

## Impact of Urbanization on Malaria Infection in Selected Urban Areas of Imo State, Nigeria

Chimara O. Ugonnanyere<sup>1</sup> Chigbo Ajero<sup>2</sup> A. I. Ogomaka<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1,2,3</sup> Department of Animal and Environmental Biology,

Faculty of Biological Sciences,

Imo State University, Owerri, Nigeria

### Corresponding author

Chimara O. Ugonnanyere

Phone: +2347037442275

Email: osinachiugonnanyerechimara@gmail.com

### Abstract

Malaria remains a major public health concern in Nigeria, with **urbanization** altering traditional transmission patterns. This study investigated the **impact of urbanization on malaria infection** in three urban centers of Imo State—Owerri, Orlu, and Okigwe. A **cross-sectional hospital-based survey** was conducted between April and July 2024, involving 600 participants (200 per zone). Venous blood samples were examined using **Rapid Diagnostic Tests (RDTs)** and **microscopy** to detect malaria parasites and estimate parasite densities. Structured questionnaires captured participants' demographic, socioeconomic, and migratory backgrounds. Results showed an overall prevalence of 34.5%, with Orlu recording the highest (38.0%) and Okigwe the lowest (30.0%). **Migrants** exhibited higher infection rates and parasite densities compared to non-migrants, particularly among females and low-income groups. Environmental conditions such as poor drainage, stagnant water, and overcrowded settlements further sustained transmission within urban neighborhoods. Statistical analysis revealed significant associations between malaria prevalence and migration status ( $p < 0.05$ ), while age and sex showed no significant effect. The study underscores the role of population mobility and inadequate urban infrastructure in maintaining malaria transmission cycles. Effective control requires **integrated vector management**, improved diagnostic coverage, and **gender-sensitive interventions** within urban health frameworks. These findings provide valuable baseline data for targeted malaria control and urban health policy in Imo State and similar rapidly growing cities in sub-Saharan Africa.

**Keywords:** Urbanization, Malaria prevalence, Migration, Parasite density, Urban health

## Introduction

With sub-Saharan Africa disproportionately impacted, malaria still presents a major public health problem throughout tropical and subtropical areas. Caused mostly by *Plasmodium falciparum* parasites, this mosquito-borne disease is transmitted through the bites of infected female *Anopheles* mosquitoes (World Health Organization [WHO], 2020). Malaria shows clinically with symptoms including fever, chills, and flu-like disease that might become major problems or death if not quickly treated. Nigeria carries a disproportionate load—about 27% of world malaria cases and 31% of—despite ongoing worldwide efforts in malaria prevention, diagnosis, and treatment. Death from malaria in 2022 (Severe Malaria Observatory, 2024). Children under five and expectant women are particularly vulnerable groups, which shows the complicated relationship between malaria and poor infrastructure, poverty, and limited access to medical treatment. Malaria was formerly seen as a

rural illness, but increased urbanization all across Africa is swiftly altering its epidemiology. Unplanned urban sprawl and accelerated rural-to-urban migration have changed transmission patterns, which match predictions that almost 70% of Africa's population will be urbanized by 2050. (United Nations [UN], 2018). With towns such as those in Imo State seeing major population increase propelled by migration, infrastructure improvements, and population growth, Nigeria reflects this trend.

Although urbanization often increases access to healthcare, hygiene, and education, its overall effect on malaria transmission is complicated and context-dependent. Previous ideas held that better homes, fewer natural mosquito breeding locations, and more pollution repellents (Trape, Rogier, Hay, Smith, & Snow, 2005; Konate, 1987). Unintended, poorly controlled urban expansion, though, helps create environments appropriate for malaria transmission, according to recent research. Informal settlements provide rich breeding grounds for *Anopheles* mosquitoes (Doumbe-Belisse,

Estevez, &) with poor drainage, stagnant water in garbage and containers, and urban agriculture. Additionally exploiting contaminated water sources and the microclimates generated, vector species including previously rural-adapted *Anopheles arabiensis* have progressively colonized urban ecosystems (Tchoumi, 2021). by urbanization (Jones, Craig, & Shutes, 2012). This has caused increased malaria transmission in Nigeria's peri-urban regions and metropolitan forests as well as throughout the Gulf of Guinea (Coluzzi, Sabatini, Petrarca, & Di Deco, 1979; Awolola, Oyewole, Obansa, & Abilio, 2002; Onyabe, Awolola, & Ferreira, 2001). Urbanization causes changes in land use, including deforestation, wetland drainage, and more construction, which affect vector ecology differently; some modifications lower breeding grounds whereas others, especially in uncontrolled Urban fringes improve vector productivity (Samson, Ekem, & Akinyemi, 2015; Bhattarai, Ali, & Koo, 2020). Rural immigrants often reside in congested communities without enough public amenities and cleanliness, hence increasing the risk of malaria transmission in quickly developing cities of Imo

State. Urbanization so has multiple effects: well-planned urban infrastructure can reduce malaria risk through better living conditions and health services, whereas unplanned urban sprawl may sustain or aggravate transmission (Agegnehu, Haile, & Kassahun, 2018; Utzinger et al., 2004). Human movement between rural and urban areas also helps parasite and vector distribution. with invasive urban-adapted vectors *Anopheles stephensi* exacerbating control difficulties (WHO, 2021). Imo State is a prime illustration of these dynamics. Along infrastructural gaps, inadequate hygiene, and environmental degradation, urban centers like Owerri, Orlu, and Okigwe are seeing quick population expansion. The coexistence of formal housing and informal settlements next to subpar drainage and waste management systems produces ecological niches favoring malaria vectors and continued transmission. This emphasizes the need of a thorough evaluation of how malaria infection risk varies in these urban settings.

## Methodology

Conducted in Imo State, Southeastern Nigeria, Owerri, Orlu, and Okigwe comprised three geopolitical zones spanning around 5,100 km<sup>2</sup>. One local Chosen intentionally, Government Area (LGA) from each zone—Owerri Municipal, Orlu LGA, and Okigwe LGA—represented the major urban areas of the state. Temperatures in the area range from 22°C to 30°C and average annual rainfall is about 2,000 mm, hence it has a tropical climate. Mostly tropical rainforest vegetation defines a terrain that ranges from low plains to undulating hills. Along infrastructural and environmental obstacles appropriate for malaria transmission, these metropolitan areas are experiencing fast population increase. The Institutional Ethics Committee of Imo State University granted ethical authorization. Permission was also secured from the State Ministry of Health, local health agencies, and appropriate hospital managements. Participation was voluntary with knowledgeable permission, and participant data confidentiality was meticulously preserved.

Between April and July, a cross-sectional hospital-based methodology was used. Patients from Okigwe General Hospital, Ivory Clinic Okigwe, Orlu Teaching Hospital, and Owerri Specialist Hospital, Umuguma, were included in the study. Stratified random sampling was used to draw six hundred volunteers (200 per zone). Using Lemeshow et al.'s formula with  $\alpha = 0.05$  and estimated malaria prevalence  $p = 0.187$ , sample size was computed to produce a minimum size of 200 per location. Venous blood draws and interviewer-administered organized questionnaires gathering demographic, socioeconomic, and behavioral malaria risk markers defined data collection. Trained laboratory personnel gathered 5 ml blood samples into EDTA vials and processed them within 72 hours. Malarial diagnosis included Rapid Diagnostic Tests (CareStart™ Malaria Pf HRP2 Ag RDT) as instructed by manufacturers and microscopic analysis of Giemsa-stained thick and thin blood smears for species identification and parasite density measurement. At 100X oil immersion, thick smears were inspected; parasite density was estimated based on 8,000 leukocytes/ $\mu$ l. Reexamination of all

positive smears and 10% of them served for quality control. negative slides from an independent microscopist who has been blinded. Trained assistants distributed questionnaires in English and, where appropriate, translated them into regional languages. To assess links between urbanization factors and results of malaria infection, STATA software was used for data analysis.

infection frequency varied between migrants and non-migrants across all zones. In Orlu and Okigwe, migrants showed greater infection rates—particularly among women; male non-migrants displayed a higher infection rate in Owerri. Female migrants consistently documented the highest prevalence in most areas, therefore highlighting a strong gendered sensitivity among immigrant groups

**Results**

Table 1

Studies reveal that malaria

**Distribution of Malaria Infection Among Migrants and Non-Migrants by Sex and Zone in Imo State**

Zone	Group	Male Examined	Male Infected (%)	Female Examined	Female Infected (%)	Total Examined	Total Infected (%)
Orlu	Migrants	40	50.0 (20/40)	45	35.6 (16/45)	85	42.4 (36/85)
	Non-Migrants	55	18.2 (10/55)	60	50.0 (30/60)	115	34.8 (40/115)
	<b>Total</b>	95	31.6	105	43.8	200	38.0

<b>Zone</b>	<b>Group</b>	<b>Male Examined</b>	<b>Male Infected (%)</b>	<b>Female Examined</b>	<b>Female Infected (%)</b>	<b>Total Examined</b>	<b>Total Infected (%)</b>
			(30/95)		(46/105)		(76/200)
<b>Okigwe</b>	Migrants	44	22.7 (10/44)	18	44.4 (8/18)	62	32.3 (20/62)
	Non- Migrants	35	28.6 (10/35)	103	31.1 (32/103)	138	29.0 (40/138)
	<b>Total</b>	79	25.3 (20/79)	121	33.1 (40/121)	200	30.0 (60/200)
<b>Owerri</b>	Migrants	50	30.0 (15/50)	50	50.0 (25/50)	100	40.0 (40/100)
	Non- Migrants	30	50.0 (15/30)	70	22.9 (16/70)	100	31.0 (31/100)
	<b>Total</b>	80	37.5 (30/80)	120	34.2 (41/120)	200	35.5 (71/200)

*Note.* Percentages represent number infected per number examined (in parentheses).

Table 2

This shows that among migrants, most especially in Okigwe, parasite densities were usually higher than those of non-migrants— 6,000 parasites per

µL. Migrants showed significantly higher average parasite loads, indicative of greater exposure or impaired immunity, even if ranges overlapped in Orlu and Owerri.

**Malaria Parasite Density Comparison Between Malaria-Positive Migrants and Non-Migrants Across Zones (parasites/µL)**

<b>Zone</b>	<b>Migrants Parasite Density Range</b>	<b>Non-Migrants Parasite Density Range</b>
Orlu	1,568 – 6,416; several >4,000	1,568 – 5,136; moderate-high values
Okigwe	1,680 – 6,560; many >4,000	1,600 – 4,960; mostly moderate
Owerri	1,600 – 5,024; consistently high	1,424 – 4,800; wide range

*Note.* Higher parasite density indicates greater malaria infection intensity.

Table 3

This table documents substantial variability in parasite densities among migrants by their areas of origin and residence, with densities ranging from approximately 1,700 to over 6,500 parasites/µL. Migrants from high transmission areas such as Ehime Mbano, Isiala Mbano, and neighboring states frequently carried higher parasite burdens, suggesting they contribute to maintaining active transmission cycles within urban environments.

**Malaria Parasite Densities Among Migrants by Origin and Place of Residence**

<b>Origin</b>	<b>Place of Residence</b>	<b>Parasite Density (parasites/<math>\mu</math>L)</b>
Ehime Mbano	Eziachi Orlu	2,112 – 6,416
Ihube	Ezinachi Okigwe	4,304 – 5,600
Aboh Mbaise	Okigwe	6,560
Orlu (Umuna)	Owerri	1,728 – 4,800
Abia State	Owerri	2,512

*Note.* Parasite densities vary by migrant origin and residence, indicating differing infection severities.

## **Discussion**

Stratified by their country of origin and residence, malaria parasite density among migrants in Imo State analysis shows major heterogeneity in infection intensity. Emphasizing the varying intensity of infections associated to migratory patterns and originating regions, parasite densities ranged from fairly low (around 1,728 parasites/ $\mu$ L) to urgently high

burdens above 6,560 parasites/ $\mu$ L. Migrants from regions with high malaria transmission, including Ehime Mbano (Eziachi Orlu) and Aboh Mbaise (Okigwe), showed some of the greatest observed parasite loads, usually exceeding 4,000 parasites per  $\mu$ L, migrants from Aboh Mbaise's peak density was 6,560

parasites per  $\mu\text{L}$ . These high parasitemia levels probably result from strong exposure in their birth regions combined with restricted access to quick and successful antimalarial treatment and just partial Development of immunity via recurrent infections (Ebeh-Njoku et al., 2025). This result fits well with earlier research in Imo State, where widespread infrastructural shortcomings, inadequate hygiene, and limited healthcare access exacerbate local malaria transmission (Ebeh-Njoku et al., 2025). Migrants from peri-urban or rural Imo areas (such as Orlu Umuna) and nearby Abia State moving into cities like Owerri likewise displayed great parasitemia ranging from 1,728 to 4,800 parasites/ $\mu\text{L}$ . This active carriage of parasites into urban environments matches epidemiological patterns increasingly documented across Nigeria (Nwaka, 2018). and Sub-Saharan Africa (Bhattarai, Ali, & Koo, 2020). Human population movements from endemic rural to urban areas help to introduce and sustain malaria transmission in Cities that had previously been regarded to be lower-risk because of superior infrastructure and

housing (Makinde, Odeyemi, & Oladele, 2020; Onyiah, Chukwuocha, & Eze, 2021).

The migration-driven beginning Urban centers' parasitological presence supports transmission cycles and impedes elimination attempts.

Observations by Ujuju, Ongom, and Asibor (2020), which highlight the variance in immunity level, fit the wide spectrum of parasite densities seen among migrants. and treatment-seeking activities among migrant populations. High-transmission sources often lack the strong acquired immunity of long-time metropolitan inhabitants, therefore making them more vulnerable to serious malaria and greater parasite loads (Ihenetu, 2016). Migrants with mild parasitemia, on the other hand, can be in early phases of treatment or could have partially developed immunity following exposure reduction after migration. These results have major ramifications for initiatives aimed at eradic malaria in Nigeria's fast growing urbanized areas. Continual influx of migrants from high-transmission hinterlands causes urban centers like Owerri and Okigwe to have ongoing difficulty breaking transmission

cycles, particularly in environments wracked by environmental elements including informal settlements, inadequate drainage, and inadequate housing that promote vector reproduction (Akinwale, for creative solutions including targeted screening and treatment of mobile populations. together with tactical environmental management to lower vector breeding habitats (Mberu, Haregu, Kyobutungi, & Ezeh, 2016; Tapera, Mufuka, & Chimedza, 2017). Furthermore, the results highlight the value of including spatial and mobility data into malaria surveillance systems to detect hotspots accurately and inform targeted treatments (Onyibe, Awolola, & Ferreira, 2019). Given the sharp social and gender inequities seen—especially the greater vulnerability of female migrants—malaria control plans must use gender-sensitive methods that acknowledge and solve women's vulnerability. specific exposure risks and access obstacles to healthcare in Nigerian cities (Ejezie, Mbah, & Eze, 2022).

In synthesis, the data clearly show that internal migration has a major effect on malaria parasite density profiles and transmission dynamics in the urban areas

Odewale, & Ajayi, 2019). Migrants carrying high-density parasitemia increase local transmission hazards, therefore highlighting the need of Imo State. Effective malaria control initiatives must thus include migration-aware approaches that improve diagnosis, therapy, vector management, and community education in source as well as destination areas in order to continuously interrupt transmission cycles.

The results of this study are supported by rising literature on urban malaria in Nigeria and sub-Saharan Africa. Higher malaria prevalence and parasite densities among migrants than among established urban inhabitants have been consistently shown; this is mostly ascribed to weaker immunity and restricted healthcare. Access following relocation (Ebeh-Njoku et al., 2025; Mohammed & Oda, 2024). The seen gender-driven differences in which female immigrants experience disproportionate infection hazards further validate social important modulators of malaria vulnerability are determinants (Ujuju,

Ongom, & Asibor, 2020; Ejezie et al., 2022). The high parasite densities among immigrants in Okigwe Owerri indicates that, in line with comparable urban African settings (Ihenetu, 2016; Onyibe et al., 2019), they may act as reservoirs assisting continuous transmission. Considered together, these results support context-specific integrated treatments directed at migrant groups, better urban infrastructure, healthcare access, and vector control. Such methods fit with more general African malaria control objectives stressing equality and adaptability to fast changes in environment and population that define urbanizing settings (Akinwale et Mberu et al., 2016; al., 2019)

## References

Akinwale, M. S., Odewale, G., & Ajayi, I. (2019). Barriers to healthcare access in Nigerian urban slums: Implications for malaria intervention. *Journal of Urban Health*, 96(3), 420–430. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11524-019-00363-x>

Agegnehu, G. G., Haile, D. G., & Kassahun, G. G. (2018). Impact of urbanization on malaria transmission in African cities.

*Malaria Journal*, 17(1), 252.

<https://doi.org/10.1186/s12936-018-2408-7>

Awolola, T. S., Oyewole, I. O., Obansa, J. B., & Abilio, A. (2002). Urbanization and the ecology of malaria vectors in Lagos, Nigeria. *Journal of Vector Borne Diseases*, 39(2), 183–186.

Bhattarai, A., Ali, A., & Koo, J. (2020). Urbanization and its impact on malaria epidemiology in Africa: A review. *Parasites & Vectors*, 13(1), 248.

<https://doi.org/10.1186/s13071-020-04045-1>

Coluzzi, M., Sabatini, A., Petrarca, V., & Di Deco, M. A. (1979). Chromosomal differentiation and adaptation to human environments in the *Anopheles gambiae* complex. *Transactions of the Royal Society of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene*, 73(5), 483–497.

Doumbe-Belisse, P., Estevez, Y., & Tchoumi, S. (2021). Impact of unplanned urbanization on malaria transmission in sub-Saharan Africa. *Malaria Journal*, 20(1), 55. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12936-021-03606-9>

Ebeh-Njoku, A. U., Uzowuru, D. I., Chukwueke, C. V., Nwankudu, C. J., & Apata, C. O. (2025). Epidemiology and control practices of malaria in Isiala Mbano

LGA of Imo State. *Environmental Biology*, Faculty of Biological Science, Imo State University.

Ejezie, G. C., Mbah, A. N., & Eze, U. S. (2022). Gender disparities in malaria exposure and prevention in Nigerian urban slums. *Malaria Research and Treatment*, 2022, Article 8873155. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2022/8873155>

Hay, S. I., Smith, D. L., & Snow, R. W. (2005). Measuring malaria endemicity from intense to interrupted transmission. *The Lancet Infectious Diseases*, 5(3), 174–182.

Ihenetu, F. C. (2016). In-vitro studies of the prevalence of malaria parasite in Imo State, Nigeria. *Journal of Clinical & Cellular Immunology*, 7(256).

Jones, C. M., Craig, M., & Shutes, E. (2012). Adaptive mechanisms of *Anopheles arabiensis* to urban environments in malaria endemic areas. *Parasites & Vectors*, 5, 13. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1756-3305-5-13>

Makinde, O. A., Odeyemi, A. K., & Oladele, O. (2020). Addressing urban malaria in Nigeria: Strategy and implementation challenges. *African Journal of Public Health*, 14(4), 201–208.

Mberu, B. U., Haregu, T. N., Kyobutungi, C., & Ezeh, A. C. (2016). Health and health-related indicators in slum, rural, and urban communities: A comparative analysis. *Global Health Action*, 9(1), 33163. <https://doi.org/10.3402/gha.v9.33163>

Mohammed, A., & Oda, O. (2024). Prevalence of malaria among patients attending Federal Medical Centre, Owerri, Imo State, Nigeria. *Sahel Journal of Life Sciences*, 2(2), 129–132. <https://doi.org/10.33003/sajols-2024-0202-17>

Nwaka, S. (2018). Socioeconomic determinants of malaria control in Nigeria: Insights and trends. *African Health Sciences*, 18(1), 72–79.

Onyiah, I. I., Chukwuocha, U. M., & Eze, I. C. (2021). Urban malaria and environmental determinants: A study in Enugu, Nigeria. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 18(5), 2625.

Onyibe, D., Awolola, T. S., & Ferreira, C. (2019). Malaria vectors in urban forest areas of Nigeria: Spread of *Anopheles arabiensis*. *African Journal of Medicine and Medical Sciences*, 30(1-2), 71–75.

Tapera, O., Mufuka, J., & Chimedza, L.  
(2017). Urban malaria transmission  
dynamics in sub-Saharan Africa:  
Implications for control strategies. *Malaria  
Journal*, 16, 331.

Ujuju, C. A., Ongom, M., & Asibor, C.  
(2020). Gender, access to healthcare, and  
malaria outcomes in Nigeria. *International  
Journal of Women's Health*, 12, 451–460.

World Health Organization (WHO). (2021).  
World malaria report 2021. Geneva,  
Switzerland.