practices remain relevant and are increasingly recognized as vital components of alternative dispute resolution and grassroots peacebuilding strategies.

Theoretical Framework

This study is anchored on two interconnected theoretical perspectives—Post-Colonial Theory and Communitarianism—which together provide a robust lens for analyzing the relevance of Igbo traditional conflict resolution mechanisms in the context of modern diplomacy and peacebuilding.

Post-Colonial Theory

Post-colonial theory emerges from the intellectual efforts of scholars and activists to interrogate the legacies of colonialism and challenge the continued dominance of Eurocentric worldviews in global knowledge production[8]. Central to post-colonial thought is the critique of how colonial powers imposed Western norms, values, and institutions on non-Western societies, often at the expense of indigenous systems. These imposed structures did not merely govern political and economic domains but also redefined epistemologies—ways of knowing, organizing society, and resolving conflicts.

One of the critical concerns of post-colonial theory is how colonized societies were forced to abandon their traditional governance systems, including local methods of diplomacy and conflict resolution, in favor of Western legal and bureaucratic models[9]. In Africa, colonial administrations frequently dismissed traditional conflict resolution institutions as primitive or backward, replacing them with courts and legal systems unfamiliar to the local people and often unfit for the complexities of communal relationships. This intellectual and structural colonization produced a form of epistemic violence—erasing or marginalizing indigenous knowledge systems and devaluing African contributions to global discourse.

By employing post-colonial theory, this study calls for a revalorization of indigenous African institutions such as the Igbo mechanisms of dispute resolution. These systems, grounded in consensus, spirituality, oral tradition, and communal values, reflect a rich epistemology that is both contextually relevant and functionally effective. Post-colonial theory thus helps to frame Igbo conflict resolution practices not as archaic remnants of the past but as legitimate and valuable contributions to the reimagination of global diplomacy.

Communitarianism
Communitarianism is a normative social theory that places the community at the center of ethical, political, and social life. Unlike liberal individualism, which prioritizes the rights and autonomy of the individual, communitarianism stresses the importance of social cohesion, shared values, and collective responsibility in the pursuit of the common good[10]. In this framework, individuals are seen not as isolated agents but as embedded members of communities whose identities and responsibilities are shaped by cultural traditions and communal obligations.

This theoretical approach is particularly useful for analyzing traditional African societies like the Igbo, whose worldview and social structures are deeply rooted in communitarian values. In Igbo cosmology, the well-being of the individual is inseparable from the health of the community. Thus, when conflict arises, the primary goal of resolution is not retribution or legal redress but the restoration of communal harmony. This explains why Igbo conflict resolution emphasizes reconciliation, apology, restitution, and reintegration, rather than punishment or exclusion[11].

Institutions such as the council of elders (ndi ichie), age grades (ndi ogbo), and women's groups (umu ada) operate not merely as adjudicatory bodies but as moral custodians of the community's equilibrium. The legitimacy of their authority comes not from codified laws but from cultural consensus, spiritual sanction, and generational wisdom. These features make the Igbo approach inherently communitarian, aligned with the theoretical underpinnings that stress relational ethics and collective healing.

By using communitarian theory, this study not only contextualizes the cultural logic of Igbo conflict resolution but also underscores its potential as a counter-narrative to the dominant individualistic models of justice and diplomacy in global peacebuilding. It affirms that meaningful, sustainable peace can be achieved when communities are empowered to resolve their disputes using values and methods that resonate with their collective identity and lived experiences.

The Igbo Worldview and Conflict Philosophy
The Igbo people of southeastern Nigeria possess a distinct worldview that sees conflict not as an inherently destructive force, but as a natural and unavoidable aspect of social life. Within this cultural understanding, conflict is not something to be violently suppressed or ignored, but rather a condition to be managed constructively through communal processes and shared values[12]. This perception of conflict reflects a deep commitment to harmony and the preservation of societal balance. It also aligns with the communitarian view of human relationships, which emphasizes the importance of the collective over the individual, and holds that the well-being of the community supersedes personal grievances.

Central to Igbo society is the belief that conflict, when addressed through dialogue and consensus, offers an opportunity to strengthen relationships and reinforce communal norms[13]. The Igbo approach to conflict is thus rooted in the idea of restoration rather than punishment. This sharply contrasts with Western legal systems, where the primary aim is often to determine guilt and assign penalties according to formal legal codes. In the Igbo context, however, justice is achieved only when broken relationships are mended, wrongdoers are reintegrated into society,

and victims feel restored and acknowledged[14]. This practice resonates deeply with communitarian ethics, which prioritize reconciliation and collective responsibility over retribution.

One of the most critical features of Igbo conflict resolution is the practice of collective mediation. When disputes arise, resolution is sought not by individuals acting alone or by state-appointed officials, but by a group of respected community actors such as family heads, elders (ndi ichie), age-grade representatives (ndi ogbo), and, in many cases, women's groups like the umu ada[15]. These individuals possess moral credibility within the community and serve not as legal arbiters, but as facilitators of peace grounded in cultural wisdom and social trust. Their involvement ensures that the conflict is understood from multiple perspectives and that the solution reflects the values and interests of the broader community.

This collective approach is emblematic of the communitarian principle that peace is a shared responsibility and that every member of the community has a stake in its preservation. Furthermore, from a post-colonial standpoint, the emphasis on communal mediation challenges the colonial legacy that dismissed African systems of justice as unsophisticated or backward. Instead, it reasserts the legitimacy and efficacy of indigenous African knowledge systems and decision-making models.

Another hallmark of the Igbo conflict philosophy is the use of open dialogue. Conflicts are often addressed in public settings such as the village square (ama ala), where all concerned parties, as well as other members of the community, are free to speak and participate[16]. This form of public deliberation not only allows for transparency but also ensures that all voices are heard and considered in the process of resolution. The goal is not merely to settle the dispute but to understand the underlying causes, acknowledge grievances, and foster mutual understanding.

Such openness mirrors the ideals of deliberative democracy and further strengthens the social contract among community members. In contrast to formal Western courtrooms that often exclude the public and prioritize procedural efficiency, Igbo public hearings emphasize relational repair and emotional expression. From a post-colonial perspective, this method critiques the imposition of colonial legal systems that marginalized these participatory traditions in favor of imported judicial models.

Equally important is the principle of restorative justice, which lies at the heart of Igbo conflict resolution. Unlike punitive systems that focus on isolating the offender and delivering punishment, the Igbo approach seeks to heal the emotional, moral, and social wounds caused by the conflict. This is achieved through apologies, compensation, and rituals of reconciliation designed to restore the dignity of victims and reintegrate offenders into the community[17]. Justice, in this sense, is not transactional but relational. It is not enough for wrongdoers to pay fines or serve time; they must also restore broken trust and rebuild their moral standing.

This emphasis on restoration echoes both the communitarian value of interdependence and the post-colonial critique of legal systems that alienate communities and reduce justice to state-administered punishment. The Igbo model, in contrast, provides a context-sensitive and human-centered approach to peace, in which every member of the community plays a role in conflict resolution and reconciliation.

Also, the resolution of conflict in Igbo society often culminates in acts of symbolic reconciliation. Rituals such as the breaking and sharing of kolanuts, the pouring of libations, handshakes, and communal feasts serve as physical manifestations of forgiveness and restored relationships[18]. These symbolic gestures carry deep spiritual significance and help solidify the emotional resolution of disputes. They serve not only to mark the end of the conflict but also to reaffirm the social bonds that unite the community.

Through the lens of post-colonial theory, these rituals are expressions of cultural resilience and continuity in the face of colonial erasure. They represent the preservation of African identity and the assertion of alternative epistemologies that are both valid and effective. For communitarianism, these symbols are not just cultural artifacts but essential components of social integration and moral consensus.

The Igbo worldview and its conflict resolution mechanisms offer a rich, alternative philosophy of peacebuilding—one that is rooted in shared values, communal responsibility, and restorative practices. By situating this indigenous model within the frameworks of communitarianism and post-colonial theory, it becomes evident that the Igbo

approach is not only culturally authentic but also globally relevant. It provides a compelling case for rethinking modern diplomacy and justice systems in a way that values inclusion, empathy, and the restoration of harmony.

Limitations of Contemporary Diplomatic Approaches

Contemporary international diplomacy, while institutionally sophisticated and often procedurally elaborate, has faced persistent criticism for its limitations in resolving deeply rooted and protracted conflicts. A fundamental challenge lies in its technocratic and elitist orientation, where peace negotiations are frequently confined to political elites, external diplomats, and institutional actors—often far removed from the lived realities of those directly affected by conflict[19]. This approach tends to marginalize grassroots voices, particularly those of women, youth, and traditional leaders, whose roles are central to conflict dynamics and community healing in many societies.

The result of this exclusionary practice is the frequent collapse of peace agreements that lack communal legitimacy. Peace settlements, crafted in sterile diplomatic spaces with limited community input, are often unsustainable because they do not reflect the cultural, emotional, or social dimensions of the conflict[20]. Post-colonial theory offers a critical lens through which this failure can be understood: contemporary diplomacy continues to operate within frameworks inherited from colonial structures, where Western models of legalism and governance are superimposed onto non-Western societies. These models often delegitimize indigenous knowledge systems and overlook the socio-cultural fabrics that sustain peace in traditional communities.

By contrast, indigenous conflict resolution systems—such as those practiced by the Igbo people—possess an intrinsic legitimacy that stems from community ownership and culturally resonant practices[21]. These systems engage with the conflict not merely as a political or legal issue but as a disruption in the social harmony that must be collectively addressed and restored. Communitarianism supports this paradigm by emphasizing collective responsibility and moral obligation toward the community's welfare. In this view, conflict resolution is not the preserve of experts alone but the shared duty of all members of the society.

The legalistic nature of modern diplomacy, while useful for codification and accountability, often alienates disputants from the process. Legal procedures, conducted in foreign languages and abstract terminologies, can seem inaccessible and impersonal. In contrast, Igbo traditional mechanisms cultivate empathy, emotional expression, and social healing through the use of familiar rituals, storytelling, and shared symbols[22]. These practices foster an environment where disputants feel seen, heard, and valued—not simply as parties in a legal matter but as individuals with relational and moral ties to their communities.

The Relevance of Igbo Mechanisms in Global Peacebuilding

Several key elements of Igbo traditional conflict resolution align with globally recognized peacebuilding principles, suggesting their value beyond local or regional applications. First and foremost is inclusiveness. Unlike some modern diplomatic frameworks that prioritize political or economic elites, Igbo conflict resolution actively involves all stakeholders, including women (represented by groups like umu ada) and youth (via ndi ogbo)[23]. This inclusive approach not only democratizes the peace process but also ensures that diverse perspectives and grievances are addressed, thereby contributing to a more holistic and durable resolution.

In terms of sustainability, Igbo methods prioritize long-term relationship-building and community cohesion. The resolution of a conflict is not viewed as a one-time event but as a continuous process of healing, restitution, and reintegration. Communal celebrations and symbolic rituals serve to reaffirm unity and discourage future grievances. Such sustained engagement is particularly valuable in post-conflict societies where rebuilding trust is essential.

Legitimacy is another cornerstone of Igbo mechanisms. Conflict resolution is facilitated by respected community elders and morally grounded figures whose authority derives not from formal positions or legal power, but from lived experience, wisdom, and communal respect[24]. Post-colonial theory recognizes the significance of such indigenous authority structures, which were often undermined or dismantled by colonial administrations. By acknowledging and restoring their role, peace processes can reclaim cultural authenticity and social trust.

Preventive diplomacy is also embedded within the Igbo worldview. Regular communal interactions, such as village assemblies and age-grade meetings, provide early warning platforms where tensions can be identified and

de-escalated before they evolve into violence[25]. This proactive engagement contrasts with reactive models of diplomacy that often intervene only after conflict has escalated. In this sense, Igbo practices offer a blueprint for community-based early warning systems and participatory peacebuilding.

These principles—when viewed through the dual lenses of communitarianism and post-colonialism—highlight the transformative potential of indigenous systems in global peace efforts. Communitarianism values the interconnectedness of community life and sees peace as a shared good, while post-colonial theory urges the decolonization of knowledge and practice, advocating for the recognition of non-Western epistemologies in shaping international norms.

Toward a Hybrid Model of Diplomacy

Rather than positioning traditional and modern diplomatic systems in opposition, this study advocates for a hybrid model that combines the procedural strengths of contemporary diplomacy with the cultural legitimacy and inclusiveness of traditional mechanisms[26]. Such a synthesis would not only enhance the effectiveness of peace processes but also affirm the dignity and agency of indigenous communities.

A hybrid model begins with the legal recognition of traditional conflict resolution systems within national and international legal frameworks. This recognition would validate the authority of local institutions and facilitate their engagement in formal peacebuilding efforts. Furthermore, integrating traditional mediators into official peace negotiations could provide culturally grounded insights and improve community buy-in.

Equally important is the active collaboration between international agencies and local leaders, where global actors are encouraged to respect and work within indigenous customs and practices[27]. This collaborative approach would address the post-colonial critique of imposed models and foster peace that are not only effective but also just and locally meaningful.

The limitations of contemporary diplomatic approaches—rooted in elitism, legalism, and post-colonial detachment—underscore the need for alternative frameworks. Igbo traditional conflict resolution, grounded in communitarian values and cultural legitimacy, offers valuable lessons for global peacebuilding. By embracing a hybrid diplomatic model, the global community can move toward more inclusive, sustainable, and contextually relevant approaches to resolving conflict.

Conclusion

Igbo traditional conflict resolution mechanisms offer a profound and enduring foundation for rethinking modern diplomacy. Rooted in communal values, cultural legitimacy, and restorative practices, these indigenous systems present inclusive and sustainable alternatives to the often technocratic and externally imposed models of contemporary peacebuilding. In an era marked by the persistent failure of many global peace efforts, drawing upon these time-tested approaches provides not only practical solutions but also a necessary step toward decolonizing dominant diplomatic paradigms. Achieving this requires a willingness to learn from indigenous knowledge systems, to recognize their value without prejudice, and to integrate them meaningfully into global peace discourses with respect, humility, and cultural sensitivity.

Recommendations

1. Mainstream indigenous knowledge into diplomatic training curricula.
2. Encourage legal frameworks that recognize traditional conflict resolution systems.
3. Promote inter-cultural dialogues between diplomats and traditional authorities.
4. Document and digitize traditional conflict resolution practices for posterity.
5. Involve community elders and cultural institutions in peace missions.
6. Fund academic research on indigenous diplomacy.
7. Integrate Igbo and similar systems into UN peacebuilding missions.
8. Develop peace education programs based on traditional ethics.
9. Promote gender-inclusive roles in traditional systems (e.g., umu ada).
10. Support hybrid courts that combine formal and traditional justice.

Endnotes

1. I. William Zartman, Traditional Cures for Modern Conflicts: African Conflict "Medicine" (Boulder, CO: Lynne Rienner Publishers, 2000).
2. Olawale B. C. Nwolise, "Traditional Models of Bargaining and Conflict Resolution in Africa," Nigerian Journal of International Affairs 31, no. 2 (2005): 35–56.
3. Anthony A. Akinwale, "Reintegration of Militants and Peacebuilding in the Niger Delta Region of Nigeria," Journal of Peace, Conflict and Development 15 (2010): 1–10.
4. Geoff R. Berridge, Diplomacy: Theory and Practice, 5th ed. (London: Palgrave Macmillan, 2015).
5. Oliver Ramsbotham, Tom Woodhouse, and Hugh Miall, Contemporary Conflict Resolution: The Prevention, Management and Transformation of Deadly Conflicts, 4th ed. (Cambridge: Polity Press, 2016).
6. John Paul Lederach, Building Peace: Sustainable Reconciliation in Divided Societies (Washington, DC: United States Institute of Peace Press, 1997).
7. Remigius C. Okeke, "Traditional Justice Systems: The Igbo Example," African Journal of Legal Studies 6, no. 3 (2013): 221–233.
8. Frantz Fanon, The Wretched of the Earth (New York: Grove Press, 1963).
9. Edward W. Said, Orientalism (New York: Pantheon Books, 1978).
10. Amitai Etzioni, The New Golden Rule: Community and Morality in a Democratic Society (New York: Basic Books, 1996).
11. Donatus I. Nwoga, The Igbo Worldview: A New Perspective (Ibadan: University Press, 1984).
12. Victor C. Uchendu, The Igbo of Southeast Nigeria (New York: Holt, Rinehart and Winston, 1965).
13. Gloria Emeagwali, "African Indigenous Knowledge Systems (AIKS): Implications for the Curriculum," Journal of African Studies 8, no. 2 (2003): 78–91.
14. Oko O. Elechi, Doing Justice Without the State: The Afikpo (Ehugbo) Nigeria Model (London: Routledge, 2006).
15. Cyril Obi, "Conflict and Peacebuilding in Africa: The Role of Civil Society," African Development Review 22, no. 1 (2007): 42–58.
16. Emmanuel Nwaubani, "Conflict Resolution in Igboland: A Case Study," Journal of Cultural Studies 4, no. 2 (1994): 117–130.
17. Augustine Idike, "The Role of Traditional Institutions in Local Governance in Southeast Nigeria," Journal of African Studies 5, no. 1 (2017): 14–29.
18. Godfrey Onu, Kola Nut and Igbo Diplomacy: A Symbolic Communication Practice (Nsukka: University of Nigeria Press, 2005).
19. Oliver P. Richmond, A Post-Liberal Peace (London: Routledge, 2011).
20. Roland Paris, At War's End: Building Peace After Civil Conflict (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2004).
21. Tim Murithi, African Approaches to Peace and Conflict Resolution: A Training Manual (Cape Town: University of Cape Town Press, 2006).
22. Volker Boege, "Traditional Approaches to Conflict Transformation: Potentials and Limits," in Berghof Handbook for Conflict Transformation (Berlin: Berghof Research Center, 2006).
23. Eucharia O. Ezenweke, "The Role of Women in Traditional Conflict Resolution in Igbo Land: A Gendered Perspective," Gender Studies Journal 3, no. 1 (2012): 33–45.
24. Christian Isike, "Indigenous Diplomacy in Africa: Rethinking the Global South's Engagement," African Journal of International Affairs 10, no. 2 (2017): 20–38.
25. United Nations, Resolutions on Sustaining Peace, UN General Assembly and Security Council Resolutions A/RES/70/262 and S/RES/2282 (2016).
26. Adiele E. Afigbo, Ropes of Sand: Studies in Igbo History and Culture (Ibadan: University Press, 1981).
27. Mabel Ikejiani-Clark, Peace Studies and Conflict Resolution in Nigeria: A Reader (Nsukka: University of Nigeria Press, 2009).