Artificial Intelligence vis a vis the Rights of the Female Gender: The Legal Perspective*

Abstract

Artificial Intelligence (AI) is transforming societies, influencing social, economic, and legal domains globally. However, its intersection with gender rights, particularly those of women, has raised critical concerns. This paper examines AI's implications on female gender rights through a legal lens. While AI holds promise for advancing gender equity, such as through applications in healthcare and education, it simultaneously perpetuates gender biases entrenched in training data and algorithms. Instances of AI-enabled discrimination in hiring, predictive policing, and content moderation illustrate the risk of undermining women's rights. These challenges necessitate robust legal frameworks to ensure AI technologies uphold gender equality principles. This study evaluates existing international human rights instruments, such as CEDAW, and their applicability to AI governance. It analyzes domestic legislative responses, examining how nations address algorithmic bias and gender discrimination. Furthermore, it explores the role of legal principles like fairness, accountability, and transparency in mitigating AI's adverse effects on women. The paper also emphasizes the need for intersectionality in AI regulation, considering diverse challenges faced by women based on race, ethnicity, and socio-economic status. Ultimately, it advocates for a proactive, rights-based legal approach to AI governance, promoting gender equity in both development and deployment phases.

1.0 Introduction

Artificial Intelligence (AI) has emerged as a transformative force in modern society, fundamentally altering how individuals interact with technology and influencing critical sectors such as healthcare, education, finance, and governance.[1] By leveraging vast amounts of data, AI systems can identify patterns, make predictions, and automate processes with unprecedented speed and accuracy. This transformative potential, however, is accompanied by significant risks, particularly in the context of gender equity. While AI promises to bridge gaps in access to resources and opportunities, its applications often reflect and amplify pre-existing societal biases, disproportionately impacting the rights of women.[2] This intersection between AI and the rights of the female gender necessitates a critical examination from a legal perspective to ensure that technological advancements align with the principles of justice and equality. The significance of AI in modern society is both far-reaching and multifaceted. It has revolutionized industries by enhancing efficiency, reducing human error, and providing innovative solutions to complex problems. For example, AI-driven diagnostics in healthcare have improved early detection of diseases, while personalized learning platforms in education have made quality learning accessible to marginalized communities.[3] However, AI systems are only as objective as the data and algorithms upon which they are built. When these inputs reflect gendered stereotypes, the resulting AI applications can perpetuate and institutionalize discrimination. A widely cited instance is the use of AI in recruitment, where algorithms

* **Agent Benjamin Ihua-Maduenyi**, Doctoral Candidate, Faculty of Law, Chukwuemeka Odumegwu Ojukwu University, Igbariam Campus.

* **Professor Chi. Johnny Okongwu**, PhD, HoD, International Law and Jurisprudence, Chukwuemeka Odumegwu Ojukwu University, Igbariam, email: lawleviokongwu@gmail.com. phone: 08034850007

[1] Stuart Russell and Peter Norvig, *Artificial Intelligence: A Modern Approach* (4th edn, Pearson 2021).

[2] Joy Buolamwini and Timnit Gebru, "Gender Shades: Intersectional Accuracy Disparities in Commercial Gender Classification" (2018) 81 Proceedings of Machine Learning Research 77, 78.

[3] Topol EJ, "High-Performance Medicine: The Convergence of Human and Artificial Intelligence" (2019) 25 Nature Medicine 44, 47.

trained on historical hiring data favored male candidates, thus marginalizing qualified women.[4] From a legal standpoint, gender rights have long been recognized as a cornerstone of human rights. International frameworks such as the Universal Declaration of Human Rights (UDHR) and the Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination Against Women (CEDAW) mandate the elimination of gender-based discrimination.[5] At the national level, many jurisdictions have enacted anti-discrimination laws, affirmative action policies, and gender-equality mandates.[6] However, the advent of AI introduces novel challenges to these legal frameworks, particularly in ensuring that automated systems uphold the principles of fairness, accountability, and transparency. For instance, when AI-driven credit scoring systems disproportionately deny loans to women due to biased data, the question arises as to how existing anti-discrimination laws can address such algorithmic biases.[7] Moreover, the legal discourse surrounding AI and gender rights must consider the broader implications of intersectionality. Women's experiences with discrimination are often compounded by other factors such as race, ethnicity, disability, and socio-economic status.[8] For instance, AI systems used in predictive policing have been criticized for targeting marginalized communities, further entrenching systemic inequities.[9] This underscores the need for a nuanced legal approach that addresses the intersectional nature of discrimination and ensures that AI technologies are inclusive and equitable. The legal challenges posed by AI's impact on gender rights are compounded by the opacity and complexity of many AI systems. Unlike traditional decision-making processes, AI operates through algorithms that are often opaque and difficult to interpret, a phenomenon known as the "black box" problem.[10] This lack of transparency raises significant concerns about accountability, particularly when AI systems produce outcomes that disadvantage women. For example, if an AI system used in hiring systematically excludes women from consideration, identifying the root cause of this bias—whether it lies in the training data, the algorithm, or both—is often a formidable task.[11] Ensuring legal recourse for such discrimination requires robust mechanisms for auditing and explaining AI systems, as well as legal standards that mandate accountability. In light of these challenges, this paper explores the intersection of artificial intelligence and the rights of the female gender from a legal perspective. It begins by examining the ways in which AI systems perpetuate gender bias and discrimination, drawing on examples from various sectors. It then evaluates the adequacy of existing legal frameworks, both international and domestic, in addressing these issues. Key legal principles such as fairness, accountability, and transparency are analyzed in the context of AI governance, with particular emphasis on their implications

4 Jeffrey Dastin, "Amazon Scraps Secret AI Recruiting Tool That Showed Bias Against Women" (Reuters, 10 October 2018) https://www.reuters.com/article/us-amazon-com-jobs-automation-insight-idUSKCN1MK08G accessed 15 January 2025.

5 United Nations General Assembly, 'Universal Declaration of Human Rights' (adopted 10 December 1948) UNGA Res 217 A (III); UN General Assembly, 'Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination Against Women' (adopted 18 December 1979, entered into force 3 September 1981) 1249 UNTS 13 (CEDAW).

6 See, for example, The Equality Act 2010 (UK).

7 Lilian Edwards and Michael Veale, "Slave to the Algorithm? Why a 'Right to an Explanation' Is Probably Not the Remedy You Are Looking For" (2017) 16 Duke Law & Technology Review 18, 20.

8 Kimberlé Crenshaw, "Demarginalizing the Intersection of Race and Sex: A Black Feminist Critique of Antidiscrimination Doctrine, Feminist Theory and Antiracist Politics" (1989) 140 U Chicago Legal F 139.

9 Andrew Guthrie Ferguson, The Rise of Big Data Policing: Surveillance, Race, and the Future of Law Enforcement (NYU Press 2017).

10 Frank Pasquale, The Black Box Society: The Secret Algorithms That Control Money and Information (Harvard University Press 2015).

11 Sandra Wachter, Brent Mittelstadt, and Chris Russell, "Why Fairness Cannot Be Automated: Bridging the Gap Between EU Non-Discrimination Law and AI" (2021) 41 Computer Law & Security Review 105567.

for gender equity. The paper also highlights the importance of adopting an intersectional approach to AI regulation, recognizing the diverse experiences of women across different identities and contexts. Finally, it offers recommendations for developing a rights-based legal framework for AI governance that prioritizes gender equity and inclusivity. Through this analysis, the paper aims to contribute to the ongoing discourse on the ethical and legal dimensions of AI, emphasizing the need for proactive measures to ensure that technological advancements do not come at the expense of women's rights. As AI continues to shape the future of society, it is imperative that its development and deployment are guided by principles of justice, equality, and human rights.

2.0 AI and Gender Discrimination

Artificial Intelligence (AI), despite its potential to drive progress, has been implicated in perpetuating and even exacerbating gender discrimination. This phenomenon occurs when AI systems, trained on biased data or designed with insufficient safeguards, replicate and institutionalize existing societal inequities. This section explores specific examples of AI perpetuating gender bias and provides an analysis of the systemic challenges that contribute to such outcomes.

2.1. Examples of AI Perpetuating Gender Bias

One of the most notable examples of AI-enabled gender bias occurred in recruitment processes. Amazon's experimental AI hiring tool, developed to automate candidate evaluation, was found to systematically disadvantage female applicants. The algorithm, trained on historical hiring data predominantly favoring male candidates, penalized resumes containing terms associated with women, such as "women's chess club."[12][12] This case underscores how reliance on biased data can lead AI systems to reinforce discriminatory patterns, even when such outcomes are unintended. Another example is facial recognition technology, which has demonstrated significant accuracy disparities across gender and racial lines. A study by Joy Buolamwini and Timnit Gebru revealed that commercial facial recognition systems performed significantly worse when identifying women, particularly women of color, compared to their male counterparts.[13] These disparities not only reflect biases in the datasets used to train these systems but also have real-world implications, such as misidentification and exclusion. AI-powered credit scoring systems have also been criticized for gender bias. For instance, Apple's credit card algorithm faced scrutiny when it was reported that women were consistently assigned lower credit limits than men, even when they shared similar financial profiles.[14] This example highlights how opaque algorithms can produce discriminatory outcomes, raising questions about accountability and fairness in AI-driven financial services.

2.2. Systemic Challenges

The systemic challenges contributing to AI-enabled gender bias are multifaceted and deeply rooted in the design and deployment of AI systems. These challenges include biased training data, lack of diversity in AI development teams, and insufficient regulatory oversight.

---

[12] Jeffrey Dastin, "Amazon Scraps Secret AI Recruiting Tool That Showed Bias Against Women" (Reuters, 10 October 2018) https://www.reuters.com/article/us-amazon-com-jobs-automation-insight-idUSKCN1MK08G accessed 15 January 2025.

[13] Joy Buolamwini and Timnit Gebru, "Gender Shades: Intersectional Accuracy Disparities in Commercial Gender Classification" (2018) 81 Proceedings of Machine Learning Research 77, 78.

[14] Natasha Singer, "Apple Card Investigated After Gender Discrimination Complaints" *The New York Times* (12 November 2019) https://www.nytimes.com/2019/11/12/business/apple-card-discrimination.html accessed 15 January 2025.

1. Biased Training Data AI systems learn from historical data, which often reflects societal biases and inequities. If these biases are not identified and mitigated during the training process, they can become embedded in the algorithm. For instance, datasets used in hiring algorithms may over represent male-dominated professions, leading to the exclusion of qualified female candidates.[15] Similarly, datasets for facial recognition systems may lack diversity, resulting in poorer performance for underrepresented groups.

2. Lack of Diversity in AI Development Teams The underrepresentation of women and minorities in AI development teams exacerbates the risk of bias in AI systems. Diverse teams are more likely to identify and address potential biases during the design and testing phases. However, the tech industry's persistent gender gap—with women comprising only 26% of the workforce in data and AI roles—limits the incorporation of diverse perspectives.[16]

3. Opacity and Accountability .Many AI systems operate as "black boxes," where the decision-making process is opaque and difficult to interpret. This lack of transparency makes it challenging to identify the root causes of biased outcomes and hold developers accountable. For instance, when Apple's credit card algorithm was found to discriminate against women, the company faced public backlash but provided little explanation for the disparity.[17]

4. Regulatory Gaps .Existing legal frameworks often fail to adequately address the unique challenges posed by AI. While anti-discrimination laws prohibit gender bias, they may not account for the complexities of algorithmic decision-making. For example, proving discrimination in AI systems requires access to proprietary algorithms and training data, which are often protected as trade secrets.[18]

2.3. Addressing the Challenges

Addressing AI-enabled gender bias requires a multifaceted approach that includes:

1. Bias Auditing: Regular audits of AI systems to identify and mitigate biases in training data and algorithms.

2. Diverse Representation: Promoting diversity in AI development teams to ensure that different perspectives are considered.

3. Transparency and Explainability: Mandating transparency in AI systems to enable users and regulators to understand how decisions are made.

3. Regulatory Oversight: Strengthening legal frameworks to address algorithmic bias and ensure accountability for discriminatory outcomes.

By addressing these systemic challenges, it is possible to harness AI's potential while safeguarding against its risks, ensuring that technological progress benefits all members of society equitably

15 Kate Crawford, Atlas of AI: Power, Politics, and the Planetary Costs of Artificial Intelligence (Yale University Press 2021).

16 World Economic Forum, "Global Gender Gap Report 2023" (WEF, 2023) https://www.weforum.org/reports/global-gender-gap-report-2023 accessed 15 January 2025.

17 Natasha Singer, "Apple Card Investigated After Gender Discrimination Complaints" The New York Times (12 November 2019).

18 Lilian Edwards and Michael Veale, "Slave to the Algorithm? Why a 'Right to an Explanation' Is Probably Not the Remedy You Are Looking For" (2017) 16 Duke Law & Technology Review

3.0. **Legal Frameworks of AI: International and Domestic Perspectives on Gender Equity**

The rapid proliferation of Artificial Intelligence (AI) technologies has spurred global debates on the need for robust legal frameworks to regulate their development and deployment. As AI increasingly impacts various aspects of life, its influence on gender equity has garnered significant attention. This section explores the international treaties and conventions that address AI governance and gender equity, along with domestic laws that aim to mitigate AI-enabled discrimination against women. It also evaluates the adequacy of these legal instruments in promoting fairness, accountability, and inclusivity in AI systems.

3.1. International Treaties and Conventions

1. Universal Declaration of Human Rights (UDHR**).** The UDHR, adopted in 1948, serves as a foundational framework for human rights globally. Article 1 of the UDHR emphasizes the equality and dignity of all human beings, while Article 7 guarantees protection against discrimination. These principles are relevant in regulating AI systems to ensure that they do not perpetuate gender biases. For instance, biased algorithms that disadvantage women in employment or education can be challenged under the UDHR's mandate for equality.[19]

2. Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination Against Women (CEDAW) Adopted by the United Nations in 1979, CEDAW is a landmark treaty aimed at eliminating gender discrimination. Articles 2 and 5 of CEDAW call for states to take appropriate measures to eliminate discriminatory practices and address gender stereotypes.[20] AI technologies that reinforce stereotypes—such as virtual assistants designed with female voices for subservient roles—contravene these provisions, necessitating regulatory intervention.

3. International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (ICCPR) The ICCPR, adopted in 1966, upholds the principles of equality and non-discrimination. Article 26 of the ICCPR explicitly prohibits discrimination based on sex. This provision is crucial for addressing biases in AI applications such as credit scoring or hiring algorithms that disproportionately affect women.[21]

4. Beijing Declaration and Platform for Action (1995) The Beijing Declaration emphasizes gender equality in all spheres, including technology. It underscores the importance of women's participation in the development and use of technology, aligning with calls for increased diversity in AI development teams.[22]

5. UNESCO's Recommendation on the Ethics of Artificial Intelligence (2021). This recent framework outlines ethical principles for AI, including the promotion of human rights and gender equality. It calls for member states to ensure that AI systems do not perpetuate discrimination and emphasizes transparency, accountability, and inclusivity.[23]

3.2. Domestic Laws Addressing AI and Gender

---

[19]Universal Declaration of Human Rights, UNGA Res 217 A(III) (10 December 1948).

[20]Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination Against Women (adopted 18 December 1979, entered into force 3 September 1981) 1249 UNTS 13.

21International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (adopted 16 December 1966, entered into force 23 March 1976) 999 UNTS 171.

22 Beijing Declaration and Platform for Action, adopted at the Fourth World Conference on Women, 15 September 1995.

23 UNESCO, "Recommendation on the Ethics of Artificial Intelligence" (2021).

1. European Union

a. General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR): The GDPR's principles of transparency and accountability have implications for AI systems. Article 22 prohibits automated decision-making with significant effects, including gender-based discrimination, unless explicit consent is obtained or safeguards are in place.[24]

b. AI Act: The EU's proposed AI Act categorizes AI systems into risk levels, with stringent requirements for high-risk systems. Gender bias in recruitment or credit scoring algorithms falls under this category, necessitating rigorous oversight.[25]

2. United States

a. Equal Credit Opportunity Act (ECOA): This law prohibits credit discrimination based on sex. AI-driven credit scoring systems that disadvantage women, as seen in the Apple Card controversy, fall under the purview of the ECOA.[26]

b. Algorithmic Accountability Act: Proposed legislation aiming to mandate impact assessments for AI systems, including evaluations for bias and discrimination, holds potential for addressing gender inequities.[27]

3. India

a. Constitutional Protections: Articles 14 and 15 of the Indian Constitution guarantee equality and prohibit discrimination based on sex. These provisions can be invoked against biased AI systems that infringe on women's rights.[28]

b. Personal Data Protection Bill: While yet to be enacted, this bill emphasizes transparency and accountability in data processing, which are critical for mitigating gender bias in AI.[29]

4. Canada

a. Charter of Rights and Freedoms: Section 15 guarantees equality rights, which extend to protecting individuals from algorithmic discrimination.[30]

b. Directive on Automated Decision-Making: This directive mandates transparency and bias mitigation in federal government–deployed AI systems.[31]

5. Australia

a. Sex Discrimination Act (1984**)**: Prohibits discrimination based on sex in employment, education, and other areas. This act is applicable to AI systems producing discriminatory outcomes in these sectors.[32]

b. AI Ethics Framework: A voluntary framework emphasizing fairness and non-discrimination in AI design and deployment.[33]

3.3. Analysis and Challenges

While international treaties and domestic laws provide a foundation for addressing AI-enabled gender discrimination, several challenges hinder their effectiveness:

---

24 Regulation (EU) 2016/679 (General Data Protection Regulation)

25 Proposal for a Regulation of the European Parliament and of the Council Laying Down Harmonised Rules on Artificial Intelligence (Artificial Intelligence Act) COM(2021) 206 final.

26 Equal Credit Opportunity Act 15 USC § 1691 et seq.

27 Algorithmic Accountability Act of 2022, HR 6580, 117th Congress (2021-2022).

28 Constitution of India, arts 14-15.

29 Personal Data Protection Bill, 2019 (India).

30 Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms, s 15.

31 Government of Canada, "Directive on Automated Decision-Making" (2019).

32 Sex Discrimination Act 1984 (Cth).

33 Australian Government, "AI Ethics Framework" (2019).

3.3.1. Lack of Enforcement Mechanisms International treaties such as CEDAW rely on state parties for implementation, leading to inconsistencies in enforcement. Similarly, domestic laws often lack provisions specific to algorithmic discrimination, resulting in regulatory gaps.[34]

3.3.2. Opacity of AI Systems. The "black box" nature of AI systems complicates the identification and redressal of bias. Legal frameworks must mandate explainability and accessibility to ensure accountability.[35]

3.3.3. Cross-Border Nature of AI .AI systems often operate across jurisdictions, posing challenges for enforcement under domestic laws. International cooperation and harmonization of standards are essential for effective regulation.[36]

3.3.4. Intersectionality. Existing legal frameworks often fail to address the intersectional nature of discrimination. Women from marginalized communities face compounded biases that require nuanced legal responses.[37]

Finally, to strengthen the legal frameworks addressing AI and gender, the following measures are proposed:

1. Mandatory Bias Audits: Require regular audits of AI systems to identify and mitigate biases in training data and algorithms.

2. Global Standards Develop binding international standards for AI governance that prioritize gender equity and inclusivity.

3. Intersectional Approach Incorporate intersectional analysis into legal frameworks to address the compounded biases faced by marginalized groups.

4. Transparency and Accountability Mandate transparency in AI systems and establish clear accountability mechanisms for discriminatory outcomes.

5. Capacity Building Invest in training programs for regulators, developers, and legal practitioners to enhance their understanding of AI's implications for gender equity.

The intersection of AI and gender rights presents complex challenges that require robust legal frameworks at both international and domestic levels. While existing treaties and laws provide a foundation, significant gaps remain in addressing the unique challenges posed by AI. By adopting proactive measures and fostering international cooperation, it is possible to harness the potential of AI while safeguarding against its risks, ensuring that technological progress promotes equality and inclusivity for all.

4.1. Key Legal Principles of Artificial Intelligence

Artificial Intelligence (AI) is increasingly shaping various aspects of human life, raising critical legal considerations. The legal principles governing AI focus on ethics, accountability, transparency, and fundamental human rights.

4.1.1 Transparency and Explainability

Transparency requires AI systems to be understandable and interpretable by users and regulators. The European Union's General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) enforces the "right to explanation," ensuring that individuals can request clarifications on AI-driven decisions.[38]

---

[34] Edwards and Veale, "Slave to the Algorithm? Why a 'Right to an Explanation' Is Probably Not the Remedy You Are Looking For" (2017) 16 Duke L & Tech Rev 18.

[35] Sandra Wachter, Brent Mittelstadt, and Chris Russell, "Why Fairness Cannot Be Automated: Bridging the Gap Between EU Non-Discrimination Law and AI" (2021) 41 Computer Law & Security Review 105567.

[36] Lilian Edwards, "Regulating AI in a Global Context" (2022) 45 Frontiers in Artificial Intelligence 1.

[37] Kimberlé Crenshaw, "Mapping the Margins: Intersectionality, Identity Politics, and Violence Against Women of Color" (1991) 43 Stanford Law Review 1241.

[38]] General Data Protection Regulation (EU) 2016/679, art 22.

4.1.2. Accountability and Liability

AI legal frameworks emphasize accountability, ensuring that developers, users, or entities deploying AI systems can be held liable for their actions. The EU's AI Act proposes a risk-based approach to AI governance, holding high-risk AI systems to stricter accountability standards[39]

4.1.3. Fairness and Non-discrimination

AI systems must avoid bias and discrimination, particularly against marginalized groups. Legal principles require AI algorithms to be tested for biases, as mandated by international human rights laws and the European Convention on Human Rights (ECHR).[40]

4.1.4. Data Protection and Privacy

AI relies heavily on data, necessitating strong privacy protections. The GDPR sets out principles such as data minimization, lawful processing, and user consent to safeguard personal data from AI misuse[41]

4.1.5. Safety and Security

Legal frameworks mandate AI systems to be safe and resilient against cybersecurity threats. The IEEE and ISO standards provide technical guidelines to enhance AI security.[42]

4.1.6. Intellectual Property and AI

AI-generated works raise significant questions regarding intellectual property rights. The World Intellectual Property Organization (WIPO) has explored policies on AI-related copyrights and patents.[43]

4.1.7. Ethical Considerations and Human Rights

AI legal principles align with ethical standards that respect human dignity, autonomy, and fundamental freedoms, as enshrined in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights (UDHR).[44]

4.2. **The Rights of the Female Gender**

The legal protection of women's rights is embedded in international, regional, and domestic frameworks. Gender equality principles aim to eliminate discrimination and ensure full participation of women in all spheres of life.

4.2.1. The Right Against Discrimination

The Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination Against Women (CEDAW) establishes the foundational legal framework for gender equality, obliging states to eliminate discrimination against women.[45]

4.2.2. Right to Education

The right to education for women is protected under international law, including the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (ICESCR). Governments must ensure equal access to quality education for girls.[46]

4.2.3. Economic Rights and Workplace Equality

Women's right to equal pay and workplace protection is recognized under the Equal Pay Act and international labor laws, including the International Labour Organization (ILO) conventions.[47]

---

[39] European Commission, 'Proposal for a Regulation on Artificial Intelligence' (2021) COM(2021) 206 final.
[40]] European Convention on Human Rights (ECHR) 1950, art 14.
[41] General Data Protection Regulation (EU) 2016/679, arts 5–6.
[42]] IEEE Ethically Aligned Design (2019), ISO/IEC JTC 1/SC 42 Artificial Intelligence Standards.
[43] WIPO, 'Issues Paper on Intellectual Property Policy and Artificial Intelligence' (2020). [
[44]Universal Declaration of Human Rights (UDHR) 1948, art 1.
[45] C.P. Iloka, 'Advancing the rights of women beyond their challenges in the Nigerian political scene: A focus on the affirmative action' *Chukwuemeka Odumegwu Ojukwu University Law Journal*, Vol. 6 Issue 1, (2021).
[46] International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (ICESCR) 1966, art 13.

4.2.4. Reproductive Rights and Healthcare Access

Women have the right to make decisions regarding their reproductive health. The World Health Organization (WHO) and CEDAW stress the importance of access to healthcare, including maternal care and contraception.[48]

4.2.5. Protection Against Gender-Based Violence

The Istanbul Convention and various national laws criminalize domestic violence, sexual harassment, and human trafficking, ensuring that women have legal recourse against gender-based violence.[49]

4.2.6. Political Participation and Leadership

The right of women to participate in politics is affirmed under the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (ICCPR), ensuring their representation in governance and decision-making.[50]

4.2.7. Property and Inheritance Rights

Many legal systems have historically denied women equal property rights. International human rights instruments advocate for women's right to own, inherit, and manage property independently.[51]

4.2.8. Marriage and Family Rights

Legal frameworks, including CEDAW, advocate for women's rights within marriage, prohibiting forced marriages and ensuring equal rights in divorce and custody matters.[52] The legal principles surrounding AI focus on transparency, accountability, fairness, and fundamental rights, ensuring ethical AI development. Similarly, women's rights frameworks aim to eliminate discrimination and promote equality across various spheres of life. Strengthening these legal protections is crucial for fostering justice and equity in both technological and social landscapes.

5.0. Intersectionality in AI Regulation and challenges faced by women across diverse identities.

Intersectionality in AI regulation examines how multiple overlapping social identities—such as gender, race, disability, and socioeconomic status—interact with legal frameworks governing AI. Women across diverse identities experience unique challenges that are often exacerbated by AI-driven technologies, necessitating a legal approach that addresses these multifaceted concerns.

5.1. Intersectionality in AI Regulation

5.1.1. Bias and Discrimination in AI Systems

AI systems have been found to perpetuate biases against women, particularly those from marginalized communities. Algorithmic decision-making in hiring, lending, and law enforcement often reflects historical discrimination.[53] The European Union's AI Act proposes

---

[47]Equal Pay Act 1970 (UK), International Labour Organization Convention No. 100.

[48]CEDAW 1979, art 12; WHO, 'Reproductive Health Strategy' (2004).

[49] Council of Europe Convention on Preventing and Combating Violence Against Women and Domestic Violence (Istanbul Convention) 2011.

[50]C.P. Iloka, 'Hurdles to women political participation and advancement in Nigeria' *Law and Social Justice Review* Vol. 2 Issue 1 (2021) page 23.

[51] UDHR 1948, art 17; CEDAW 1979, art 16.

[52] See (n-48) arts 15-16

[53] Buolamwini J and Gebru T, 'Gender Shades: Intersectional Accuracy Disparities in Commercial Gender Classification' (2018) 81 Proceedings of Machine Learning Research.

stricter oversight of high-risk AI applications to mitigate bias, yet critics argue that these measures do not adequately address intersectional discrimination.[54]

5.1.2. Data Gaps and Representation Issues

Many AI models are trained on datasets that lack diverse representation, leading to biased outcomes. Women of color, transgender women, and disabled women are often excluded from training datasets, leading to inaccurate and discriminatory AI-driven conclusions.[55] Legal scholars advocate for stronger data auditing measures to enhance inclusivity in AI training models.[56]

5.1.3. Privacy Concerns and Surveillance

Facial recognition technologies and predictive policing disproportionately target women from marginalized communities. Black women, for instance, face higher rates of misidentification by facial recognition AI.[57] The General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) provides some protections, but enforcement remains inconsistent across jurisdictions.[58]

5.1.4. Accountability and Transparency in AI Governance

AI accountability mechanisms often fail to consider intersectional impacts. Calls for explainable AI (XAI) highlight the need for transparent decision-making in automated systems to prevent unjust outcomes.[59] The United Nations and the European Commission have emphasized the importance of AI governance that integrates human rights considerations.[60]

5.2. Challenges Faced by Women Across Diverse Identities

5.2.1. Workplace Discrimination and Economic Disparities

Women, particularly those from marginalized identities, face workplace discrimination exacerbated by AI-driven hiring tools. Studies show that AI-based recruitment tools have replicated gender biases, favoring male candidates over women in technical roles.[61] Legislative responses, such as the AI hiring laws in the U.S., attempt to regulate these practices but remain insufficiently intersectional.[62]

5.2.2. Healthcare Disparities and Algorithmic Bias

AI is increasingly used in healthcare, yet medical algorithms often fail to consider gender-specific symptoms, leading to misdiagnosis for women, especially those of non-white ethnicities.[63] The U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) has called for more inclusive AI-based medical testing and diagnostics.[64]

5.2.3. Gender-based Violence and AI-facilitated Harassment

---

[54] European Commission, 'Proposal for a Regulation on Artificial Intelligence' (2021) COM(2021) 206 final.
[55]Noble SU, Algorithms of Oppression: How Search Engines Reinforce Racism (NYU Press 2018).
[56] Wachter S, Mittelstadt B and Floridi L, 'Why a Right to Explanation of Automated Decision-Making Does Not Exist in the General Data Protection Regulation' (2017) 7 International Data Privacy Law 76.
[57] See (n-53)
[58] General Data Protection Regulation (EU) 2016/679, arts 5–7.
[59] European Commission, 'Ethics Guidelines for Trustworthy AI' (2019).
[60]United Nations Human Rights Council, 'The Right to Privacy in the Digital Age' (2018) A/HRC/39/29.
[61]] Raghavan M and others, 'Mitigating Bias in Algorithmic Hiring' (2020) 5 Conference on Fairness, Accountability, and Transparency 469.
[62] New York City Local Law No. 144 of 2021.
[63] Obermeyer Z and others, 'Dissecting Racial Bias in an Algorithm Used to Manage the Health of Populations' (2019) 366 Science 447.
[64] US Food and Drug Administration, 'Artificial Intelligence/Machine Learning-Based Software as a Medical Device' (2021).

Women are disproportionately targeted by AI-driven cyber harassment, including deep fake pornography and online abuse facilitated by automated bots.[65] Current legal frameworks, such as the Istanbul Convention, address gender-based violence but lack provisions specific to AI-facilitated harm.[66]

5.2.4. Digital Exclusion and Access to Technology

Women in low-income and rural areas experience digital exclusion, limiting their participation in AI governance and technological advancements.[67][15] The United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) highlight the need for digital literacy programs to bridge this gap.[68]

5.3. Legal Recommendations for an Intersectional AI Framework

5.3.1. Inclusive AI Development and Diversity in Tech

Governments and corporations must implement policies that ensure diverse representation in AI development teams. The inclusion of women from various backgrounds in AI policymaking can help address systemic biases.[69]

5.3.2. Strengthening Regulatory Mechanisms

Laws such as the AI Act and GDPR should incorporate stronger intersectional analyses to ensure equitable AI governance. This includes mandating impact assessments that specifically evaluate AI's effects on diverse groups of women.[70]

5.3.3. Enhancing Legal Protections Against AI-driven Discrimination

Human rights laws must be expanded to explicitly include protections against algorithmic discrimination. International bodies such as the United Nations should establish AI ethics guidelines that account for intersectionality.[71]

5.3.4. Transparency and Accountability in AI

Governments must enforce transparency requirements for AI companies, ensuring explainability in AI decision-making. Regulatory agencies should require companies to disclose biases in their AI models and take remedial actions.[72] Addressing intersectionality in AI regulation requires comprehensive legal frameworks that consider the diverse challenges faced by women across different identities. Strengthening AI governance through inclusive policymaking, robust legal protections, and transparent AI accountability mechanisms is crucial in mitigating algorithmic bias and ensuring fair technological advancements.

6.0 Recommendation

Gender-sensitive AI policies are essential to mitigating discrimination and bias in artificial intelligence. A legal and institutional framework that considers the diverse experiences of women across different identities ensures fairness, inclusivity, and accountability in AI governance. This paper explores recommendations for developing gender-sensitive AI policies and strengthening legal mechanisms to address intersectional challenges.

6.1. Key Recommendations for Developing Gender-Sensitive AI Policies

---

[65] Citron DK, *Hate Crimes in Cyberspace* (Harvard University Press 2014).

[66] Council of Europe Convention on Preventing and Combating Violence Against Women and Domestic Violence (Istanbul Convention) 2011.

[67]United Nations Development Programme, 'Gender Digital Divide' (2020).

[68] United Nations, 'Sustainable Development Goal 5: Achieve Gender Equality and Empower All Women and Girls' (2015).

[69] West SM, Whittaker M and Crawford K, 'Discriminating Systems: Gender, Race, and Power in AI' (AI Now Institute 2019).

[70] See (n-54)

[71] See(n-60)

[72]See (n-56)

6.1.1 Incorporating Gender Perspectives in AI Design

AI systems must be designed with an explicit focus on gender considerations. Algorithmic developers should incorporate gender-sensitive frameworks that prevent discrimination against women and marginalized communities.[73] This includes performing gender impact assessments during AI development to identify and mitigate potential biases.[74]

6.1.2. Ensuring Diverse and Inclusive AI Training Data

Gender bias in AI often stems from non-representative datasets that reinforce societal inequalities. AI training datasets should include diverse gender identities and socioeconomic backgrounds to ensure equitable outcomes.[75] Regulatory bodies should require AI developers to conduct bias audits and publish transparency reports on dataset compositions.[76]

6.1.3. Implementing Ethical AI Development Standards

Ethical AI frameworks must be aligned with gender equality principles, as outlined in international human rights treaties such as CEDAW.[77] Governments should mandate compliance with ethical guidelines that safeguard against gender discrimination in AI applications.[78]

6.1.4. Strengthening Transparency and Accountability Mechanisms

AI systems must be explainable and accountable to prevent gender-biased decision-making. Governments should enforce transparency measures, such as requiring companies to disclose AI decision-making processes and establishing avenues for affected individuals to challenge unfair AI-generated outcomes.[79]

6.1.5. Promoting Gender Representation in AI Governance

Women and gender-diverse individuals should be included in AI policymaking and governance structures. Governments and corporations should ensure gender parity in AI ethics boards, regulatory agencies, and industry leadership positions.[80] Gender-sensitive AI policies should be co-developed with feminist organizations and human rights experts.[81]

6.1.6. Enhancing Public Awareness and Digital Literacy

Educational initiatives are necessary to equip women and marginalized groups with digital skills to navigate AI-driven environments. Governments should integrate gender-sensitive AI literacy programs in schools and professional training centers.[82]

6.2 Strengthening Legal and Institutional Frameworks

6.2.1. Establishing Comprehensive AI Regulations with a Gender Lens

Legal frameworks governing AI should explicitly address gender bias and discrimination. The European Union's AI Act provides a model for risk-based AI regulation, but it requires stronger gender-sensitive provisions.[83] National laws should incorporate anti-discrimination clauses in AI governance to ensure gender-equitable outcomes.[84]

---

[73] UNESCO, 'Recommendation on the Ethics of Artificial Intelligence' (2021).
[74]European Commission, 'Gender Impact Assessment in AI Development' (2022) COM(2022) 87 final.
[75]Noble SU, *Algorithms of Oppression: How Search Engines Reinforce Racism* (NYU Press 2018).
[76] Wachter S, Mittelstadt B, and Floridi L, 'Why a Right to Explanation of Automated Decision-Making Does Not Exist in the General Data Protection Regulation' (2017) 7 International Data Privacy Law 76.
[77] Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination Against Women (CEDAW) 1979, art 1.
[78] European Commission, 'Ethics Guidelines for Trustworthy AI' (2019).
[79] United Nations Human Rights Council, 'The Right to Privacy in the Digital Age' (2018) A/HRC/39/29.
[80] West SM, Whittaker M, and Crawford K, 'Discriminating Systems: Gender, Race, and Power in AI' (AI Now Institute 2019).
[81]] AI4ALL, 'Advancing Inclusion in AI Development' (2021).
[82]United Nations Development Programme, 'Gender Digital Divide' (2020).
[83]] European Commission, 'Proposal for a Regulation on Artificial Intelligence' (2021) COM(2021) 206 final
[84]] General Data Protection Regulation (EU) 2016/679, arts 5–7.

6.2.2. Integrating Gender Equality into Data Protection Laws

Existing data protection laws, such as the GDPR, should be strengthened to include gender-sensitive provisions. Regulatory agencies should monitor AI-driven data collection practices to prevent gender-based privacy violations.[85]

6.2.3. Creating Oversight Bodies for AI and Gender Equity

Independent regulatory bodies should be established to oversee gender-related AI issues. These institutions should conduct periodic evaluations of AI systems for gender bias and ensure compliance with anti-discrimination laws.[86]

6.2.4. Expanding International Collaboration on Gender and AI

Countries should collaborate on global AI ethics initiatives to address gender biases. International organizations such as the United Nations should develop treaties that set global standards for gender-sensitive AI governance.[87]

6.2.5. Strengthening Legal Protections Against AI-driven Gender Discrimination

Anti-discrimination laws must be updated to account for AI-driven bias. Governments should enact legal provisions that allow individuals to seek redress for algorithmic discrimination in employment, healthcare, and public services.[88]

6.2.6. Encouraging Corporate Responsibility and Compliance

Private sector entities should be required to conduct gender impact assessments and adhere to ethical AI development standards. Governments should impose legal penalties for companies that deploy gender-biased AI systems.[89]

6.2.7. Advancing Research and Innovation in Gender-sensitive AI

Governments should fund interdisciplinary research on gender-sensitive AI to develop fair and equitable technological solutions. Research institutions should collaborate with policymakers to ensure findings inform legal frameworks.[90] Developing gender-sensitive AI policies and strengthening legal and institutional frameworks are crucial for ensuring fairness and equity in AI technologies. A multi-stakeholder approach involving governments, corporations, academia, and civil society is necessary to address intersectional gender biases in AI. Strengthened regulations, ethical standards, and gender-inclusive AI governance can help create a more equitable digital future.

7.0. Conclusion

Artificial intelligence has the potential to either advance or hinder gender equality, depending on how it is designed, implemented, and regulated. AI systems that are not properly monitored can reinforce existing gender biases and exacerbate inequalities. Therefore, it is crucial to develop and implement gender-sensitive AI policies that promote fairness, inclusivity, and accountability. Strengthening legal and institutional frameworks is necessary to ensure that AI technologies do not discriminate against women and gender-diverse individuals but instead empower them in various sectors, including employment, healthcare, education, and governance. A multi-stakeholder approach involving governments, tech companies, civil society, and international organizations is essential for fostering AI regulations that protect the rights of women. Legal mechanisms must be updated to address algorithmic discrimination, and AI developers should be held accountable for ensuring their

[85] See (n-76)
[86] AI Now Institute, 'Algorithmic Accountability Policy Toolkit' (2019).
[87] United Nations, 'AI Ethics and Human Rights' (2022).
[88] Council of Europe, 'AI and Discrimination: A Legal Analysis' (2021).
[89] European Parliament, 'Corporate Responsibility in AI Development' (2020).
[90] World Economic Forum, 'AI and Gender Equity: A Research Agenda' (2021).

systems promote gender equity. Additionally, enhancing digital literacy and increasing female representation in AI governance will help mitigate systemic biases and promote a more just and equal society. By adopting proactive measures to regulate AI and eliminate gender biases in technology, societies can harness the benefits of artificial intelligence while upholding the fundamental rights of women. A future where AI is both ethical and equitable is possible, but only through concerted efforts to embed gender sensitivity into every stage of AI development and deployment.